

PEOPLE IN THE MOUNTAINS

CURRENT APPROACHES TO THE
ARCHAEOLOGY OF MOUNTAINOUS
LANDSCAPES

Edited by

ANDRZEJ PELISIAK, MAREK NOWAK
AND CIPRIAN ASTALOŞ

ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD

Gordon House
276 Banbury Road
Oxford OX2 7ED

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978 1 78491 817 0

ISBN 978 1 78491 818 7 (e-Pdf)

© Archaeopress and the authors 2018

Cover image: Bieszczady Mountains (SE Poland). Photo by Andrzej Pelisiak

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.

Printed in England by Oxuniprint, Oxford

This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Contents

List of Figures.....	iii
List of Contributors.....	vi
Introduction.....	1
Andrzej Pelisiak, Marek Nowak and Ciprian Astaloş	
The mountainous landscape as a viable alternative for the Neolithic	7
Paweł Valde-Nowak	
From people to landscapes. The <i>Fluturnum Project</i>: Archaeology and anthropology in the Tasso-Upper Sagittarius valley (Italy, The Province of L'Aquila – AQ)	15
Francesca Romana Del Fattore, Anna Rizzo and Alessandro Felici	
Long-range versus short-range prehistoric pastoralism. Potential of palaeoecological proxies and a new record from western Emilia, northern Apennines, Italy	47
Lionello F. Morandi and Nicholas P. Branch	
An archaeology of the mountains in Maramureş, Romania: the beginning of a long-term project.....	61
Radu-Alexandru Dragoman, Dan Pop, Bogdan Bobîna, Marius Ardeleanu, Călin Şuteu and Ciprian Astaloş	
Carpathians: barrier or border? Tiszapolgár, Bodrogkeresztúr, Petreşti and Trypillia-Cucuteni Cultures.....	79
Taras Tkachuk	
The settlement and economy of the prehistoric communities of the Zvolen Basin and surrounding areas in the Western Carpathians (Slovakia).....	87
Noémi Beljak Pažinová	
Recent discoveries in the High Bieszczady Mts.	101
Andrzej Pelisiak	
Pollen indications of human activity in the Polish Western Carpathians during the Neolithic period.....	117
Marek Nowak	

The settlement of the Corded Ware Culture and early phases of the Mierzanowice culture in the Carpathian Mountains.....	139
Paweł Jarosz	
Re-fitting the Past – Urn Graveyards in the Carpathian Foothills.....	153
Marta Korczyńska and Klaus Cappenberg	
The Sudetic resource base in the economy of early medieval societies.....	173
Ewa Lisowska	
Beginnings of mountain settlement in Czech Republic – a case study from the Bohemian Forest	185
Katarína Kapustka, Matthew Walls and Jan Eigner	
An Approach to understand the significance of the Cultural landscape of the tribes of Arunachal Pradesh, Northeast India.....	197
Bina Gandhi Deori	
Fog, mountain and desert: human-environment interactions in Lomas de Lachay, Peru	207
Piotr Kalicki, Tomasz Kalicki and Piotr Kittel	

List of Figures

P. Valde-Nowak: The mountainous landscape as a viable alternative for the Neolithic

Figure 1. Rdzawka, West-Beskidy Mountains. Elevated plateaus with organized pastoral space.....	9
Figure 2. Topography of early Neolithic <i>Linearbandkeramik</i> villages in the Wiśnickie-Foothill	11

F. R. Del Fattore, A. Rizzo and A. Felici: From people to landscapes.

The Fluturnum Project: Archaeology and anthropology in the Tasso-Upper Sagittarius valley (Italy, The Province of L'Aquila – AQ)

Figure 1. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley: geographical position in Central Italy	16
Figure 2. The sample area: the territories of Anversa degli Abruzzi, Villalago and Scanno (AQ)	16
Figure 3. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): sites distribution	17
Figure 4. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): general relative chronology	18
Figure 5. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): relative chronology. Bronze/Iron Age, Archaic Phase	18
Figure 6. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): view from the North	19
Figure 7. The southern sector of the Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley. View from the North	19
Figure 8. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): a dense net of preferential routes and pathways.....	21
Figure 9. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): Lower, Middle Palaeolithic, Upper Palaeolithic, Mesolithic.....	21
Figure 10. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): Neolithic, Eneolithic. Sites distribution	23
Figure 11. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): Bronze Age/Iron Age. Sites distribution	24
Figure 12. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): Late Iron Age, Archaic Phase. Sites distribution	25
Figure 13. I Giardini-Palazzo della Regina: 2015 excavation of a necropolis dated to II-I century BC	26
Figure 14. I Giardini-Palazzo della Regina: 2015 excavation of a necropolis dated to II-I century BC	27
Figure 15. Geographical position of the <i>Pagus Betifulum</i>	27
Figure 16. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): Italic Hellenistic/Hellenistic Roman and Empire Phases	28
Figure 17. The Road to the <i>Samnium</i> : geo-referenced sections. View from the North	29
Figure 18. I Giardini (Scanno, AQ): view from South-West.....	30
Figure 19. I Giardini-Palazzo della Regina (Scanno, AQ): 2011 Survey campaign	30
Figure 20. I Giardini-Palazzo della Regina (Scanno, AQ): 2013 sample excavation n. 2	31
Figure 21. The cultivated species.....	31
Figure 22. Jovana-San Lorenzo (Scanno, AQ). 3D model of a fortification dated to the Late Middle Ages	32
Figure 23. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): Late Antiquity, Early and Late Middle Ages	33
Figure 24. The Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley (AQ): Ethno-anthropological survey	34
Figure 25. Scanno (AQ). Ethno-anthropological field work: an interview	34
Figure 26. Scanno (AQ). Ethno-anthropological field work: an interview	35
Figure 27. Frattura Vecchia (Scanno, AQ). View of a sector of the old village at the foot of Mount Genzana	37
Figure 28. Frattura Vecchia (Scanno, AQ). Documents and photos from one of the remaining houses	37
Figure 29. I Giardini-Collangelo (Scanno, AQ). The Roman road. Interviews, survey and documentation.....	39
Figure 30. Frattura di Scanno (Scanno, AQ). One of the scrolls found in the parsonage of the local church.....	40
Figure 31. Frattura Vecchia (Scanno, AQ). The vegetable gardens: harvesting 'Bread beans'	40
Figure 32. Frattura Vecchia (Scanno, AQ). The vegetable gardens: drying 'Bread beans'	41
Figure 33. Torino: Terra Madre-Slow Food 2014. The Frattura 'Bread beans' officially entered the Ark of Taste.....	41

L. F. Morandi and N. P. Branch: Long-range versus short-range prehistoric pastoralism. Potential of palaeoecological proxies and a new record from western Emilia, northern Apennines, Italy

Figure 1. Schematic model of short-range vertical transhumance.....	48
Figure 2. Prato Spilla 'A': map showing the location of the site	51
Figure 3. Prato Spilla 'A': view of the site from the south-east	51
Figure 4. Prato Spilla 'A': percentage diagram showing the occurrence of obligate and coprophilous fungal taxa.....	52
Figure 5. Prato Spilla 'A' (star), the nearest finds of Neolithic/Eneolithic sites	54

R.-A. Dragoman et al.: An archaeology of the mountains in Maramureș, Romania: the beginning of a long-term project

Figure 1. Map of the Maramureș region with the area chosen for research	62
Figure 2. Map with the archaeological discoveries from the area of Maramureș County.....	63
Figure 3. Map with the discoveries mentioned in the text	66
Figure 4. Lithic pieces discovered at <i>Pârtia de schi, Stâna Groșșoare, Poiana Știol, Podul Prelucilor, Stâna Dunca</i>	67
Figure 5. The Prislop Pass and <i>Pârtia de schi</i> , June 2014.....	67
Figure 6. <i>Stâna Groșșoare</i> , November 2014	68
Figure 7. <i>Poiana Știol</i> , November 2015	68
Figure 8. <i>Podul Prelucilor</i> , June 2015	69
Figure 9. <i>Stâna Dunca</i> , June 2015	69
Figure 10. Cup dated probably in the late Bronze Age, discovered close to the Geamănul Peak.....	70
Figure 11. Pottery fragments discovered at <i>Poiana Știol</i>	70
Figure 12. Josephine map on which is indicated the place where the 1717 battle with the Tatars took place	71
Figure 13. Prislop Pass, June 2014: material traces of war: cartridge case, trenches and ruins of a bunker	72
Figure 14. Prislop Pass, June 2014: blockhouse.....	72
Figure 15. Prislop Pass, June 2014: destroyed bunker	73
Figure 16. Prislop Pass, June 2014: structures dug into the soil	73
Figure 17. Prislop Pass, June 2014: aerial image with ruins of buildings	73
Figure 18. Prislop Pass, June 2014: foundation of a building.....	74
Figure 19. Prislop Pass, June 2014: building with stone walls	74
Figure 20. <i>Stâna Dunca</i> , June 2015: abandoned sheepfold	75
Figure 21. Geamănul Peak, June 2015: ruins of a sheepfold	76
Figure 22. The Știol Lake, June 2015: ruins of a sheepfold.....	76

T. Tkachuk: Carpathians: barrier or border? Tiszapolgár, Bodrogresztúr, Petrești and Trypillia-Cucuteni Cultures

Figure 1. Distribution of copper wares	80
Figure 2. Distribution of golden wares	81
Figure 3. Copper axes from the Upper Dniester region	81
Figure 4. Golden disks from the Brad treasure	81
Figure 5. Golden pendant from Trajan	82
Figure 6. Distribution of ceramics with bosses and rows of handles	82
Figure 7. The vessels with external hanging bosses	83
Figure 8. The vessel with external rows of handles.....	83
Figure 9. The vessel with round bosses located in pairs under the rim.....	83
Figure 10. The vessels with round bosses on the external surface	84

N. Beljak Pažinová: The settlement and economy of the prehistoric communities of the Zvolen Basin and surrounding areas in the Western Carpathians (Slovakia)

Figure 1. Location of Zvolen Basin region within Slovakia and orographic map of mentioned prehistoric sites.....	88
Figure 2. Deserted Castle (Pustý hrad) in Zvolen	89
Figure 3. Zvolen, Deserted Castle – Lower Castle. Aerial view from the north	90
Figure 4. Zvolen, Deserted Castle – Lower Castle. Prehistoric hearth	90
Figure 5. Zvolen, Deserted Castle – Lower Castle. Collection of Baden culture sherds with decoration	91
Figure 6. Zvolen, Deserted Castle – Lower castle. 1 – 11 collection of Late and Final Bronze Age finds	93
Figure 7. Zvolen, Čierne zeme site. Small pitcher (jug)	94
Figure 8. Zvolen, Veľká Stráž. Bronze Age pottery fragments.....	96
Figure 9. Zvolen, Veľká Stráž. Cultural profile from the test trench on the hilltop.....	97

A. Pelisiak: Recent discoveries in the High Bieszczady Mts

Figure 1. Study area	103
Figure 2. Landscape of the Połonina Wetlińska near the Orłowicz Pass (1050 m a.s.l.).....	103
Figure 3. Location of the sites on the Orłowicz Pass, and Orłowicz Pass Niżna	104
Figure 4. Location of the sites on the elevation of Połonina Wetlińska near the Hnatowe Berdo.....	104

Figure 5. Selection of the Neolithic and Early Bronze finds.....	105
Figure 6. Selection of the Neolithic and Early Bronze finds.....	106
Figure 7. Location of the stone structures in the Połonina Wetlińska massif. Wetlina sites	107
Figure 8. Semicircular stone construction on the Wetlina site 8	107
Figure 9. Połonina Wetlińska massif. One of the stone mounds from Wetlina, site 11	108
Figure 10. Location of the sites near the Czerteż Pass on the main ridge of the Carpathians.....	109
Figure 11. Location of selected sites in the Solinka river valley. Wetlina sites 17-21.....	109
Figure 12. LIDAR view of Wetlina site 13	110
Figure 13. Połonina Wetlińska massif. One of the salt water springs near the stone structure on the Wetlina	111

**M. Nowak: Pollen indications of human activity in
the Polish Western Carpathians during the Neolithic period**

Figure 1. Location of the Polish Western Carpathians (1) and its regionalization (2)	118
Figure 2. Location of pollen profiles in the Polish Western Carpathians.....	119
Figure 3. Period c. 5500-4800 BC in the Western Carpathians and its surroundings.....	121
Figure 4. Period c. 4800-4000 BC in the Western Carpathians and its surroundings.....	122
Figure 5. Simplified version of the pollen profile at the site of Tarnowiec	123
Figure 6. The modified version of the pollen profile at the site of Tarnowiec.....	124
Figure 7. Period c. 4000-2800 BC in the Western Carpathians and its surroundings.....	126
Figure 8. The peat bog sequence at Kamiennik.....	128
Figure 9. The pollen profile at Jesionowa.....	129
Figure 10. Period c. 2800-2300/2200 BC in the Western Carpathians and its surroundings	130
Figure 11. The pollen profile at Krasne	131

**P. Jarosz: The settlement of the Corded Ware Culture and early phases of
the Mierzanowice culture in the Carpathian Mountains**

Figure 1. Sites of the Corded Ware Culture and early phases of Mierzanowice culture	140
Figure 2. Dispersion of Corded Ware culture sites	143
Figure 3. Plans of barrows and barrow graves.....	146
Figure 4. Grave goods from barrow graves and mounds.....	148

**M. Korczyńska and K. Cappenberg: Re-fitting the Past –
Urn Graveyards in the Carpathian Foothills**

Figure 1. Distribution of the graveyards dated to the Late Bronze Age and Early Iron Age in S/E Poland.....	154
Figure 2. Location of Janowice, site 44 at the soil map, supplemented by data from geological map	155
Figure 3. Distribution and chronological attribution of the surface pottery findings, and geomagnetic survey	156
Figure 4. Micro topographical plan of the trench showing high slope rates based on LiDAR DEM.....	156
Figure 5. Excavated features and LS Factor based on LiDAR DEM	157
Figure 6. Cross section of feature 28 and feature 29.....	157
Figure 7. Cross section of feature 47 at a shallow depth.....	157
Figure 8. Plan of excavation area with depth of the urns marked	158
Figure 9. Profile of feature 35 showing the disturbance of the feature by burrowing animals	159
Figure 10. Feature 44 showing the decreased level of preservation caused by ploughing or/and ground pressure	159
Figure 11. Graphical illustration of the distribution of individual Late Bronze Age pottery pieces	160
Figure 12. Reconstruction of the falling of a tree and formation of pit 1B.....	161
Figure 13. Cross section of feature 32	161
Figure 14. Features 32 and 45	161
Figure 15. Features 32 and 45 on in orthogonal view after localising the pottery fragments by a DGPS.....	162
Figure 16. Degree of ceramic surface preservation comparing tree windthrow structures and surface findings.....	163
Figure 17. Classification of pottery surface erosion	163
Figure 18. Multiple Correspondence Analysis of technological attributes of the Late Bronze Age pottery, pit 32	164
Figure 19. Multiple Correspondence Analysis of technological attributes of the Late Bronze Age pottery, pit 45	165
Figure 20. Kernel density estimation of pottery sherd positions for features 31, 32 and 45	166
Figure 21. Network analysis of refitted pottery pieces	166
Figure 22. Boxplots for 3D next neighbour distances of sherds of pottery units belonging to features 32 and 45.....	167
Figure 23. Reconstruction of pottery units and their spatial position in features 31, 32 and 45.....	168

E. Lisowska: The Sudetic resource base in the economy of early medieval societies

Figure 1. Sudeten Mountains – SW Poland. Zones of Early Medieval rock exploitation	174
Figure 2. Exploitation methods related to the landscape and distinguished types of quarries' forms	174
Figure 3. Stone wall made of rhyolite observed within the 9th century stronghold in Nowy Kościół	176
Figure 4. Stone wall and unfinished quernstone found within the stronghold in Gilów, Niemczańskie Foothills	177
Figure 5. St John and St Catherine's church in Świerzawa built of local sandstone, 13th century	177
Figure 6. St Gothard's rotunda in Strzelin built of local granite, 12th century	178
Figure 7. The Romanesque portal of the Ołbin Abbey in Wrocław, built of sandstone from Kaczawskie Foothills	178
Figure 8. Iron wedges found during W. Wojciecowski's excavation in 1962 in Sobótka-Górka	179
Figure 9. Pit quarries in Chwałków during wintertime, Ślęza Massif	180
Figure 10. Pit quarries in Chwałków, Ślęza Massif	180
Figure 11. Escarpment quarries near Skalice, Strzelin Foothills	180
Figure 12. Escarpment quarries called <i>Marienstein</i> near Gębczyce, Strzelin Foothills	181

K. Kapustka, M. Walls and J. Eigner: Beginnings of mountain settlement in Czech Republic – a case study from the Bohemian Forest

Figure 1. Position of the research area on the map of the Czech Republic	186
Figure 2. Bohemian Forest region – sites marked by numbers	187
Figure 3. Bohemian Forest region – basic information on the sites	188
Figure 4. Microliths found in the area of Bohemian Forest	190
Figure 5. Position of the chosen sites in the landscape – Javoří Pila 1	191
Figure 6. Position of the chosen sites in the landscape – Javoří Pila 2	191
Figure 7. Basic information on lithics found in the area of Roklanský Stream	192
Figure 8. Selected finds: Javoří Pila 1: 1-4, 9, 10; Javoří Pila 2: 6-8; Javoří Pila 4: 5, 11	192
Figure 9. Basic information on raw materials at the sites in the area of Roklanský Stream	193
Figure 10. Proveniences of the raw materials used for lithic production	193

B. G. Deori: An Approach to understand the significance of the Cultural landscape of the tribes of Arunachal Pradesh, Northeast India

Figure 1. Map showing Arunachal Pradesh	198
Figure 2. Forest Cover Map of Arunachal Pradesh	199
Figure 3. <i>Yid</i> and <i>Gichi</i> Village, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh	200
Figure 4. <i>Pega Lomdak</i> Village, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh	200
Figure 5. <i>Bam</i> village, West Siang Dist, Arunachal Pradesh	201
Figure 6. <i>Toopo` Xigee</i> (Great Curve of the Tsangpo)	201
Figure 7. Map showing the different routes of <i>Galo</i> migration	202
Figure 8. <i>Jed Mod</i> , an agricultural ritual performed at Basar, West Siang, Arunachal Pradesh	204

P. Kalicki, T. Kalicki and P. Kittel: Fog, mountain and desert: human-environment interactions in Lomas de Lachay, Peru

Figure 1. Location of the Lomas de Lachay	208
Figure 2. <i>Tafoni</i> in the Lomas de Lachay	209
Figure 3. Mean annual sum of precipitation in the Lomas de Lachay	209
Figure 4. Alluvial fans in the Quebrada Guayabito valley	210
Figure 5. Active spring in the Quebrada Herbabuena valley	211
Figure 6. Geomorphological map of the Lomas de Lachay with the archaeological sites and profiles	212
Figure 7. Ceremonial site in the Quebrada Doña María valley	213
Figure 8. U-shaped structure in the Lima settlements (La-180 site)	214
Figure 9. Interlocking serpents motif in rock art in the La-344	215
Figure 10. Quebrada Teatino II cemetery	215
Figure 11. Profile LL-14 with two buried soils and Aeolian sands below	216
Figure 12. Correlation of El Niño frequency and Pre-Columbian settlement phases in the Lomas de Lachay	217
Figure 13. Chancay Black-on-White ceramic from the Quebrada Teatino II cemetery	218
Figure 14. <i>Metate</i> in the Machupicchito site. The construction of wall	219
Figure 15. Chimú-Inka vessel from the Torre Blanca cemetery	219

List of Contributors

Marius Ardeleanu

Maramureş County Museum of History and Archaeology, str. Monetăriei 1-3, Baia Mare, jud. Maramureş, Romania
ardeleanumarius@yahoo.com

Ciprian Astalos

Satu Mare County Museum, bvd. Vasile Lucaciu 21, 44031, Satu Mare, jud. Satu Mare, Romania
astalos_ciprian@yahoo.com

Bogdan Bobîna

Maramureş County Museum of History and Archaeology, str. Monetăriei 1-3, Baia Mare, jud. Maramureş, Romania
bghbobina@gmail.com

Nicholas P. Branch

Department of Geography and Environmental Science, and Department of Archaeology, School of Human and Environmental Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AB UK
n.p.branch@reading.ac.uk

Klaus Cappenberg

Leibniz-Institut für Geschichte und Kultur des östlichen Europa (GWZO)
Reichsstr. 4-6, 04109 Leipzig, Germany
klaus_cappenberg@gmx.de

Francesca Romana Del Fattore

Matrix 96 Società Cooperativa, Via C. Corvisieri 13, 00162 Roma, Italy
fr.delfattore@gmail.com

Bina Gandhi Deori

Assistant Professor
Department of Ancient Indian History Culture & Archaeology
Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan-731235
West Bengal, India
binadeori@gmail.com

Radu-Alexandru Dragoman

Vasile Pârvan Institute of Archaeology of the Romanian Academy, str. Henri Coandă 11, Sector 1, 010667,

Bucharest, Romania
al_dragoman@yahoo.com

Jan Eigner

National museum, Václavské náměstí 1700/68, 110 00 Prague 1, Czech republic
eigner.istvan@seznam.cz

Alessandro Felici

Matrix 96 Società Cooperativa, Via C. Corvisieri 13, 00162 Roma, Italy
felici.ales@tiscali.it

Paweł Jarosz

Centre for Archaeology of Hills and Uplands
Institute of Archaeology and Ethnology, Polish Academy of Sciences
Sławkowska 17, 31-016 Kraków, Poland
ptjarosz@gmail.com

Piotr Kalicki

Institute of Archaeology, Jagiellonian University, Gołębia 11, 31-007 Kraków, Poland
kalickipiotr.kr@gmail.com

Tomasz Kalicki

Institute of Geography, the Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce
Świętokrzyska 15, 25-406 Kielce, Poland
tomaszkalicki@ymail.com

Katarína Kapustka

Institute of archaeology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, v.v.i.
Letenská 4, Prague 1 11801, Czech republic
culakova@arup.cas.cz

Piotr Kittel

Department of Geomorphology and Palaeogeography, Faculty of Geographical Sciences, University of Lodz
Narutowicza 88, 90-139 Łódź, Poland
piotr.kittel@geo.uni.lodz.pl

Marta Korczyńska

Mazowiecka 53/11, 30-019 Kraków, Poland
martakorczynska@poczta.onet.pl

Ewa Lisowska

Institute of Archaeology, Wrocław University
Szewska 48, 50-139 Wrocław, Poland
ewaliskamail@gmail.com

Lionello F. Morandi

Department of Archaeology,
School of Human and Environmental Sciences,
University of Reading,
Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AB UK
lionello.morandi@gmail.com

Marek Nowak

Institute of Archaeology, Jagiellonian University
Gołębia 11, 31-007 Kraków, Poland
mniauj@interia.pl

Noémi Beljak Pažinová

Department of Archaeology, Constantine the
Philosopher University in Nitra
Tr. A. Hlinku 1, 949 74 Nitra, Slovakia
nbpazinova@ukf.sk

Andrzej Pelisiak

Institute of Archaeology, University of Rzeszów,
Moniuszki 10, 35-015 Rzeszów, Poland
a.pelisiak@gmail.com

Dan Pop

Maramureş County Museum of History and
Archaeology, str. Monetăriei 1-3, Baia Mare,

jud. Maramureş, Romania
dannpopp@gmail.com

Anna Rizzo

'Alma Mater Studiorum'
Università di Bologna,
Italy
studioannarizzo@gmail.com

Călin Şuteu

1 Decembrie 1918 University of Alba Iulia,
str. Gabriel Bethlen 5, 510009, Alba Iulia,
jud. Alba, Romania
calin.suteu@uab.ro

Taras Tkachuk

Ivano-Frankivsk, Sorohtej str. 9 B, 35. 76005.
Ukraine

Paweł Valde-Nowak

Institute of Archaeology, Jagiellonian University,
Gołębia 11, 31-007 Kraków, Poland
p.valde-nowak@uj.edu.pl

Matthew Walls

Department of archaeology and anthropology,
University of Calgary,
2500 University Dr. N.W.
Calgary, AB, T2N 1N4,
Canada
matthew.walls@ucalgary.com

Introduction

Andrzej Pelisiak, Marek Nowak and Ciprian Astaloş

The inspiration for this volume came from papers presented during a session entitled *People and the mountains – entering into the new landscapes*, organised in 2014 by Andrzej Pelisiak (Institute of Archaeology, University of Rzeszów, Poland), Marek Nowak (Institute of Archaeology, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland) and Ciprian Astaloş (Satu Mare County Museum, Satu Mare, Romania) within the frameworks of the 20th Annual Meeting of the European Association of Archaeologists in Istanbul. The session included 17 papers and two posters presented by 41 researchers from 12 countries in Europe, Asia, and Australia. The papers and posters addressed the complex issues of the archaeology of mountainous areas, presenting new materials as well as current conclusions and interpretations. The geographical scope of the discussed issues was very broad, and they linked to various periods of prehistory, to the recent past.

The volume opens with a presentation of basic issues and problems of research into Neolithic communities in mountains by **Paweł Valde-Nowak**. The author discusses both archaeological and paleoenvironmental research, palynological in particular. He outlines the fundamental problems posed by such research, but also suggests solutions to them. With respect to studies on the environment he emphasises that, in mountains, natural processes strongly transforming the landscape may be initiated by man but later continue to operate without human contribution. In Valde-Nowak's opinion the increase in research, as well as the increase in the imagination of researchers, has meant that 'the archaeologists have learned to understand still better the exceptional form of Neolithic remnants from the mountains. There is a growing evidence for the penetration of such regions already in the Early Neolithic. Such finds, as well as Late Neolithic ones, are not connected with transitional passing through the mountains, as was thought before. The amount of such traces in each mountain group is so large that it is important to take mountain territories into serious consideration with regard to the everyday lives and economic activity of Neolithic man'.

The extensive paper by **Francesca Romana Del Fattore, Anna Rizzo, and Alessandro Felici** presents the research in the Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley, in the Abruzzo Mountains (Central Italy). It has been carried out since 2006 within the framework of the *Fluturnum Project*, a part of a broader, international research enterprise. The project's tasks fall within six categories: 1) Preliminary activities: census of materials and known archaeological evidence, 2) Acquisition of ethno-anthropological data, 3) Surveys, 4) Test excavations at known sites, 5) Design and creation of an *Antiquarium* in the town of Scanno, 6) Definition of historical and nature walks. Its goal is 'to document the human settlement history of the Tasso-Upper Sagittarius Valley over the long term, from its earliest occupation in the Palaeolithic until the present day'. The authors present, in chronological order, the materials uncovered in the territory. With respect to the Neolithic and Eneolithic, they point towards the archaeological evidence for vertical transhumance. In their research, they make use of historical and ethnographic data, and the project refers in part to historical times (Antiquity and later periods).

Lionello F. Morandi and **Nicholas P. Branch** focus on the exploitation of mountain and upland landscapes for animal grazing, starting from the Middle Neolithic. Their deliberations concern the regions of Emilia-Romagna and Liguria, in the northern Apennines (Italy). The authors discuss issues connected with short- and long-distance transhumance, and the definition of transhumance itself, and the research is based on archaeological and environmental materials, zooarchaeological in particular. In the area under consideration, caves and rock shelters are sites of particular importance. The authors

conclude that seasonal mountain grazing was gradually gaining in importance beginning from the Late Neolithic. They also present in-detail research into a peat bog, Prato Spilla 'A'.

In their chapter, **Radu-Alexandru Dragoman, Dan Pop, Bogdan Bobîna, Marius Ardeleanu, Călin Şuteu, and Ciprian Astaloş** present the results of research initiated in 2012 in northern Romania, in the eastern part of Maramureş: in the Prislop Pass (1414 m a.s.l.), which links Maramureş and Bukovina and in the region of the Geamănul Peak (1539 m a.s.l.) to the east of the Prislop Pass. Field works conducted in these areas resulted in the discovery of prehistoric sites represented by lithic finds, 'post-Roman' pottery, relics of military features from WWI and WWII, and traces of modern-period shepherds' structures. The authors discuss the importance of mountains for prehistoric communities, including their different symbolic meanings, and support the discussion with ethnographic and historical data.

Taras Tkachuk's paper is focused on the Neolithic and Eneolithic of the Carpathian Basin and to the east of the Carpathians. Within the scope of the author's interest are the cultures of Trypillia-Cucuteni, Tiszapolgár, Bodrogkeresztúr, and Petreşti, separated by the Carpathian range. He discusses the role played by the Carpathians in the Neolithic and Eneolithic, trying to determine whether these mountains were an impermeable barrier or a border area which posed no basic obstacle for intercultural contacts. Tkachuk points to the presence on the Trypillia-Cucuteni sites of copper objects, gold jewellery, and vessels which were either imports or imitations of artefacts typical of the Tiszapolgár and Bodrogkeresztúr cultures. On the other side of the mountains, artefacts made from Volhynian flint are common finds in the Tiszapolgár and Bodrogkeresztúr sites. In the author's opinion, these examples are solid proof of Transcarpathian contacts, one of its forms being the exchange of prestige objects made of gold and copper (originating from the Carpathian Basin, from the milieu of the Tiszapolgár and Bodrogkeresztúr cultures), and artefacts of Volhynian flint (originating from the Trypillia-Cucuteni area).

Noémi Beljak Pažinová focuses her interest on the Zvolen region in central Slovakia, and on various aspects of human activity (settlement, economy, material culture) in the Late Neolithic, Eneolithic, and Bronze Age. The author concludes that factors such as climate and the presence of limnoquartzite outcrops – raw material used in chipped lithics production – favoured human settlement in the region. She points to transformations in settlement patterns, including a tendency to establish settlements in high locations, discernible from the times of the Epi-Baden culture, which was also connected with natural defensive potential. She highlights the noticeable geographical isolation of the Zvolen region, pointing at the same time to the evidence of contact with neighbouring areas, well-confirmed in archaeological material.

Andrzej Pelisiak's study is devoted to his research in the Polish Bieszczady Wysokie Mountains (SE tip of Poland). Archaeological research in this area was inspired by the identification of palynological traces of human activity from c. 3200 BC in pollen diagrams. Numerous finds of single lithic artefacts at heights above 1000 m a.s.l. confirm seasonal grazing of animals from the Late Neolithic. At a similar height, stone structures were discovered, referring to similar constructions known from the Alps, where they are dated between 2600 and 700 BC. Defensive structures were discovered as well, in the form of stone and earth ramparts. The determination of the chronology and function of these structures will be the goal of the planned excavations. Another line of research in Bieszczady Wysokie consist on studies of local siliceous raw materials, their outcrops, and possible places and forms of their exploitation in prehistory. The research is interdisciplinary, with contributions from environmental, geological, and paleoclimatic studies.

In his paper on palynological indicators of human activity in the Neolithic in the Polish Western Carpathians, **Marek Nowak** argues that these data do not suggest a radical deforestation in the Neolithic period in the Western Carpathians. However, in a number of profiles, the presence of human indicators was recorded, generally throughout the whole of the Neolithic. They occur with varying – but generally low – intensity. They have a discontinuous nature, which mirrors the short duration of the use of a given area. Thus, anthropogenic indicators only reflect human behaviours associated with animal (forest)

husbandry, and possibly very local and short-term cultivation. Consequently, the great importance of such activities as hunting or participation in Transcarpathian communication is highly probable. Changes of species composition within forest cover, most apparent in the 3rd millennium BC, should not be regarded as evidence of human activity. Such activity would have to have been extremely intense and far-reaching to cause such changes.

The study by **Paweł Jarosz** is a recapitulation of the hitherto research on the Late Neolithic and Early Bronze Age settlement in the Carpathians. Human activity is analysed here in the context of climate transformations, which makes the information recorded in Carpathian pollen diagrams particularly important. The archaeological basis for the analysis are sepulchral and settlement sites. With respect to the oldest Early Bronze finds in the Carpathians, one can notice a continuation in the location of graves: burials from the oldest phase of the Mierzanowice culture were often dug into Corded Ware Culture barrows. The issues pertaining to the Late Neolithic and Early Bronze Age in the Carpathians are analysed against a broad Central European geographical and chronological-cultural context.

Using the example of the Late Bronze and Early Iron Age in the Polish Western Carpathians, **Marta Korczyńska** and **Klaus Cappenberg** demonstrate that, despite poor archaeological sources, graveyards in mountain regions can provide very valuable information given the proper digging methods and detailed examination of pottery taphonomy and technology. For instance, it seems that in the case of graveyards, pottery deposited in tree windthrow structures creates an opportunity to reconstruct grave inventories and can also be taken into account when estimating the number of graves. Therefore, in the authors' opinion, the common consideration of graveyards in the Polish Carpathian as being small and few, may in most cases reflect only their poor state of preservation.

Ewa Lisowska's study is devoted to the acquisition of stone raw materials in the Sudetes in the Early Middle Ages. The identification of the applied stone material is based on petrographic analyses (electron microprobe analysis, rare earth element analysis and x-ray diffraction). The author presents the state of research on the issue of her interest. The analyses encompassed more than 2,000 artefacts from 179 archaeological sites. Field research was supported with the analysis of geological maps, and of LIDAR and Mestischblatter data. The results allowed her to outline the development of stone acquisition in the Middle Ages, including the methods of acquisition and the types of quarries. She points to the diversified applications of stone as raw material, from making objects of everyday use to architectural complexes. She divides the development of stone acquisition activity into four chronological phases.

The chapter by **Katarína Kapustka**, **Matthew Walls**, and **Jan Eigner** presents their research into the Mesolithic occupation in the Bohemian Forest region. The authors present the results of excavations and surface surveys carried out within the framework of a project initiated in 2011. The identified sites are situated at heights ranging from 1010 to 1150 m a.s.l. The investigated sites yielded artefacts made from Bavarian lithic raw materials, which in the authors' opinion indicates that groups of Mesolithic population crossed mountain ranges. These findings confirm that, rather than being an 'empty space', Bohemian Forest was regularly exploited by hunting-gathering communities during the Mesolithic.

Bina Gandhi Deori's paper focuses on Arunachal Pradesh, the most north-eastern state of India, in the foothills of the Himalayas. It is mainly devoted to indigenous communities living in the different valleys of Arunachal Pradesh. The inaccessible topography prevented regular intercommunication between these valleys. The geographical isolation from each other has resulted in the survival, almost to the present day, of specific cultural attributes, in language, dress, customs, etc. Each group has its own defined cultural identities which present a unique scenario of unity in diversity in Northeast India. Thus, in the absence of any major influence from outside, the indigenous customs are practiced more or less in their pristine forms without much modification. Interestingly enough, although agriculture is the main mode of subsistence, many of the tribes still subsist also on gathering, fishing, and occasionally hunting. The developed oral history of the tribes carries rich information regarding their migrations, genealogical histories, and the geographical boundaries of their ancestral territories. Through oral

narratives, community ownership over their territorial lands is established. These communities have a deep and profound spiritual connection with their territories. They do not see themselves as separate from nature but a part of nature. Mountains, hills, forests, trees, agricultural fields, rivers, streams, etc. are associated with spirits and sylvan deities that protect them.

Piotr Kalicki, Tomasz Kalicki and Piotr Kittel discuss fog-alimented ecosystems (lomas) on the western coast of South America as evidenced in the Lomas de Lachay region. Such ecosystems were formed due to the humidity deposited on first ridges of the Andes by advection fog, during the humid season in particular. The region under consideration attracted human communities, and complex societies appeared there c. 1800 BC. As a threshold environment, Lomas de Lachay constitutes a perfect case study for the studies of human adaptive strategies to fragile mountain and desert ecosystems. Among other things, a clear trend of subsistence changes from intensive agriculture to the extensive pastoralism of camelids (associated with transhumance between the highlands and lomas) can be demonstrated. These changes can be related with fluctuations in the frequency of El Niño events (periods of increased precipitations and periods of aridization). Interestingly enough, in all settlement phases Lomas de Lachay had a relatively high symbolic status (ceremonial sites, cemeteries), which did not correlate well with its actual economic importance. In the authors' opinion, the mental reference to this region was determined by religious beliefs and power structure rather than by economic or environmental factors.

What general conclusions can be drawn from these papers? Undoubtedly, for obvious topographical and environmental reasons, mountains separated human communities which, at least from the Neolithic, functioned in other ecological zones. In other words, mountains were barriers, border areas, etc. On the other hand, however, these barriers and borders created by mountains were seldom impermeable for humans. Prehistorical and historical facts, mentioned in this volume or known otherwise (e.g. Ötzi), demonstrate that, contrary to common belief, even high mountain ranges could be relatively easily penetrated and crossed, and not only in the Neolithic.

We can suppose that, for the Neolithic (and other) people, what lay BEYOND the mountains, in more or less distant lands, appeared exotic and new. Despite the distrust and enmity towards 'Foreigners' innate in traditional communities, and despite the fact that mountains were a specific/unusual landscape (where rare/uncommon animals could be encountered and strange atmospheric phenomena occurred, a place 'closer to the gods'), exotic, uncommon, unusual artefacts were eagerly accepted or bought, if only for purely prestige reasons. But the unknown lands beyond mountains also naturally inspired an eternal human question and dream – what if it is better on the other side? Maybe we should take a chance and seek fortune beyond these huge, dark mountains? These questions and dreams quite often fruited in smaller or larger migrations. Thus, crossing mountain barriers and boundaries was practically inevitable.

But mountains were not only the routes of migration, exchange, etc. Many papers in this volume clearly demonstrate that in the more or less distant past mountains as such, with all their environmental specificity, were exploited in various manners. Examples given many times here show that they were very flexibly and aptly used, and the potential of particular mountain zones, in terms of food acquisition, flora, fauna, natural resources, etc., was correctly identified.

Mountains were no doubt often peripheral areas when compared with dense and stable settlement in uplands and lowlands. In mountains, the patterns typical of 'central' areas were sometimes copied (or attempts were made to copy them), only with less intensity. But perhaps more often, e.g. in the Neolithic, mountains were peripheral areas where the mentioned 'central' patterns were not so much copied as supplemented, in what we could describe as a complementary approach. Finally, sometimes mountains were the arena of relatively independent social, economic, and ideological development, where specific forms of settlement, modes of subsistence, and social structures developed. The latter could sometime be in a kind of opposition/contestation to social structures typical of other landscape zones.

Last but not least, the papers gathered in this volume show that there can be many facets to mountain archaeology. What they have in common is the specific nature of the finds, different from those recovered from other ecological zones more typically exploited by human communities. Moreover, this specific nature manifests itself in various dimensions. It takes much patience, consideration, and analytical and interpretational skills to discover these specific finds, properly classify and interpret them, and set them within their proper cultural and natural contexts. The practice proves, however, that systematic, consistent, and long-range research programmes realised in mountain environments will ultimately produce desired, interesting, and sometimes surprising results.

Acknowledgements

We greatly acknowledge the invaluable help in editing all contributions provided by PhD students of the Institute of Archaeology, Jagiellonian University: Agnieszka Brzeska-Pasek, Robert Kenig, Tomasz Oberc, and Justyna Zakrzeńska. Thanks are also due to Aeddan Shaw and Piotr Godlewski for language proofreading of all texts.