A Comprehensive Survey of Rock Art in Upper Tibet. Volume I. Eastern Byang thang



A Comprehensive Survey of Rock Art in Upper Tibet

Volume I Eastern Byang thang

John Vincent Bellezza



ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD Summertown Pavilion 18-24 Middle Way Summertown Oxford OX2 7LG

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978-1-80327-503-1 ISBN 978-1-80327-504-8 (e-Pdf)

© Archaeopress and the author 2023

Cover: Gnam mtsho (Sky Lake) from the southeast side of the lake. Photograph was taken in the early morning during placid weather. Spine: Outline of Inv. no. S1_L9_C11a: stag. Protohistoric Period. Cat107.

Maps and diagrams by Brian Sebastian and John Vincent Bellezza.



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Contents

List of Figures	V
List of Maps	xi
List of Catalogue Images	xii
Acknowledgements	xvii
Precis	
Section I. General Introduction	1
Ia. A Geographic, Environmental, and Administrative Review	
Ib. Ancient Cultural Characteristics of the Eastern Byang thang	
Ic. Rock Art Sites of Upper Tibet	
Section II. About this Rock Art Inventory	14
IIa. Overview	
IIb. Fundamental Terms Used in the Rock Art Inventory	
IIc. Key Categories of Data Provided in the Rock Art Inventory	
IId. Abbreviations Used in the Rock Art Inventory	
Section III. Rock Art and Associated Sites of Lake Gnam mtsho (S1–S12)	26
IIIa. Geographic, Cultural and Historical Overview	
IIIb. G.yang phug	30
IIIc. Bra gu rta ra	
IIId. An Investigation of Bkra shis do (S1 and S2)	34
Inventoried Rock Art Sites	
Bkra shis do chen (S1)	
The Pyramidal Nooks (S1_L1 to S1_L3)	
Pyramidal Nook West (S1_L1)	45
Margin between the pyramidal nooks (S1_L2)	
Pyramidal Nook East (S1_L3)	
Sangs rgyas yar byon phug (S1_L4)	
Cleft southeast of Sangs rgyas yar byon phug (S1-L5)	
The twin caves (S1_L6 and S1_L7)	
Twin Cave West (S1_L6) Twin Cave East (S1_L7)	
S1_L8	
Brag phying gur phug (S1_L9 to S1_L11)	
Brag phying gur phug West (S1_L9)	
Central rib of Brag phying gur phug (S1_L10)	
Brag phying gur phug East (S1_L11)	
Glang ma phug (S1_L12)	
Twin Niches (S1_L13 and S1_L14)	
Twin Niche West (S1_L13)	
Twin Niche East (S1_L14)	
Brag dkar (S1_L15 to S1_L17)	
Brag dkar Escarpment (S1_L15)	130
Brag dkar Cleft (S1_L16)	
Brag dkar Recess (S1_L17)	
Stag lung pa'i phug and adjacent areas (SI_L18)	
S1_L19	
\$1_L20	148

Sngon phug (S1_L21)	
Thang lha'i rgyal sgo (S1_L22)	151
S1_L23	153
Rgyal sgo sna lung phug (S1_L24)	154
Sangs rgyas yar byon sgrub phug (S1_L25)	155
Klu khang (S1_L26 to S1_L30)	156
Klu khang, Main Chamber (S1_L26)	
Klu khang, Ancillary Chamber 1 (S1_L27)	159
Klu khang, Ancillary Chamber 2 (S1_L28)	162
Klu khang, Ancillary Chamber 3 (S1_L29)	170
Klu khang, Ancillary Chamber 4 (S1_L30)	
Thang lha'i mdzod khang (S1_L31)	180
S1_L32	
S1_L33	
S1_L34	
S1_L35	
Dmag zor rgyal mo rang byon (S1_L36)	186
Bkra shis do chung (S2)	
S2 L1	
S2 L2	
S2_L3	190
S2_L4	191
S2_L5	191
Gdung rtan mkha' 'gro gter yig (S2_L6)	192
S2_L7	192
Gu ru sgrub phug (S2_L8)	193
S2_L9	
Sri gcod bum pa (S2_L10)	194
'Jams dbyangs phug (S2_L11)	195
O rgyan phug (S2_L12)	201
Gu ru bzhugs khri (S2_L13)	203
S2_L14	
Bde mchog yab yum (S2_L15)	205
S2_L16	205
\$2_L17	
Bskal pa'i mi tshad lta sa khung (S2_L18)	
Rlung ra phug (S2_L19)	
S2_L20	
S2_L21	
Rdo zhun phug (S2_L22)	
Pad sdong phug (S2_L23)	
Dung dkar rang byon (S2_L24)	
Big Khyung Cave (S2_L25)	
S2_L26	
Las kyi sko phug (S2_L27)	
Sdig pa'i 'dzul khung (S2_L28)	
Dbu ma phug S2_L29	
Srin po'i ro rdo rgyag sargyag sa (S2_L30)	
Bon kyi phug (S2_L31)	
Mna' bshags phug (S2_L32)	
Zhwa dmar phug (S2_L33)	
Sgrol ma phug (S2_L34)	
Rta mchog ngang pa do (S3)	
S3_L1	
S3_L2	
S3_L3	
\$3_L4	280

\$3_L5	280
S3_L6	283
S3_L7	284
Innominate (S4)	287
\$4_L1	288
S4_L2	
S4_L3	
Innominate (S5)	
Innominate (S6)	
\$6_L1	
\$6_L2	
\$6_L3	
\$6_L4	
S6_L5	
Lug do (S7)	
S7_L1	
\$7_L2	
\$7_L3	
S7_L4	
S7_L5	
S7_L6	
\$7_L7	
Ra ma do (S8)	
S8_L1	
\$8_L2	
S8_L3	
\$8_L4	
S8_L3	
Stong snong pring (39)	
\$9_L1 \$9_L2	
Stong shong phug (S9_L3)	
Se mo do (\$10)	
S10 L1	
Klu khang che (S10_L2)	
Klu khang chung (S10_L3)	
Rgwa lo phug (S10_L4)	
Rgyal ba lo ras pa phug (S10_L5)	359
Rigs Inga do (S11)	
S11 L1	
S11 L2	
S11_L3	
S11_L4	
S11_L5	365
Lce do (S12)	
Sha ba brag (S13)	
Thang stong rgyal po phug (\$13)	427
Kong chung (S14)	429
Gnam g.yang phug (S15)	431
Gnam g.yang phug (S15_L1)	434
S15_L2	
Lha ris sgrub phug (S16)	446
Slob dpon phug (S17)	
Sho lo phug (S18)	
Lha 'dre phug (S19)	
Gzims phug btsan khang (S20)	
Dpal gzims phug (S21)	464

Rta ra dmar lding (S21_L1)	465
Tsha tsha repositories (S21_L2)	468
Chos lung phug (S21_L3)	470
Chos lung O royan hsam otan oling (\$21_I4)	472
Rdo 'khor phug pa (S22)	475
Dgon ro dmar lding (S23)	482
Rdo 'khor phug pa (S22)	486
Dar lung phug pa (S25)	489
Skyid sgrom sgo gru bzhi (S26)	493
Sgar gsol brag phug (S27)	494
Chu ro (S28)	504
Sgar gsol brag phug (S27)	516
Bibliography	
Catalogue of Images	524
Concordance	670
Catalogue No. — Inventory No	670
Inventory No. — Image No.	677

List of Figures

Figure 1.	Gnam mtsho from the southeast side of the lake	
Figure 2.	A typical family of herders ('brog pa) on the Eastern Byang thang	27
Figure 3.	A view of Nam mkha'i do looking west from Ra ma do	30
Figure 4.	The cave of G.yang phug on the headland of Nam mkha'i do, Gnam mtsho, with debris of ancient structures in front	
Figure 5.	G.yang phug	
Figure 6.	The second largest cave at G.yang phug and outlying structural remains	31
Figure 7.	The ruined mud brick <i>chorten</i> next to the second largest cave at G.yang phug	32
Figure 8.	A substantial masonry structure at the base of Nam mkha'i do near G.yang phug	32
Figure 9.	The middle tumulus (foreground) and north tumulus at G.yang phug The north side of Bra gu rta ra as viewed from the lower reaches of the ridge	32
Figure 10.	The north side of Bra gu rta ra as viewed from the lower reaches of the ridge	33
Figure 11.	Bra gu rta ra as seen from the west	33
Figure 12.	Bra gu rta ra as seen from the east	33
Figure 13.	The long north wall and west wall (background) of the large enclosure at Bra gu rta ra	34
Figure 14.	An internal structure on the northeast side of the enclosure at Bra gu rta ra	34
Figure 15.	The southwest portion of the large enclosure at Bra gu rta ra	34
Figure 16.	The southeast corner of the large enclosure of Braguerta ra	35
Figure 17.	The central partition wall dividing the large enclosure into southern and northern halves	35
Figure 18.	The footings of two structures lying outside of the northeast side of the large enclosure at Bra gu rta ra	35
Figure 19.	The wedge-shaped headland of Bkra shis do (middle) as seen from an outlier of the Gnyan chen thang lha range	36
Figure 20.	Bkra shis do as viewed from the tip of the headland of Do ring, on the opposite side of Gnam mtsho	36
Figure 21.	The view from the Bkra shis do bathing head	37
Figure 22.	One of the archaic cave shelters on the south side of Bkra shis do chung (S2) in the vicinity of S2_L12 to S2_L14	37
Figure 23.	The remains of another cave shelter between S2_L12 and S2_L14 of Bkra shis do chung	37
Figure 24.	Another view of the front wall of the multi-roomed cliff shelter in Figure 23	38
Figure 25.	Now reduced to piles of rubble, a substantial residential structure built against the base of the formation appears to	
	have once stood at the pictured location	38
Figure 26.	Structural traces near the Bkra shis do chung feature called Sman bla pho brang	38
Figure 27.	The red ochre pit mine at Bkra shis do chen (reddish patch in the middle of the photograph)	39
Figure 28	The interior of the red ochre mine (<i>ater kha</i>) at Bkra shis do chen	39
Figure 29.	The two pyramidal nooks and rib of limestone rock dividing them (S1 L1 to S1 L3)	44
Figure 30.	The two pyramidal nooks and rib of limestone rock dividing them (S1_L1 to S1_L3)	46
Figure 31.	Locations of rock art in S1_L1	46
Figure 32.	The margin between the pyramidal nooks (S1_L2)	56
Figure 33.	Pyramidal Nook East (S1_L3)	58
Figure 34.	Locations of rock art in S1_L3	58
Figure 35.	Sangs rgyas yar byon phug (S1_L4)	69
Figure 36.	The cleft southeast of Sangs rgyas yar byon phug (S1-L5)	74
Figure 37.	The cleft southeast of Sangs rgyas yar byon phug (S1-L5)	76
Figure 38.	Twin Cave West (S1_L6)	76
Figure 39.	Locations of rock art in S1_L7	79
Figure 40.	S1_L7_C8 (left), S1_L7_C9 (centre left) and a Tibetan letter A written in an archaic script (centre right)	81
Figure 41.	An unnamed recess in the Bkra shis do chen formation (S1 L8)	84
Figure 42.	The outcrop containing Brag phying gur phug (S1_L9 to S1_L11) as seen from the east	85
Figure 43.	Brag phying gur phug West (S1_L9) on the right side of the image and Brag phying gur phug East (S1_L11) on the left	
O	side, with S1_L10 in the centre	86
Figure 44.	Brag phying gur phug West (S1_L9)	88
	Locations of rock art on the right wall of S1_L9	
Figure 46.	Locations of rock art on the left wall of S1_L9	96
Figure 47.	Composition S1_L9_C41 (upper left), S1_L9_C42, S1 (upper middle), S1_L9_C43 (lower middle), and surrounding	
O	enigmatic figures	 97
Figure 48.	The central rib of Brag phying gur phug (S1_L10)	.104
	Ideogram-like characters situated below S1_L10_C8c	
Figure 50.	The left wall of S1_L9 (right), S1_L10 (middle right) and right wall of S1_L11 (left)	.107
	Locations of rock art in S1_L11	
	The outer right wall of S1_L11	
	The inner left wall of S1_L11	
	The stand of dwarf willow concealing S1_L12	
	The rock art in the rear of S1_L12	
	An outer section of S1_L12_C7 is visible near the top of the photograph on the middle-left side	
	Twin Niche West (S1_L13)	

	Locations of rock art in S1_L13	
Figure 59.	Twin Niche East (S1_L14)	129
Figure 60.	The view east from Brag dkar	130
	Locations of rock art on Brag dkar Escarpment (S1_L15)	
Figure 62.	The panel hosting subjects including S1_L15_C3 to S1_L15_C14	131
Figure 63.	There is a set of much smaller figures next to and superimposed on S1_L17_C3b that may also be symbolic in nature or	•
	representative of a system of writing (NIS)	137
Figure 64.	Compositions S1_L17_C11 (bottom), S1_L17_C15 (lower left), S1_L17_C16 (middle right), S1_L17_C17 (upper middle) and S1_L17_C18 (upper left)	d 139
Figure 65.	Compositions S1_L17_C21 (lower right), S1_L17_C22 (upper right), S1_L17_C23 (upper left) and S1_L17_C24 (upper far left side of image) are visible in the photo	
Figure 66.	Compositions S1_L17_C25 (upper right side), S1_L17_C26 (middle), S1_L17_C27 (right and centre), S1_L17_C28 (lower right), and S1_L17_C26 (lower left)	
Figure 67	Compositions S1_L18_C2 to S1_L18_C5	145
Figure 67.	The syllables <i>Om</i> and <i>ma</i> in conjunct form inscribed below S1_L18_C7	145
Figure 60	The Tibetan letter A, which is provisionally attributed to the S1_L18 locus. Vestigial period	145
Figure 70	The panel containing the eight inventoried compositions of S1_L19. S1_L19_C1	140
Figure 71	Panel containing compositions S1_L21_C5 to S1_L21_C11	150
Figure 72	A portion of the cliff face in S1_L22	151
Figure 73	The various compositions of S1_L24	154
Figure 74	The main chamber of the Klu khang (S1_L26)	156
Figure 75	Klu khang, Ancillary Chamber 1 (S1_L27)	159
Figure 76	Klu khang, Ancillary Chamber 2 (S1_L28)	162
Figure 77	Locations of the rock art on the middle rear wall of S1_L28	163
Figure 78	The left wall of S1_L28	166
Figure 79.	Locations of rock art on the left wall of S1_L28	167
	Klu khang, Ancillary Chamber 3 (S1_L29)	
Figure 81	The left wall of the Klu khang, Ancillary Chamber 3	171
	Locations of rock art on the upper left wall of S1_L29	
Figure 83.	Klu khang, Ancillary Chamber 4 (S1_L30)	177
Figure 84.	Locations of rock art on the left wall of S1_L30, which contains a group of thematically interrelated pictographs	
	and Tibetan inscriptions	
Figure 85.	The rock art of S1_L35	184
_	The twin rock pinnacles named Sgo srung khro bo khro mo stand guard at the start of the pilgrim circuit around Bkra shis do chung (S2)	
Figure 87.	Zhabs drung lha khang, Bkra shis do chung	188
Figure 88.	The motorable road cut around Bkra shis do chung	189
Figure 89.	The exterior of one of the cave residences that was being built in S2_L1 in 2012	189
Figure 90.	The interior of the same cave residence in S2_L1	190
Figure 91.	Folios written in Kalika (Mongolian) recovered from Rgwa lo gser bum by local religious custodians	194
Figure 92.	Locations of some of the rock art at 'Jams dbyangs phug (S2_L11)	196
Figure 93.	The locations of rock art in S2_L12 are encompassed by this image	201
Figure 94.	The two free-standing pinnacles near the centre of the image are known as Bde mchog yab yum (S2_L15)	205
	The cliff face of S2_L17	
Figure 96.	Rlung ra phug (S2_L19)	209
	The right wall of Rlung ra phug (S2_L19)	
	The cave of S2_L20	
Figure 99.	Pad sdong phug (S2_L23)	212
Figure 100.	The contending religious symbols and mantras of Dung dkar rang byon (S2_L24)	214
	Big Khyung Cave (S2_L25)	
Figure 102.	Locations of rock art in S2_L25	216
Figure 103.	The horned eagle (S2_L25_C1a) and Phyag na rdo rje (S2_L25_C1b) pictographs that dominate the central rib of the cave	 217
Figure 104.	Compositions S2_L25_C5, S2_L25_C6, S2_L25_C7, and S2_L25_C8	
Figure 105.	Composition S2_L26_C10 and an accompanying Tibetan dbu med (headless letters) inscription	226
Figure 106.	The locations or rock art on a large panel on the right wall of S2_L26	228
Figure 107.	The western extremity of Bkra shis do chung around Srin po'i ro do rgyag sa (S2_L30)	235
	Bon kyi phug (S2_L31)	
Figure 109.	Mna' bshags phug (S2_L32)	237
Figure 110.	Locations of some of the rock art in S2_L33	238
Figure 111.	Sgrol ma phug (S2_L34)	
	This large pile of stones is called Sdig pa'i khur bo bab sa rdo phug (Stone Heap of the Landing Place of the Load	
	of Sins)	
	Locations of rock art in the outer section of the left wall of S2_L34	
Figure 114.	The right section of the rear wall of the cave	245
Figure 115.	Locations of rock art in the central section of the rear wall of S2_L34	250
	A portion of the rock art of the central and left sections of the rear wall of S2_L34	
FIGURE 117	LOCATIONS OF FOCK ART ON THE JETT SECTION OF THE FEAR WALL OF NO. 1.34	259

Figure 118.	A non-Buddhist swastika and inscription in a rock shelter on Do ring	 267
Figure 119.	The ruined rock shelter that contains the inscription and rock art at Do ring	 267
Figure 120.	Rta mchog ngang pa do (S3) as seen from the east	269
Figure 121.	Rta mchog ngang pa do (S3) as viewed from the north	269
Figure 122	An old dwarf juniper tree (Juniperus pingii var. wilsonii) clinging to the Rta mchog ngang pa do formation	270
	The sacred mountain Gnyan chen thang lha as seen from Rta mchog ngang pa do	
Figure 123.	The sacred mountain on yan chen thang ma as seen from Kta inchog ngang pa do	270
Figure 124.	. The two conical formations at Kta microg ngang pa do which are said to be the ears of the norse (middle of	
	the image)	272
Figure 125.	The building complex on the summit of the northwest horse's ear, as seen from the base of the formation	 272
Figure 126.	The entrance to the shaft with a stone staircase can be seen at the base of the two halves of the formation	272
Figure 127.	The building complex atop the horse's ear, with the vast expanse of Gnam mtsho surrounding it on three sides	273
Figure 128	The horse's ear lower/main structure, a complex of small buildings or rooms as viewed from the east	273
Figure 120	The horse's ear lower structure as seen from the north side	273
Figure 120.	The lower tier and corridor or passageway of the horse's ear lower structure	277
Tigure 130.	The lower tier and corridor or passageway of the noise's ear lower structure	2/4
Figure 131.	The upper tier of the horse's ear lower structure as viewed from above or to the south	2/4
Figure 132.	The upper structure on the horse's ear at Rta mchog ngang pa do	275
Figure 133.	The vestiges of an ancient cave shelter on the rocky spine of the headland opposite the horse's ears formations	 275
Figure 134.	Another ancient cave shelter at Rta mchog ngang pa do	275
Figure 135.	S3_L1	276
	S3_L2	
Figure 137	The rock art panel of S3 L2 C1	279
	Innominate (S3_L5)	
Figure 140	A highly worn non-Buddhist inscription in S3_L7	204 20E
rigure 140.	A riiginy worn non-buddriist instription in 55_1/	205
	The limestone escarpments with rock art sites S4 (foreground) and S5 (far left)	
	S4	
Figure 143.	The panel of red ochre and black pigment pictographs in L4_S1	 289
Figure 144.	This swastika was painted in a cave between S4 and S5	292
Figure 145.	S5 is the escarpment on the right side of the photograph (the rock art is in a cave out of view)	293
Figure 146	S3 is the long headland extending to the far-right side of the photograph	294
Figure 147	The sub-rectangular stone enclosure situated east of S6	206
Figure 147.	The escarpment of S6	206
Figure 148.	The escarpment of so	290
Figure 149.	S6_L3 (shallow cave on the right)	298
Figure 150.	The locations of rock art in one section of S6_L4	301
Figure 151.	Shown here is a group of pictographs on the left side of the rear wall and the inner section of the left wall of S6_L4	301
Figure 152.	The outer left wall of S6_L4, with a portion of the rear wall (far right)	305
Figure 153.	Locations of rock art on the outer left wall of S6 L4	305
Figure 154.	The red ochre pictographs on the left wall of S6_L5 except for S6_L5_C1	309
Figure 155	The locations of rock art in S6_L5 up to S6_L5_C31	310
Figure 155.	A large selection of pictographs on the inner left wall of S6_L5	212
Figure 150.	Lug do (S7) is on the left side of the photograph and Ra mo do (S8) on the right	217
Figure 158.	The restricted access on the east side of the archaic residential installation at Lug do can be seen in the foreground	318
	The highly dissolute structural remains along the natural stone rampway at Lug do	
Figure 160.	The structural vestiges of the lowermost cliff shelter on the rampway	319
Figure 161.	The structural traces of the lower-middle cliff shelter on the rampway	319
Figure 162.	The structural traces of the upper-middle cliff shelter on the rampway	319
Figure 163	The structural traces of the uppermost cliff shelters on the rampway	320
	The stone and adobe residential complex in the west portion of the south side of Lug do	
Figure 104.	Another view of the old residential and ritual complex in the west portion of the south side of Lug do	221
rigure 165.	Another view of the old residential and ritual complex in the west portion of the south side of Edg do	321
	S7_L1	
	S7_L2	
	Locations of some of the rock art in S7_L2	
Figure 169.	The interior of a recess a few metres west of the main rock panel	326
	S7_L3	
	S2_L4	
Figure 172	S2_L5 is the recess in the middle of the image	331
	S7_L6	
	Ra ma do (S8) as seen from the east	
	Ra ma do (S8) is on the right side (west) and Lug do on the left side (east) of the photograph	
	A small, walled enclosure on the east side of Ra ma do	
	S8_L1	
	Locations of rock art in S8_L1	
	S8_L2_C2	
Figure 180	Tibetan red ochre inscription in S8_L5	340
Figure 191	The formation of Stong shong phug (S9) in the background	3/11
Figure 101,	The three pinnacles of Rigs gsum mgon po (named after the three primary bodhisattvas)	217
rigure 102.	The three primacies of rigs gsum ingon po (named after the three primary bournsativas)	342
rigure 183.	S9_12	343
	Stong shong phug proper (S9_L3) before redevelopment by the Chinese	
	The passageway in the roof of Stong shong phug	215

Figure 186. One of the ancient stone enclosures in the vicinity of Stong shong phug	345
Figure 187. Stong shong phug after redevelopment	345
Figure 188. View out from the rear of Stong shong phug	
Figure 189. S9_L3.	
Figure 190. Locations of rock art on and around the central panel of S9_L3 Figure 191. The island of Se mo do (S10) as seen from Rigs lnga do (S11) to the north	
Figure 191. The Island of Se mo do (S10) as seen from Rigs inga do (S11) to the hortin Figure 192. One of the cairns on the summit of Se mo do looking out to the west	254
Figure 193. A large stone plaque with a carving in relief of the wrathful tutelary deity known as Khro bo bdud rtsis 'khyil ba	354
Figure 194. S10_L1	355
Figure 195. The surrounding structures and cave mouth of S10_L2	356
Figure 196. The exterior structures in front of S10_L3	357
Figure 197. The rock art of S10_L3	357
Figure 198. Rgwa lo phug	
Figure 199. S10_L5	
Figure 200. Rigs lnga do from the south	361
Figure 201. It is pinnacles such as those pictured for which Rigs lnga do is named	
Figure 202. S11_L1	
Figure 203. S11_L3	363
Figure 204. S11_L4	364
Figure 205. S11_L5 is situated at the bottom of the far left side of the formation	365
Figure 206. The headland of Lce do as seen from the south	
Figure 207. Lce do (S12)	367
Figure 208. The interior of the cave pullulating with rock art at Lce do (S12)	368
Figure 209. The pictographs on the right outer side of Lce do	368
Figure 210. Locations of pictographs on the right outer side of Lce do (S12) Figure 211. Locations of many pictographs on the central rear and left walls of Lce do (S12)	369
Figure 211. Locations of many pictographs on the central rear and left walls of Lce do (S12)	384
Figure 212. The rear wall of Lce do	385
Figure 213. Composition S12_C143 (hunters on foot and two yaks is in the middle of the photograph) Figure 214. Locations of some pictographs on the left wall of Lce do (S12)	399
Figure 215. A red ochre swastika situated just east of Locus 4, on a headland called Gyer ru mtsho do	417
Figure 216. The sacred Mount Spo che from the west as seen from the summit of the headland known as Gyer ru mtsho do	/11Q
Figure 217. The headland of Gyer ru mtsho do (small dark formation in the middle of the photograph)	410 418
Figure 218. Glang chen phug, Gyer ru mtsho do	
Figure 219. The ancient cave shelter of Locus 1 on the north side of Gyer ru mtsho do	
Figure 220. The crumbling masonry walls and escaroment with cave of Locus 2. Gver ru mtsho do	420
Figure 220. The crumbling masonry walls and escarpment with cave of Locus 2, Gyer ru mtsho do Figure 221. The rock shelters of Locus 4, Gyer ru mtsho do	421
Figure 222. The walled cave and anterior structures of Locus 5, Gyer ru mtsho do	422
Figure 223. The interior of the cave in Locus 5	422
Figure 224. Structural remains in Locus 6, Gyer ru mtsho do	
Figure 225. The horizontal fissures of Locus 7 situated near the summit of the escarpment, Gyer ru mtsho do	423
Figure 226. The forward and inner walls in the central fissure of Locus 7, Gyer ru mtsho do	424
Figure 227. The ruined cave shelter of the central fissure, Locus 7, Gyer ru mtsho do	424
Figure 228. Sha ba brag monastery at the foot of the formation	426
Figure 229. The main group of ancient ruins at Sha ba brag	
Figure 230. The outer wall surrounding Thang stong phug (S13), Sha ba brag	
Figure 231. Passageway between two anterooms with rear of the cave in the middle, Thang stong phug, Sha ba brag	427
Figure 232. The natural rock shelter at Kong chung (S14)	
Figure 233. Gnam g.yang phug (S15_L1)Figure 234. Gnam g.yang phug (S15_L1)	432
Figure 235. Gnam g.yang phug (\$15_L1)	
Figure 236. The remains of the extensive masonry façade that once barricaded Gnam g.yang phug (S15_L1)	432
Figure 237. The interior of the left or main chamber of Gnam g.yang phug (S15_L1)	433
Figure 238. The main chamber of Gnam g.yang phug (S15_L1)	433
Figure 239. Locations of pictographs on the upper section of the large panel of Gnam g.yang phug (S15_L1)	436
Figure 240. Locations of pictographs on the lower section of the large panel of Gnam g.yang phug (S15)	
Figure 241. The cave of S15_L2 can be seen on the upper-left side of the limestone outcrop	
Figure 242. The rectangular mouth of S15_L2	445
Figure 243. Wall fragments composing part of the forward structural features of S15_L2	445
Figure 244. The interior of S15 L2	445
Figure 245. Lha ris sgrub phug (S16) is in the middle of the pictured limestone outcrop	446
Figure 246. The mouth of Lha ris sgrub phug (S16)	447
Figure 247. The interior of Lha ris sgrub phug (S16)	447
Figure 248. Locations of pictographs in Lha ris srub phug (S16)	
Figure 249. Slob dpon phug (S17)	452
Figure 250. North chamber of Slob dpon phug (S17)	453
Figure 251. Slob dpon phug (S17), south chamber	
Figure 252. The remains of the stone staircase that lead up to Slob dpon phug	
Figure 253. A portion of the old wall set at the base of the steep approach to Slob dpon phug	454

Figure 254	I agatiana af agus nigtagrapha in Slala duan plana	455
Figure 254.	Locations of some pictographs in Slob dpon phug	.455
Figure 255.	Approaching Sho lo phug (S18), at the base of the limestone formation on the right side	.459 461
Figure 250.	Lha 'dre phug (519) and surroundings Lha 'dre phug (519)	.401 161
Figure 25%	The limestone formation of Gzims phug btsan khang	462
Figure 250.	Gzims phug btsan khang (S20)	462
Figure 260	Looking out from the mouth of Gzims phug btsan khang north towards Mtsho sngon	463
Figure 261.	The Buddhist monastery of Dpal gzims phug	464
Figure 262.	The gorge of Rta dmar Iding (\$21 L1)	465
Figure 263.	The gorge of Rta dmar lding (\$21_L1)	467
Figure 264.	Chos lung phug (S21 L3)	470
Figure 265.	The ruined Buddhist retreat centre in Chos lung phug (S21_L3) (right), and a more ancient cliff structure (left)	470
Figure 266.	The ancient cliff shelter in Chos lung (S21_L3)	470
Figure 267.	Chos lung O rgyan bsam gtan gling (S21 L4)	472
Figure 268.	The summit of the formation just above Chos lung O rgyan bsam gtan gling (S21_L4)	472
Figure 269.	Counter-clockwise swastika in an orange pigment on the south wall of Phug pa smug gdong (S21)	474
Figure 270.	Counter-clockwise swastika (45 cm in height) painted at the base of a natural archway, Glang chen brag khung	
	(Elephant Formation Grotto), Dpal gzims phug	. 474
Figure 271.	The natural archway (4 m in height) of Glang chen brag khung, Dpal gzims phug	 474
Figure 272.	The ruined edifice as seen from the landing in front of Rdo 'khor phug pa (S22)	 476
Figure 273.	The ruined limestone hulk below Rdo 'khor phug pa as seen from the southeast	 476
Figure 274.	The ruins of what may have been a building of significant size at Rdo 'khor phug pa as seen from the south	477
Figure 275.	The forward (east) revetment and freestanding wall fragments of the ostensible building at Rdo 'khor phug pa	477
Figure 276.	The north (foreground), east wall (left) and south wall (background) of the ostensible edifice at Rdo 'khor phug pa	477
Figure 277.	The limestone formation in which the Rdo 'khor phug pa cave sanctuary (S22) and associated ruins are located	478
Figure 2/8.	The cave of Rdo Knor phug pa (S22) The remains of the stone stairway embedded into the formation below the entrance to the cave	.4/8
Figure 279.	The remains of the stone stairway embedded into the formation below the entrance to the cave The remains of revetments and a landing just below the mouth of Rdo 'khor phug pa	.478
Figure 280.	The remains of reverments and a familing just below the mouth of Rdo Knor pring pa	.479 470
Figure 201.	The mouth of Rdo 'khor phug pa (S22)	4/9
i igure 202.	limestone formation	182
Figure 283	Another view of the esplanade with the remains of Dgon ro dmar lding (S23)	483
Figure 284	Caves and ruins against the rear wall of the esplanade at Dgon ro dmar lding (S23)	483
Figure 285.	Lha'dre tshogs khang (S24)	486
Figure 286.	Dar lung phug pa (S25)	489
Figure 287.	The lower cliff shelter and north part of the ledge at Dar lung phug pa (S25)	489
Figure 288.	The upper cliff shelter at Dar lung phug pa (S25)	490
Figure 289.	The upper cliff shelter and the remains of the stone steps embedded in the formation that access it, Dar lung	
	phug pa (S25)	. 490
Figure 290.	The lower cliff shelter (lower right) and stone steps accessing the upper cliff shelter (upper left), Dar lung	
	phug pa (S25)	. 491
	The limestone formation with Sgar gsol brag phug (S27)	
Figure 292.	The approach to Sgar gsol brag phug (S27)	 495
Figure 293.	The entrance to Sgar gsol brag phug (S27) and one of the skylights above it	 495
Figure 294.	The entrance and the lowest skylight of Sgar gsol brag phug (S27) from the interior	 495
Figure 295.	Massive masonry walls set inside the forward portion of Sgar gsol brag phug (S27)	496
Figure 296.	The central and rear portions of Sgar gsol brag phug (S27)	496
Figure 297.	Looking towards the entrance of Sgar gsol brag phug from the rear of the cave	496
Figure 298.	The rock formation of Chu ro (S28)	.504
	The overhang at Chu ro (\$28)	
Figure 300.	The crumbling walls of one of the structures at the base of the rear wall of the huge overhang that shelters Chu	505
rigure 301.	ro (\$28)	505
Figure 302	Another structure at the foot of the overhang, Chu ro (S28)	. 505 506
	The three built <i>chortens</i> of Chu ro (\$28) viewed from the east	
	The chortens of Chu ro (S28) as seen from the southeast	
	The trio of built <i>chortens</i> were placed on a wide masonry platform	
	Traces of what appear to be the original embellishment of one of the <i>chortens</i> , made with red, yellow, and white	
5	mineral pigments	508
Figure 307.	A ma ni mantra written in red ochre on the north chorten in Chu ro (S28)	
Figure 308.	Across the top of the image there is a ma ni mantra that was sloppily written	509
Figure 309.	A ladder-like structure bisecting the four graduated tiers of the north and east sides of the north chorten	510
Figure 310.	The twin <i>chortens</i> act as a front structure to the recess on the west side of the overhang	510
Figure 311.	The interior of the chamber	 511
	A view of the corbelled ceiling over the chamber created by the twin chortens erected at Chu ro	 511
Figure 313.	Note the two tiny caves positioned above and to the left of a panel of bichrome rock art in the upper-middle part of	
	the photograph	
Figure 314.	A close-up view of the cave on the right in Figure 313	512

Figure 315. West of the big overhang at Chu ro (S28) is a smaller unmodified cave perched on the steep slopes of the same	
limestone formation	512
Figure 316. One of the stone structures in the valley below Chu ro	512
Figure 317. One of the ostensible shrines on the valley floor below the Chu ro formation	513
Figure 318. Another example of the five stone structures situated in the valley below Chu ro	
Figure 319. The limestone formation and cave of O rtsal phug (S29)	516
Figure 320. O rtsal phug (S29)	516

List of Maps

Map 1.	Map of the Tibetan Plateau and adjoining countries	2
Map 2.	Map of the Tibetan Plateau and adjoining countries Location of Upper Tibet	2
Map 3.	Locations (digital degrees) of all rock art sites in Upper Tibet surveyed in this work	11
Map 4.	Rock art sites around Lake Gnam mtsho in the southeast portion of the Byang thang	27
Map 5.	The various loci of rock art at Bkra shis do chen (S1)	43
Map 6.	The various loci of rock art at Bkra shis do chung (S2)	187
Map 7.	The rock art loci of Rta mchog ngang pa do (S3)	268
Map 8.	The rock art loci of S4	287
Map 9.	The rock art locus of S5	293
Map 10.	The rock art loci of S6	295
Map 11.	The rock art loci of Lug do (S7)	317
Map 12.	The rock art loci of Ra ma do (S8)	333
Map 13.	The rock art loci of Stong shong phug (S9)	341
Map 14.	The rock art loci of Se mo do (S10)	353
Map 15.	Rock art loci at Rigs lnga do (S11)	361
Map 16.	Lce do (S12)	366
Map 17.	Sha ba brag (S13)	425
Map 18.	Sha ba brag (S13)	429
Map 19.	Gnam g.yang phug (S15) and Lha ris sgrub phug	431
Map 20.	Sites S17 to S29 situated near the lakes of Mtsho sngon and Ser gling mtsho	452
Map 21.	The four loci of Dual gzims phug (S21)	

List of Catalogue Images

Cat1	524	Cat62	534	Cat123	544
Cat3	524	Cat63	534	Cat124	544
Cat5	524	Cat64 DEI	534	Cat125	544
Cat2		Cat65	534	Cat126	544
Cat4	524	Cat66 DEI	534	Cat127	545
Cat6	524	Cat67	535	Cat128	545
Cat7	525	Cat68	535	Cat129	545
Cat8	525	Cat69	535	Cat130	545
Cat9	525	Cat70 DEI	535	Cat131	545
Cat10	525	Cat71		Cat132	
Cat11	525	Cat72	535	Cat133	546
Cat12	525	Cat73	536	Cat134	546
Cat13	526	Cat74		Cat135	
Cat14		Cat75		Cat136	
Cat15	526	Cat76	536	Cat137	546
Cat16		Cat77	536	Cat138	
Cat17		Cat78		Cat139 DEI	
Cat18	526	Cat79	537	Cat140 DEI	547
Cat19	527	Cat80	537	Cat141	547
Cat20		Cat81		Cat142 DEI	
Cat21		Cat82		Cat143	
Cat22		Cat83 DEI		Cat144	
Cat23	527	Cat84		Cat145	
Cat24	527	Cat85	538	Cat146	548
Cat25	528	Cat86		Cat147	
Cat26		Cat87		Cat148	
Cat27		Cat88		Cat149 DEI	
Cat28		Cat89		Cat150 DEI	
Cat29		Cat90		Cat151 DEI	
Cat30		Cat91	539	Cat152 DEI	
Cat31	529	Cat92		Cat153 DEI	549
Cat32		Cat93		Cat154 DEI	
Cat33		Cat94		Cat155	
Cat34	529	Cat95 DEI	539	Cat156	549
Cat35		Cat96	539	Cat157	550
Cat36	529	Cat97	540	Cat158	
Cat37	530	Cat98	540	Cat159	550
Cat38	530	Cat 99	540	Cat160 DEI	
Cat39	530	Cat100	540	Cat161	550
Cat40	530	Cat101	540	Cat162	550
Cat41	530	Cat102	540	Cat163	551
Cat42		Cat103	541	Cat164	
Cat43	531	Cat104	541	Cat165	551
Cat44	531	Cat105	541	Cat166	551
Cat45	531	Cat106	541	Cat167	551
Cat46	531	Cat107	541	Cat168	551
Cat47	531	Cat108	541	Cat169	552
Cat48	531	Cat109	542	Cat170	
Cat49	532	Cat110	542	Cat171	552
Cat50	532	Cat111	542	Cat172	552
Cat51	532	Cat112	542	Cat173	
Cat52 DEI	532	Cat113	542	Cat174	552
Cat53		Cat114		Cat175 DEI	
Cat54		Cat115		Cat176	
Cat55	533	Cat116	543	Cat177	553
Cat56		Cat117		Cat178	
Cat57	533	Cat118		Cat179	
Cat58	533	Cat119	543	Cat180 DEI	553
Cat59	533	Cat120	543	Cat181	554
Cat60	533	Cat121	544	Cat182	554
Cat61	534	Cat122	544	Cat183	554

Cat184		Cat 253 DEI		Cat322	
Cat185		Cat254		Cat323	
Cat186		Cat255		Cat324	
Cat187		Cat256		Cat325	
Cat188		Cat257		Cat326	
Cat189		Cat258		Cat327	
Cat190 DEI		Cat259		Cat328	
Cat191	555	Cat260 DEI	567	Cat329	578
Cat192	555	Cat261	567	Cat330	
Cat193	556	Cat262	567	Cat331	579
Cat194	556	Cat263	567	Cat332	579
Cat195	556	Cat264 DEI	567	Cat333	579
Cat196	556	Cat265	568	Cat334 DEI	579
Cat197	556	Cat266	568	Cat335 DEI	579
Cat198	556	Cat267	568	Cat336 DEI	579
Cat199 DEI	557	Cat268	568	Cat337	580
Cat200		Cat269		Cat338	
Cat201		Cat270		Cat339	
Cat202		Cat271		Cat340	
Cat203		Cat272		Cat341	
Cat204		Cat273		Cat342 DEI	
Cat205		Cat274		Cat343	
Cat206		Cat275 DEI		Cat344 DEI	
Cat206		Cat276 DEI		Cat345 DEI	
Cat208 DEI		Cat277 Cat278		Cat 346	
Cat209 DEI				Cat347	
Cat210 DEI		Cat279		Cat348 DEI	
Cat211		Cat280		Cat349	
Cat212 DEI		Cat281		Cat350 DEI	
Cat213		Cat282		Cat351	
Cat214 DEI		Cat283		Cat352 DEI	
Cat215		Cat284		Cat353	
Cat216		Cat285	571	Cat354	582
Cat217 DEI	560	Cat286 DEI	571	Cat355	583
Cat218 DEI	560	Cat287	571	Cat356	583
Cat219	560	Cat288	571	Cat357	583
Cat220	560	Cat289	572	Cat358	583
Cat221 DEI	560	Cat290	572	Cat359	583
Cat222 DEI	560	Cat291 DEI	572	Cat360	583
Cat223 DEI	561	Cat292	572	Cat361	584
Cat224 DEI	561	Cat293 DEI	572	Cat362	584
Cat225	561	Cat294 DEI	572	Cat363	584
Cat226		Cat 295		Cat364 DEI	
Cat227 DEI		Cat296		Cat365	
Cat228		Cat297		Cat366	
Cat229 DEI		Cat298		Cat367	
Cat230 DEI		Cat299		Cat368	
Cat231 DEI		Cat300		Cat369	
Cat232 DEI		Cat301		Cat370	
Cat233 DEI		Cat301		Cat371	
Cat234		Cat303		Cat372 DEI	
Cat235		Cat304		Cat373 DEI	
Cat236		Cat305		Cat374	
Cat237		Cat306		Cat375	
Cat238		Cat307 DEI		Cat376	
Cat239		Cat308		Cat377 DEI	
Cat240		Cat309		Cat378	
Cat241		Cat310		Cat379	587
Cat242	564	Cat311 DEI	 575	Cat380	
Cat243	564	Cat312	575	Cat381	587
Cat244	564	Cat313	576	Cat382	587
Cat245 DEI	564	Cat314	576	Cat383	587
Cat246	564	Cat315	576	Cat384	587
Cat247	565	Cat316		Cat385	588
Cat248		Cat317		Cat386	
Cat249		Cat318		Cat387	
Cat250		Cat319	5 / /	Cat388	วกก
Cat250		Cat319 Cat320		Cat388	

Cat391	589	Cat460	600	Cat529 DEI	612
Cat392		Cat461		Cat530	
Cat393		Cat462		Cat531 DEI	
Cat394	589	Cat463	601	Cat532	612
Cat395	589	Cat464	601	Cat533 DEI	612
Cat396		Cat465		Cat534 DEI	
Cat397		Cat466		Cat535	
Cat398		Cat467 DEI		Cat536 DEI	
Cat399		Cat468		Cat537	
Cat 401		Cat469 DEI		Cat538 DEI	
Cat401 Cat402 DEI		Cat 470 DEI		Cat540	
Cat 403 DEI		Cat 472		Cat541	
Cat404 DEI		Cat 473		Cat542	
Cat405 DEI		Cat 474 DEI		Cat543	
Cat406 DEI		Cat475 DEI	603	Cat544 DEI	614
Cat407 DEI		Cat476	603	Cat545	614
Cat408 DEI		Cat477 DEI	603	Cat546	614
Cat409	592	Cat478 DEI		Cat547	
Cat410		Cat479		Cat548	
Cat411		Cat480		Cat549 DEI	
Cat412 DEI		Cat481		Cat550	
Cat413		Cat 482 DEI		Cat551	
Cat414 Cat415 DEI		Cat484		Cat552 Cat553 DEI	
Cat416DEI		Cat 485 DEI		Cat554	
Cat417 DEI		Cat 486		Cat555 DEI	
Cat418		Cat487		Cat556	
Cat419		Cat488		Cat557 DEI	
Cat420		Cat489		Cat558 DEI	
Cat421	594	Cat490	605	Cat559	617
Cat422	594	Cat491	605	Cat560	617
Cat423	594	Cat492	605	Cat561	617
Cat424	594	Cat493 DEI		Cat562	617
Cat425		Cat494 DEI		Cat563	
Cat426		Cat495 DEI		Cat564	
Cat427		Cat496 DEI		Cat565	
Cat428		Cat 497		Cat566	
Cat429 Cat430		Cat498 Cat499 DEI		Cat567 Cat568	
Cat431		Cat500 DEI		Cat569	
Cat432		Cat501		Cat570	
Cat433		Cat502		Cat571 DEI	
Cat434 DEI		Cat503 DEI		Cat572	
Cat435	596	Cat504	607	Cat573 DEI	619
Cat436 DEI		Cat505	608	Cat574 DEI	619
Cat437 DEI	596	Cat506	608	Cat575	619
Cat438 DEI		Cat507		Cat576 DEI	
Cat439		Cat508 DEI		Cat577	
Cat 441		Cat510		Cat 578	
Cat441		Cat510		Cat579 Cat580	
Cat443		Cat512		Cat581	
Cat444		Cat513 DEI		Cat582	
Cat445 DEI		Cat514 DEI		Cat583	
Cat446 DEI		Cat515		Cat584	
Cat447		Cat516	609	Cat585 DEI	
Cat448	598	Cat517 DEI	610	Cat586 DEI	621
Cat449	598	Cat518 DEI	610	Cat587 DEI	621
Cat450		Cat519 DEI		Cat588 DEI	
Cat451		Cat520 DEI		Cat589 DEI	
Cat452		Cat521		Cat590 DEI	
Cat453		Cat522		Cat591 DEI	
Cat 455		Cat524		Cate 03 DEI	
Cat 455		Cat524		Cat593 DEI Cat594	
Cat 457		Cat526		Cat595	
Cat458		Cat527		Cat596	
Cat459		Cat528		Cat597	

C-1500 DEI	600	Calden	605	C 11707	
Cat598 DEI		Cat667		Cat736	
Cat 599		Cat668		Cat737	
Cat600 DEI		Cat 669		Cat738	
Cat601		Cat670		Cat739	
Cat602 Cat603		Cat671		Cat740 DEI	
		Cat672 Cat673			
Cat604 DEI				Cat742 DEI	
Cat605 DEI		Cat674		Cat743	
Cat606 DEI		Cat675 DEI		Cat744	
Cat607		Cat676		Cat745	
Cat608 DEI		Cat677		Cat746	
Cat609		Cat678		Cat747 DEI	
Cat610		Cat679 DEI		Cat748 DEI	
Cat611 DEI		Cat680		Cat749	
Cat612		Cat681		Cat750	
Cat613 DEI		Cat682		Cat751	
Cat614 DEI		Cat683 DEI		Cat752	
Cat615 DEI		Cat684		Cat753	
Cat616		Cat685		Cat754	
Cat617 DEI		Cat686		Cat755	
Cat618		Cat687		Cat756	
Cat619		Cat688		Cat757 DEI	
Cat620		Cat689		Cat758	
Cat621	627	Cat690		Cat759	
Cat622 DEI	627	Cat691	. 639	Cat760	. 650
Cat623	627	Cat692	. 639	Cat761 DEI	. 650
Cat624	 627	Cat693	. 639	Cat762	. 650
Cat625	628	Cat694	. 639	Cat763	. 651
Cat626 DEI	628	Cat695	. 639	Cat764 DEI	
Cat627	628	Cat696	. 639	Cat765 DEI	. 651
Cat628	628	Cat697	. 640	Cat766 DEI	. 651
Cat629 DEI	628	Cat698	. 640	Cat767 DEI	. 651
Cat630	628	Cat699 DEI	. 640	Cat768	. 651
Cat631	629	Cat700	. 640	Cat769	. 652
Cat632 DEI	629	Cat701	. 640	Cat770	. 652
Cat633 DEI	629	Cat702	. 640	Cat771 Drawing by R. Claire Bellezza	a 652
Cat634 DEI	629	Cat703	. 641	Cat772	. 652
Cat635	629	Cat704	. 641	Cat773	. 652
Cat636	629	C-170F	. 641	Cat774	652
		Cat705			. 052
Cat637	630	Cat706		Cat775	
			. 641		. 653
Cat637	630	Cat706	641 641	Cat775	. 653 . 653
Cat637 Cat638	630 630	Cat706 Cat707	641 641 641	Cat775 Cat776	. 653 . 653 . 653
Cat638 Cat639	630 630 630	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708	641 641 641	Cat775 Cat776 Cat777 DEI Cat778	. 653 . 653 . 653
Cat638Cat639Cat640	630 630 630	Cat706	641 641 641 642	Cat775 Cat776 Cat777 DEI	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653
Cat637	630 630 630 630	Cat706	641 641 641 642 642	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630	Cat706	641 641 642 642 642	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631	Cat706	641 641 642 642 642 642 642	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631	Cat706	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631	Cat706	641 641 642 642 642 642 642 642 643	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631	Cat706	641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654
Cat637	630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631	Cat706	641 641 642 642 642 642 642 642 643 643	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631	Cat706	641 641 642 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 632 632	Cat706	641 641 642 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat717 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720	641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat717 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721	641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat717 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat721 Cat722	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 643	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 632	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat717 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 632 632	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723 Cat723 Cat724 Cat723 Cat724	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 632 633 633	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat717 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723 Cat724 Cat724 Cat725	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 656 . 656
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 633 633 633	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat717 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723 Cat724 Cat725 Cat725 Cat726	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644 644	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 656 . 656 . 656
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 633 633 633 633	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat717 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723 Cat724 Cat725 Cat726 Cat726 Cat726 Cat7272 Cat726 Cat7272 Cat726 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat726 Cat7272 Cat726 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat726 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat726 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat726 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat726 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat726 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat726 Cat7272	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644 644 644	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 656 . 656 . 656
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 632 633 633 633 633	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat717 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723 Cat724 Cat725 Cat726 Cat726 Cat727 Cat728 Cat727 Cat728 Cat727 Cat728 Cat727 Cat728 Cat727 Cat728 Cat727 Cat728	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644 644 644 644 645	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656
Cat637 Cat638 Cat639 Cat640 Cat641 Cat642 Cat643 Cat644 Cat645 Cat646 Cat647 Cat648 Cat650 DEI Cat651 Cat652 Cat653 Cat654 Cat655 Cat656 Cat657 Cat658 Cat659 Cat669 Cat659 Cat660	630 630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 632 633 633 633 633 633	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723 Cat724 Cat725 Cat726 Cat7276 Cat7272 Cat728 Cat7272 Cat728 Cat7272 Cat7272 Cat728 Cat729	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644 644 644 645 645	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 632 633 633 633 633 633 633	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723 Cat724 Cat725 Cat726 Cat727 Cat728 Cat727 Cat728 Cat729 Cat730	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644 644 644 645 645	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656
Cat637 Cat638 Cat639 Cat640 Cat641 Cat642 Cat643 Cat644 Cat645 Cat646 Cat646 Cat647 Cat648 Cat650 DEI Cat651 Cat652 Cat653 Cat654 Cat655 Cat656 Cat657 Cat658 Cat669 Cat660 Cat661 Cat661 Cat662	630 630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 632 633 633 633 633 633 633 633	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723 Cat724 Cat725 Cat726 Cat7277 Cat728 Cat729 Cat730 Cat731	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644 644 644 645 645 645	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656
Cat637 Cat638 Cat639 Cat640 Cat641 Cat642 Cat643 Cat644 Cat645 Cat646 Cat646 Cat650 DEI Cat651 Cat652 Cat653 Cat654 Cat655 Cat656 Cat657 Cat658 Cat669 Cat660 Cat661 Cat662 Cat662 Cat663	630 630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 632 633 633 633 633 633 633 634 634	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723 Cat724 Cat725 Cat726 Cat7277 Cat728 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat730 Cat731 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat732 Cat732 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat730 Cat731 Cat732	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644 644 644 645 645 645 645	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 657 . 657
Cat637	630 630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 632 633 633 633 633 633 633 633 634 634	Cat706	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644 644 644 645 645 645 645 645	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 657 . 657
Cat637 Cat638 Cat639 Cat640 Cat641 Cat642 Cat643 Cat644 Cat645 Cat646 Cat646 Cat650 DEI Cat651 Cat652 Cat653 Cat654 Cat655 Cat656 Cat657 Cat658 Cat669 Cat660 Cat661 Cat662 Cat662 Cat663	630 630 630 630 630 630 631 631 631 631 631 632 632 632 632 633 633 633 633 633 633 633 634 634	Cat706 Cat707 Cat708 Cat709 Cat710 Cat711 Cat712 Cat713 Cat714 Cat715 Cat716 Cat718 Cat719 Cat720 Cat721 Cat722 Cat723 Cat724 Cat725 Cat726 Cat7277 Cat728 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat729 Cat730 Cat731 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat732 Cat732 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat731 Cat732 Cat730 Cat731 Cat732	641 641 641 642 642 642 642 643 643 643 643 643 644 644 644 644 645 645 645 645 645 645 646	Cat775	. 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 653 . 654 . 654 . 654 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 655 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 656 . 657 . 657

Cat805 DEI 658	Cat828 DEI 661	Cat851 665
Cat806 658	Cat829662	Cat852 DEI 665
Cat807 DEI 658	Cat830662	Cat853 DEI 666
Cat808 658	Cat831662	Cat854 666
Cat809 658	Cat832662	Cat855 666
Cat810 DEI 658	Cat833 DEI 662	Cat856 DEI 666
Cat811659	Cat834 DEI 662	Cat857 666
Cat812659	Cat835 DEI 663	Cat858 666
Cat813 659	Cat836663	Cat859 667
Cat814 659	Cat837663	Cat860 DEI 667
Cat815 659	Cat838663	Cat861 DEI 667
Cat816 659	Cat839 DEI 663	Cat862 667
Cat817 660	Cat840663	Cat863 667
Cat818 660	Cat841664	Cat864 667
Cat819 DEI 660	Cat842664	Cat865 668
Cat820 660	Cat843 DEI 664	Cat866 668
Cat821 660	Cat844664	Cat867 668
Cat822 DEI 660	Cat845 DEI 664	Cat868 DEI 668
Cat823 DEI 661	Cat846664	Cat869 668
Cat824 DEI 661	Cat847665	Cat870 668
Cat825 DEI 661	Cat848665	Cat871669
Cat826 DEI 661	Cat849665	Cat872669
Cat827 DEI 661	Cat850665	Cat873669

Acknowledgements

It is with much gratitude that I acknowledge the support of the **Lumbini International Research Institute** (LIRI), who through a generous grant enabled me to compose this volume. I want to specially thank Dr Christoph Cüppers, the acting Director of LIRI, who has seen fit to assist my efforts in publishing many of the findings I obtained in Tibet still pending. Three other friends personally provided financial assistance for this work and I very much thank them for it. Frederique Darragon funded the creation of a set of rock art locator diagrams, while Richard X Zawitz underwrote the copyediting services and helped defray expenses involved in the production of the book. Jim Carota assumed the remaining costs incurred in production. Angela Clyburn was so good as to take it upon herself to digitally enhance hundreds of rock art images using special software. Her efforts proved essential to this work by making some rock art compositions visible to me for the first time and by bringing many others into clearer focus. I would also like to thank an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments.

As an independent researcher and explorer with little recourse to university and corporate sponsorship, every act of kindness shown to me by friends, colleagues and strangers alike, whether it was material or informational in nature, assumes special significance. Nonetheless, I have enjoyed much intellectual liberty in my quest to elucidate the ancient cultural make-up of Tibet, managing to be free of burdensome teaching assignments and faculty obligations. Operating independently has also allowed me to remain vigilant regarding the pet theories of others and the latest socio-political trends in academia. Yet, I am always cognizant of the fact that the liberty I have attained was largely empowered by the generosity and goodwill of others.

I am most fortunate to have been guided and befriended by many Tibetans over the course of launching expeditions to uppermost Tibet. This volume is the fruit of fieldwork and exploration in Tibet initiated in 1986, none of which would have been possible without the assistance of literally thousands of shepherds, farmers, monks, scholars, and government officials in Tibet. The drivers, cooks and guides who helped run many of my expeditions often had to endure difficult conditions and they command my respect. Although even those Tibetans whom I remember most must remain unnamed for the time being, their contributions are much appreciated. I also want to heartily thank the dear friends who accompanied me on expeditions to Tibet. Of special note is the late C. Ashely McAllen M.D., who participated in and helped fund fieldwork in 1999 and 2004–2007. His memory is cherished. Special thanks go out to R. Claire Bellezza who helped make the 1994 expedition to Upper Tibet especially pleasurable. Many friends have offered moral and material encouragement over the decades of exploration and research on which this work rests. It is with much satisfaction that I salute them all. Family members have been steadfast in their support of my labours and have helped me as best they could. Expressing the debt of gratitude owed and affection I have for them is beyond my powers as a writer to express.

Despite any shortcomings in this book, as surely there are, I sincerely hope that all those who have assisted me (directly or indirectly) in writing it will not see their efforts as having been made in vain.

Precis

This volume comprehensively documents rock art in Upper Tibet, the first of five books planned on the subject. Rock art, the alteration of natural rock surfaces as cultural productions, is typically one of the most durable of archaeological assets worldwide. The territory referred to as Upper Tibet in this work occupies much of the western half of the Tibetan Plateau, the highest part of the highest plateau on earth.² The Tibetan Plateau is strategically situated in the heart of Asia and covers an area of approximately 2,400,000 km². To the west and south lies the Indian Subcontinent and Burma, while cultural China occupies the east and Inner Asia is in the north. The pictographs (rock paintings) and petroglyphs (rock carvings),3 rock art sites, and descriptions and analyses presented in this work are the direct result of intensive fieldwork conducted by the author in Upper Tibet between 1995 and 2016. By organizing rock art as well as related findings collected on eighteen major expeditions into a single research framework, a coherent exposition of this area of inquiry is achieved. The present volume and others of the series, examine the physical, aesthetic and semantic characteristics of rock art in Upper Tibet. It is subject to archaeological, historical and ethnographic investigation, which lays the foundation for systematically exploring various questions regarding the role of rock art in forging Upper Tibet's past. As is conveyed in this and other volumes of the series, rock art in this territory serves as a kind of cultural bridge spanning some 3000 years. Hence, this corpus of primary materials is uniquely placed chronologically, enabling the distant past to set the stage for gaining new perspectives on the more familiar Tibetan legacies of later times.

The study of rock art is of much value, for it provides a great wealth of information on ancient settlement and culture in Tibet (as it does worldwide). These paintings and carvings on stone represent a continuous record of habitation and cultural development over a wide swath of Tibet, beginning no later than the Late Bronze Age and continuing until c. 1400 AD and even to the present day. The origins of the large fund of rock art in Upper Tibet can be traced back to no later than the Late Bronze Age (c. 1200–700 BC) and persisted as an interrelated cultural, regional and technological expression in the Iron Age (ca. 700–100 BC) and Protohistoric period (c. 100 BC – 600 AD). The rock art of this Late Prehistoric era (c. 1200 BC – 600 AD) is primarily characterized by zoomorphic depiction and close interactions between animals and humans in both hunting and non-hunting contexts. In the Early Historic period (c. 600–1000 AD), the rock art of Upper Tibet began to chronicle numerous encounters between Buddhist and non-Buddhist religions and sometimes appears alongside Tibetan rock inscriptions. As this book and others in the same series demonstrate, it is in the rock art of Upper Tibet that some of the most widespread icons and symbols adopted by the two Lamaist religions (Buddhism and Yungdrung Bon) first manifested. Yet, there was no complete break with the past and hunting displays and anthropomorphic and zoomorphic portraiture continued to fascinate rock art makers in the Early Historic period and Vestigial period (c. 1000-1400 AD). The old tradition of carving and painting natural rock surfaces in Upper Tibet, often relying upon preestablished themes and scene architecture, continued until as late as c. 14th century AD, before largely disappearing from the archaeological record (Bellezza 2020b). Nonetheless, there is also a smaller body of rock paintings and carvings that prevailed in the Late Historic period (c. 1400–1950 AD), which extends traditional legacies of figuration to within living memory.

¹ This territory falls under the jurisdiction of the Tibet Autonomous Region of the Peoples Republic of China. In premodern times, it was part of lands ruled by the Lhasa government (Sde pa gzhung).

³ Pictographs (rock paintings) are also known as cave paintings, cave drawings, rock paintings, and rupestrian paintings. Synonyms for petroglyphs include rock engravings/etchings, and rupestrian carvings/engravings/etchings.

² West of Upper Tibet, the 'Western Tibetan Plateau' includes the regions of Ladakh and Spiti under Indian jurisdiction, Baltistan in Pakistan, and Transhimalayan areas of Nepal (Humla, Dolpo, Mustang, etc.). There are manifold commonalities in the content of rock art on the Western Tibetan Plateau. Adjacent tracts to the east of Upper Tibet, in what are now the Mtsho nub and Yul shul Prefectures of Qinghai province on the Eastern Tibetan Plateau, also share an interrelated physical and cultural environment with the Western Tibetan Plateau.

These expeditions were planned and executed by the author with local residents acting as guides and providing logistical support on a voluntary basis. Friends of the author also accompanied him on several of the campaigns to survey rock art, sometimes participating in its documentation. In expeditions launched after 1998, paid staff was a critical element of most missions. Staff members were engaged in the operation and maintenance of transport vehicles, cooking and other camp chores, and as liaisons with government officials. The expeditions in which rock art was surveyed are as follows: Divine Dyads Expedition, year two (1995), Changthang Phase II Expedition, year two (1997), Changthang Phase II Expedition, year two (1998), Changthang Circuit Expedition (1999), Upper Tibet Circumnavigation Expedition (2000), Upper Tibet Antiquities Expedition (2001), High Tibet Circle Expedition (2002), High Tibet Antiquities Expedition (2003), High Tibet Welfare Expedition (2004), Tibet Upland Expedition (2005), Tibet Ice Lakes Expedition (2006), Tibet Highland Expedition (2006), Wild Yak Lands Expedition (2007), Sky Lake Expedition I (2008), Upper Tibetan Rock Art Expedition I (2010), Upper Tibetan Rock Art Expedition II, year two (2013). Each of the expeditions in which rock art was catalogued were between one and six months in length. As well as these expeditions, rock art was documented on two shorter excursions to Upper Tibet in 2014 and 2016.

In addition to this work and its focus on the eastern portion of Upper Tibet, there are four other volumes planned for the series which, when brought to fruition, will constitute the most extensive study of rock art conducted in Upper Tibet to date. All five volumes are contracted for publication by Archaeopress (Oxford) and are expected to appear in print over the next three years. This first volume inventories rock art in a region called the Eastern Byang thang (pronounced Changthang). Like this book, Volumes II, III and IV consist of regional surveys of rock art and are geographically organized from east to west. The second volume is devoted to the rock art of the Central and Western Byang thang, while the third and fourth volumes examine the rock art of Stod in the far west of Tibet. In total, around 11,000 rock art subjects are to be individually inventoried through the compilation of standardized sets of data. Basic information on rock art production techniques, subject identification, thematic class, mode of presentation, physical condition, estimated age, and relative location, etc. are supplied for each piece of rock art. In addition to the datasets, the first four volumes of the series offer rock art site descriptions and assess the cultural, religious and artistic development of these locations. Particularly in this first volume on the rock art of the Eastern Byang thang, a spectrum of collateral archaeological sites (residential, ceremonial and funerary) is scrutinized. When viewed in combination with these monumental assets, the social and economic context of rock art in the region comes into sharper focus. The fifth and final volume of the series contains the bulk of the text, a rigorous examination of the ideological, technical, chronological, cross-cultural comparative, and statistical aspects of rock art in Upper Tibet. Drawing from the inventory, the significance of rock art to an understanding of the cultural and historical development of Tibet and its place in the archaeological mosaic of Eurasia more widely is plumbed in depth. There are also extensive methodological and theoretical discussions planned for the fifth volume, which situate the rock art of Upper Tibet in a broader academic and artistic ambit.

⁵ In this work the most widely used system of Roman transliteration of Tibetan terms, which is called modified Wylie, is uniformly employed for consistency and accuracy. Without the degree of linguistic precision offered by the correct rendering of Tibetan terms, the cultural and historical analyses undertaken in this volume and others in the series would be seriously compromised. To avoid unwieldy repetitions, it was decided that phonetic equivalents of Tibetan terms would not be included in the work. However, Tibetan words that have been adopted into the English language (e.g. lama, Lhasa) appear as they do in English. It should be emphasized that the system of transliteration employed in this work differs greatly from Sinicized designations of Tibetan terms which are now frequently used in science publications worldwide. For example, the Tibetan word for lake *mtsho* (pronounced *tsho*) is often written as *co* in technical articles. It is the author's view that the confusion engendered by disparate systems of transliteration is best overcome by the embrace of Tibetan linguistic traditions in this work.

Section I

General Introduction

Ia. A Geographic, Environmental, and Administrative Review

Upper Tibet consists of two major regions that are traditionally known to Tibetans as Byang thang and Stod. The larger Byang thang (literally: Northern Plains), a sprawling expanse of mountain ranges, basins and plains, occupies the eastern two-thirds of the territory, while the valleys, mountain ranges and badlands of Stod are situated in the far west. However, the physiographic boundary between the Byang thang and Stod is not clearly demarcated; rather they overlap as the high tablelands of the former give way to the lower elevation of the valleys of the latter. In fact, the Western Byang thang is often seen as an integral part of Stod, because it too was, and still is, administered by Mnga' ris (now a prefecture of the TAR). The Byang thang is set north of the two main Transhimalayan ranges. In geographic parlance, these two ranges have come to be called Gnyan chen thang lha (eastern subdivision) and Gangs ti se (western subdivision), which in scientific literature are spelled in a variety of ways.2 In the west, the northern boundary of Upper Tibet is formed by the Kunlun Mountains, which demarcates sections of the border between the provinces of TAR (Tibet

¹ The earliest known documents and inscriptions in Tibetan date to the 7th and 8th centuries AD, where it appears as a highly sophisticated language that exhibits a mature grammar and syntax and an extensive vocabulary. Clearly, the origins of the Tibetan language lie in a much earlier period. Therefore, an understanding of Tibetan is a vital tool in the investigation of the cultural context of Upper Tibetan rock art, especially for that produced after the 7th century AD. According to Tibetan written sources, prior to the 7th century AD and the annexation of Upper Tibet into the Tibetan empire, two other Tibeto-Burman languages were spoken there: Zhang zhung (in the west) and Sum pa (in the east). Extant lexicons in Zhang zhung are scanty and what are purportedly terms of Sum pa language origin occur in Yungdrung Bon liturgical texts. Words and passages in these two languages have been written using the Tibetan script, mostly postdating the 11th century AD, and admit of lexical and orthographic innovations that arose subsequent to their use as spoken languages in Upper Tibet, beclouding their earlier vernacular forms. On the Zhang zhung language, see, e.g. Martin 2010; Hummel 2000; Dagkar 2003: 10-41.

² In the English language, the Transhimalayan (also Trans-Himalayan) range of Gnyan chen thang lha is frequently rendered phonetically as Nyenchen Tanglha, while the established Chinese spelling is Nyainqêntanglha. The Transhimalayan range of Gangs ti se appears in various forms with Gangdise, Gangdese, and Gangdisi commonly encountered, but also as Gangdisê in pinyin (official system of transliteration used in the PRC).

Autonomous Region) and Xinjiang in the PRC (Peoples Republic of China). In the east, the northern bounds of Upper Tibet are formed by the Gdang la (Tanggula) range, which divides the TAR and Qinghai provinces. The Byang thang is a descriptive geographic term that refers to the topographic characteristics of the region and does not carry any weight as political geographic nomenclature. It has often been used by residents of primarily agrarian Central Tibetan (in places such as Lhasa and Shigatse) to mean rather ambiguously the homeland of their stock-rearing northern neighbours. That is also the case with Byang, a toponym that refers rather inexactly to the vast northern regions of the herders. From this word comes byang ba (northerner), which denotes the herders or 'brog pa of the north.3 Although the term Byang thang does not appear to be of ancient origins, the use of the word Byang to denote some or all of the Byang thang has a long historical pedigree.4


This first volume in the series on the rock art of Upper Tibet is dedicated to the pictographs of the eastern quarter of Upper Tibet, a region referred to as the Eastern Byang thang. In terms of biogeographical and physiographic make-up, the Eastern Byang thang stretches all the way east to Sog County (94° E), but this survey is only concerned with the territory set west of 91° E. The Eastern Byang thang is demarcated in this study as extending as far west as 88.4° E, which coincides with the Rgyal gangs ri (a meridian range of lofty peaks) and Ske ring mtsho (a very large salt lake), both of which are in Shan rtsa County. Although the Eastern Byang thang reaches all the way north to the Kunlun Mountains, the rock art surveyed is concentrated in the

³ On the culture and way of life of the *'brog pa*, see, e.g. Bellezza 1997a; 2014c: 47–73; Norbu 1997; Goldstein and Beall 1990; Ekvall 1968.

⁴ One ancient recorded form is Byang 'brog (Pastures of the North/Wilderness of the North), which is closely associated with the hunting of wild yaks and deer in several Old Tibetan historical and funerary and curative ritual manuscripts that date to the Early Historic period. Another form found in Tibetan manuscripts of the Early Historic period is Byang ka snam brgyad, a region of eight parts (*snam brgyad*, Classical Tibetan: *rnam brgyad*), which appears to be synonymous with some or all of the Byang thang. On these Old Tibetan terms, see Bellezza 2008: 519, 520; 2010: 69; 2013a: 210, 238, 239. Byang ka, meaning 'north' or perhaps more precisely 'expanse of the north', parallels other geographic terminology; e.g. *thang ka* (plain) *sna ka* (prow of a ridge).



Map 1. Map of the Tibetan Plateau and adjoining countries. The TAR (Tibet Autonomous Region) is highlighted in the middle of the map. This region corresponds with what is sometimes called Inner Tibet.



Map 2. Location of Upper Tibet, see Map 3 for site locations.

southernmost portion of the region (below 32° N). In the current system of political geography instituted by the PRC, the Eastern Byang thang falls mostly under the jurisdiction of Nag chu, one of six prefectures of the TAR. Rock art is found in Dpal mgon County (rdzong in Tibetan; xiàn in pinyin) in the east and Shan rtsa County in the west, two subdivisions of Nag chu Prefecture. A tiny portion of the Eastern Byang thang, coinciding with the eastern half of the Lake Gnam mtsho basin, 5 is placed in 'Dam gzhung County, Lhasa Prefecture. In the premodern political geography of Tibet employed by the Lhasa government (Sde pa gzhung), the Eastern Byang thang was apportioned into several major and many minor regions, which were owned by various aristocratic, abbatial and monastic estates, or ruled directly by the government. The traditional classification and administration of regions in Upper Tibet is an involved historical subject to which only the briefest of introductions can be given here. One broad administrative region was traditionally called Byang rigs sde bzhi, the four divisions of which encompassed the Eastern Byang thang west of 91° E. According to the Tibetan geographic text 'Dzam gling rayas bshad, Byang rigs sde bzhi was made up of four districts: Nag tshang, Gnam ru, Nag chu and Yangs pa can (Wylie (1962: 88).6 The basic geographic unit and taxation entity of each district in old Tibet consisted of amalgamations of pastoral encampments generally known as tsho pa and shog kha, which for simplicity's sake are called 'confederated areas' in this work. Lake Gnam mtsho, in the southeast corner of the Eastern Byang thang, was divided between the eight confederated areas of 'Dam gzhung, the seven confederated areas of Sa skya and the 13 confederated areas of Gnam ru. West of Gnam mtsho there were the confederated areas of G.yag pa, which were grouped into several subdistricts (G.yag pa thar ma, G.yag pa pro mo drug bcu (sp?) and G.yag pa grum pa). The western portion of the Eastern Byang thang belonged to the six confederated areas of Nag tshang. Three other important districts on the Eastern Byang thang were Nag chu kha, A mdo and Bar tha, none of which contain rock art recorded by the author.

Like the rest of the Byang thang, the Eastern Byang thang is almost all given over to a pastoralist economy and semi-nomadic way of life. It is a cold, semiarid to arid region with dramatic diurnal temperature fluctuations due to the extremely high elevation. The cultivation of barley is limited to a few enclaves

and much of this grain never matures and ends up as animal feed. Local herders known as 'brog pa raise yaks, sheep, goats, and horses, eking out a livelihood in very harsh conditions. The Byang thang averages more than 4700 m in elevation, ranging from 4450 m in the most depressed lake basins to 5300 m on the plains of the north and northwest. It is beset by unpredictable and fast changing weather conditions as well as stiff winds much of the time. The region enjoys a summer season of only around eight weeks in length. Subfreezing temperatures must be contended with for the remainder of the year. Even in the middle of the summer blizzards may hit, often wiping out great numbers of livestock. The Eastern Byang thang, the wettest part of Upper Tibet, only receives c. 400 mm precipitation in the east and 300 mm in the west (Lu et al. 2019; Schaller 1998: 29).7 The lower rainfall in the west of the Eastern Byang thang is part of a wider trend of decreased precipitation and temperatures on the Tibetan Plateau from the southeast to the northwest. This trend is accounted for by increased continentality, higher elevation and higher latitude on a southeast to the northwest transect. The climate of this very elevated landmass is strongly influenced by the Indian summer monsoon, this being particularly true of the Eastern Byang thang. The interaction of the westerlies with the Indian southwestern monsoon are pivotal forces in the climate of the Byang thang. The Byang thang, an integral biogeographical zone, is noteworthy for its many brackish, saline, and freshwater lakes. The two largest lakes in the region are Gnam mtsho (slightly brackish) and Zi leng mtsho (saline), both of which are situated on the Eastern Byang thang. The Tibetan Plateau contains 52% of the lakes (covering an area of 40,000 km²) of the PRC, (Wu et al. 2019), the majority of which are found on the Byang thang. Due to glacial loss (overall c. 15% in last 30 years), rising temperatures, and generally more precipitation, some lakes of the Byang-thang lakes are among the fastest growing in world.

The cold, semi-arid climate and high-altitude southern tier of the Byang thang is comprised mainly of so-called alpine grasslands, an ideal resource for the keeping of livestock. Much of the Eastern Byang thang is blanketed in alpine meadow (pasture) and alpine steppe vegetation, with Kobresia pygmaea

⁵ The neologism 'Lake Gnam mtsho' is used to make it clear to readers that this refers to a lake. This toponym is a tautology as 'mtsho' means 'lake' in Tibet.

⁶ Yangs pa can is a pastoralist region south of the Transhimalaya, under which the important pastoralist region of 'Dam gzhung (also south of the Transhimalaya) is presumably subsumed in this scheme of classification.

The 300 mm isoline is found just west of the Shen rtsa County seat (Lu et al. 2019). In the county seat of Gnam ru (Chinese: Baingoin), 70 km northwest of the Gnam mtsho, total annual precipitation, measured from 1957 to 2010, varied between 170 mm and 469 mm with a mean of 312 mm (Liang et al. 2012). There has been a trend to warmer and wetter conditions on the Tibetan Plateau in the last few decades. On these recent climatic phenomena, see Li et al. 2010. Wang et al. (2008) state that surface temperatures on the Tibetan Plateau have increased by c. 1.8°C over the last 50 years and further increases may lead to enhanced summer rainfall. Based on more comprehensive meteorological data, Zhong et al. (2019) identify a consistent warming trend from 1960–2014, the average increase being 2.2 times the global

predominating in the former and Stipa purpurea being the dominant perennial grass in the latter biome.8 Hummock swamps consisting primarily of Kobresia schoenoides are scattered around many areas of the Eastern Byang thang.9 Herbaceous plants belonging to the genus Artemisia are prolific in the region. Dwarf willows (genus Salix L.) and junipers (Juniperus pingii var. wilsonii) grow in some protected south-facing locations, particularly near the limestone and granite formations that encircle Gnam mtsho. There is also a special vegetation linked to geothermal sites.¹⁰ In the northern tier of the Eastern Byang thang (above 33°N) there is transition from alpine meadows to high-cold desert. 11 While there are trees, flowers and perhaps other vegetation depicted in the rock art of Upper Tibet, their identification is uncertain.

The zoomorphic rock art of Upper Tibet is characterized by wild ungulates, large carnivores and birds that are mostly native to the territory. Thanks to its vast wild pasturelands, the Byang thang once supported large populations of wild herbivores including the now rare wild vak (Bos grunniens), the endemic white-lipped deer (Cervus albirostris; now restricted to the eastern margin of the Eastern Byang thang), Tibetan wild ass (Equus hemionus; the largest species of wild ass in the world), Tibetan antelope (Pantholops hodgsoni), argali (Ovis ammon hodgsoni; the largest wild sheep species in the world), blue sheep (Pseudois nayaur), and Tibetan gazelle (Procapra picticaudata), all of which are represented in the rock art of Upper Tibet. Other common but smaller mammalian species in the territory, such as the Tibetan woolly hare (Lepus oiostolus), Himalayan marmot (Marmota himalayana), and black-lipped pika (Ochotona curzoniae), are little seen in rock art. 12 Large carnivores on the Byang thang are the brown bear (Ursus arctos), snow leopard (Panthera uncia), wolf (Canis lupus), and lynx (Felis lynx). In addition to the tiger, these large wild carnivores are fairly common portrayals in Upper Tibetan rock art. The Tibetan sand fox (Vulpes ferrilata) and red fox (Vulpes vulpes) also occur on the Byang thang but they appear to be little depicted in rock art. It is reported that 67 species of birds breed on the Byang thang and 156 species in the far west of Tibet, which are mostly comprised of Palearctic species but with some Sino-Himalayan species as well (Vaurie 1972: 110–144).¹³ Bar-headed Goose (Anser indicus), followed by Brownheaded Gull (Larus brunnicephalus), Ruddy Shelduck (Tadorna ferruginea) and Great Black-headed Gull (L. ichthyaetus) made up 81.2% of all the waterbirds counted on an extensive survey carried out in the southern tier of the Byang thang (Zhang et al. 2015). Ravens, tit warblers, snow finches, ground choughs, snowcocks, sand grouse, larks, and desert wheatears are also common in the southern Byang-thang (Yeshe De Project 1986: 56). Blacknecked Cranes (Grus nigricollis) were counted at 39 lakes in Nag chu and Mnga' ris Prefectures (Zhang et al. 2015). A wide range of raptors fly in the skies of the Byang thang, including those belonging to the families of Falconidae, Strigidae and Accipitridae. Many taxa of birds, especially birds of prey, grace the rock art of Upper Tibet.

It is widely accepted that the formation of the Tibetan Plateau was mainly the result of the collision of the Indian and Eurasian tectonic plates during the Cenozoic and the subsequent subduction of the Indian plate beneath the Eurasian plate.¹⁴ The Tibetan Plateau has had a huge bearing on shaping the global climate and in the distribution of biogeographical zones in Eurasia. The Tibetan Plateau is the youngest example of continentto-continent collision, subduction and spreading, which was initiated 50-70 million years ago (Liu et al. 2019). The modern deformation regime was established 8-15 million years ago (Royden et al. 2008). The plate tectonic forces that formed the Tibetan Plateau occurred in stages, producing six nearly east-west oriented crustal blocks or terranes that are accreted to Eurasia. The Tibetan orogenic belt consists of (from south to north) the Himalayan, Lhasa, Qiangtang, Songpan-Ganzi-Hoh-Xil, Kunlun-Qaidam, and Qilian blocks, each of which is separated from one another by suture zones (Liu et al. 2019; Spicer et al. 2021). Upper Tibet is composed of the Himalayan block and two terranes, Lhasa and Qiangtang (each measuring 1000 km in length and 200-400 km wide), which are separated from one another by the Indus-Yarlung suture zone (consisting of a depression with two axial rivers flowing in opposite directions) and the Bangong-Nujiang suture zone (running north of Ru thog, Sger rste, Nyi ma and Nag chu) respectively. The

⁸ Around 60% of the entire area of the Tibetan Plateau is composed of alpine steppe (S. purpurea and Carex high-cold steppe), alpine meadow (Kobresia and forb high-cold meadow), and alpine sparse vegetation (Ni and Herzschuh 2011: 431). Miehe *et al.* (2011) estimate that grasslands belonging to the Poaceae and Cyperaceae families and cushion plants in the central and western highlands of Tibet cover around 800,000 km². Kobresia pastures constitute the largest pastoral alpine ecosystem in the world, the main component of which is an endemic dwarf sedge, Kobresia pygmaea, which forms a tough cover of turf secured by a felty root mat that on the southern Byang thang extends west to the 82nd meridian (Miehe *et al.* 2019). The typical alpine steppe community of S. purpurea is sparse, with plant coverage not more than 20% (Chang: 1981).

⁹ It is reported that hummock swamps of the Cyperaceae family cover 80,000 km² of the Tibetan highlands (Miehe *et al.* 2011).

¹⁰ More than 600 geothermal sites have been documented in the TAR (Gustafsson 1993: 26).

 $^{^{\}rm 11}$ Carex moorcroftii and Ceratoides compacta are the dominant species in the northernmost tier of the Byang thang (Chang 1981).

For a general study of large mammals on the Byang thang, see Schaller 1998; 'Animal Diversity Web (ADW)': https://animaldiversity. org/. Selected wildlife of Upper Tibet will be examined in more detail in Vol. V of this study.

For a list of bird species found on the Tibetan Plateau, see 'Avibase - The World Bird Database': https://avibase.bsc-eoc.org/checklist.jsp?region=cnti

However, it is not yet known precisely when Cretaceous sedimentary formations associated with the orogenic cycles responsible for the Tethys Sea closure on the Byang thang took place. Dates range from the early to late Cretaceous. On questions related to the Tethys Sea closure, see Liu *et al.* 2018.

Qiangtang terrane was accreted to the Songpan-Ganzi terrane in the north along the Jinsha Suture during the late Triassic or early Jurassic, while the Lhasa terrane was accreted to the Qiangtang terrane along the Banggong Suture during the early Cretaceous (Dewey et al. 1988; Liu et al. 2015). The Qiangtang terrane is covered in Mesozoic strata with outcrops of granitoids and volcanic rocks, while the Bangong-Nujiang suture zone is composed of scattered ophiolitic fragments and Jurassic flysch, the remnants of the Bangong-Nujiang Tethys (Liu et al. 2018). The Lhasa terrane, which underthrusts the Qiangtang block, is bounded on the south by the Gangdese (Transhimlayan) belt of magmatic rocks. South of that is the Himalayan orogenic belt. The Lhasa terrane is distinguished by the huge Early Jurassic-Middle Eocene Gangdese magmatic belt in the south and by Palaeozoic-Mesozoic strata in the centre and north (Liu et al. 2018). The Gangdese magmatic belt is thought to have formed during the north-dipping subduction of the Indus-Yarlung Tethyan lithosphere, or alternatively, after the collision of the Indian and Eurasian plates along the Indus-Yarlung suture (Liu et al. 2018). The Byang-thang has ample reserves of soda, borax, asbestos, graphite, iron, gypsum, quartz, and gold (Yeshe De Project 1986:

Ib. Ancient Cultural Characteristics of the Eastern Byang thang

This résumé of the ancient cultural characteristics of the Eastern Byang thang serves as a prelude to more extensive treatment of the subject planned for Vol. V of the series. It is designed to equip the reader with an archaeological and historical orientation to the region, thereby placing the rock art inventoried in this work in a broader context.

The southern tier of the Eastern Byang thang has been long settled by human beings, with the earliest occupation potentially dating to the Upper Palaeolithic some 20,000 to 40,000 years ago.¹⁵ Although traces of

 $\overline{}^{15}$ It is still not known when the earliest homo sapiens colonizers reached the Tibetan Plateau. A paucity of ancient human remains in secure archaeological contexts has impeded an understanding of the population history of this huge territory. Lu et al. (2016) conclude that the genetic origins of the Tibetans are considerably more ancient and more complex than previously thought, pushing them back to at least 40,000 years before present. The evidence presented in the Lu et al. study indicates the existence of both Palaeolithic and Neolithic ancestries in the Tibetan gene pool (paternal and maternal lineages), establishing continuities between the prehistoric inhabitants and those of today. Based on the findings of various other studies as well as their own, Liu et al. (2022) conclude that the Tibetan genetic patrimony rests on a substratum of Palaeolithic Eurasian ancestry (making up as much as 20% of the Tibetan lineage), yet most of their ancestry is held in common with Late Neolithic populations of the Upper Yellow River basin, particularly those that belonged to the Qijia culture. Nevertheless, Liu et al. (2022) hold that genetic links between Tibetans and lowlanders probably cannot be fully accounted for by wholesale migration emanating from the Upper Yellow River basin, which served as a vehicle for the spread of barley cultivation and domestic animals on the Plateau, c. the early to middle second early human settlement have been discovered in the northern part of the Eastern Byang thang, it is the south of the region (below the 32nd parallel) that was and is most amenable to colonization. The south is warmer, wetter and on average lower in elevation than the north (much of the north is covered in permafrost). Hence, it is no surprise that the southern tier of the Eastern Byang thang is where the rock art and associated structural remains of the Late Prehistoric era are distributed. At a place called Nwya Devu, in the southern part of the Eastern Byang thang (Shan rtsa County, Gzhung smad Township), the first excavation of a stratified site on the Tibetan Plateau believed to be of Palaeolithic antiquity was conducted between 2013 and 2018. Nwya Devu is not far from rock art sites S21 and S22 of this volume. Relying upon optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating techniques, the earliest of the three strata excavated at Nwya Devu. and by extension the lithic assemblage it contained, which is marked by relatively advanced prismatic core and blade technology (blade cores, flake cores, blades, flakes, and others), are estimated to date from 30,000 to as much as 40,000-45,000 years ago (Zhang et al. 2018). While this forgoing study requires much follow-up work, including the discovery of other stratified sites that potentially predate the Last Glacial Maximum (18,000-24,000 years ago), it is indicative of the longstanding nature of human activity in Upper Tibet.16

millennium BC. Based on major differences in the archaeological cultures of the Tibetan Plateau and Upper Yellow River basin and the distribution of adaptive alleles related to hypoxia, Liu et al. (2022) consider that the Tibetan genetic pool potentially formed well before 2000 BC. In addition to examining the phylogenetic data of other East Asian populations, He et al. (2021) collected genome-wide data of 78 modern Tibetans that they differentiate into 11 geographic regions, as well as genomic data from eight genetically related ancient humans discovered in the Mustang region of Nepal (Chokhopani, Mebrak and Samdzong burials), which date between c. 800 BC - 500 AD. The He et al. (2021) study holds that modern Tibetans can be divided into several population substructures: Central Tibetans have predominant Palaeolithic and Neolithic ancestries derived from indigenous hunting-foraging groups stemming from early Eurasian lineages and the Yellow River basin respectively, while Amdo Tibetans on the northeast part of the Plateau have an admixture of 2% or 3% western Eurasian haplotypes, and Kham Tibetans in the southeast have strong Neolithic Southeast Asian affinities. Presumably, for the purposes of their study, He et al. (2021), subsume adjoining parts of Upper Tibet under Central Tibet, but nowhere is this made manifest. Another recent study of genome-wide SNP data by Ma et al. (2022) suggests that the general pattern of allele and haplotype sharing between Tibetans living in eastern Nag chu (Sbra chen County), Lhasa, Shigatse, and Chamdo is characterized by a significant degree of genetic homogeny. Thus, this study refers to these overlapping groups or clades as 'core Tibetans'. The Ma et al. (2022) study reaffirms that the so-called core Tibetans share much of their genetic ancestry with the prehistoric populations in Mustang noted above. The scope of genetic drift and admixture and its implications for an understanding of Tibetan population history discussed in the studies outlined in this note are preliminary in nature. The sampling of larger quantities of ancient nuclear and mitochondrial DNA is sorely needed if the historical and spatial relationships between the prehistoric and contemporary populations of Tibet are to be more accurately fixed.

On potential Palaeolithic sites more generally on the Tibetan Plateau, see Aldenderfer and Zhang 2004; d'Alpoim Guedes and Aldenderfer 2020.

Wang et al. (2020), show that the Central Tibetans are closely related genetically to the inhabitants of Chokhopani (c. 800–600 BC), Mustang. 17 A more extensive study of ancient genomes from Transhimalayan regions of Nepal pushes the formation of the Tibetan gene pool back to c. 1500-1300 BC, at least 500 years earlier than findings from Chokhopani (*Liu et al.* 2022). This study is based on genome-wide data that was obtained through DNA extracted from dental materials that belonged to 38 individuals in seven burial sites in the Mustang and Manang regions of Nepal, which range in age from c. 1500 BC - 650 AD. 18 These are highly significant findings because they indicate that the demographic composition of Tibetans has been relatively stable since no later than the Late Bronze Age. Thus, it appears that the rock art corpus of Upper Tibet was primarily the production of individuals possessing a Tibetan genetic profile. It follows that the thematic, artistic, and technical developments in the rock art of the territory can mostly be assigned to endogenous cultural processes rather than to major demographic shifts in the population. That historic Tibetans are largely of the same ancestry as their Late Prehistoric forebears goes some way to explaining the manifold continuities exhibited in the content of rock art, not just in Upper Tibet but across much of the Plateau (this topic will be discussed in Vol. V of the series). Nevertheless, allowance must also be made for certain rock art compositions having been made by non-Tibetans, e.g. that which occurs in site S1.

The rock art of Upper Tibet provides a great wealth of information on ancient settlement and culture in the region, but only many millennia after Nwya Devu. Rock art paintings and carvings represent a continuous record of habitation and cultural development in Upper Tibet beginning no later than the Late Bronze Age and continuing until *c.* 1400 AD and even to the present day. Relatively little is still known about the Neolithic in Upper Tibet, a time before rock art production began in that territory. Although it is very likely that some sites

Upper Tibet, a time before rock art production began in that territory. Although it is very likely that some sites

There are also strong genetic affinities between modern-day Tibetans and Sherpa and members of the Bsam rdzong (Samdzong) culture in Mustang (c. 400–700 AD). See Aldenderfer and Eng 2016.

Genetic profiles were obtained from (Suila (1494–1317 BC), Lubrak (1269–1123 BC), Rhirhi (805–767 BC), Kyang (695–206 BC), Chokhopani (801–770 BC), Mebrak (500 BC to 1 AD), and Samdzong (450–650 AD), all of which have been shown to be closely related to contemporary Tibetans and Sherpas. Genetic differentiation from lowland populations and the formation of the Tibetan gene pool is now traceable through dental materials from Suila and Lubrak to c. 1500–1300 BC. However, due to a lack of DNA predating 1500 BC, it is still not known when the Tibetan genome was first constituted. A Tibetan genetic cline extending from northeastern Tibet to the Himalaya has

been identified, which is theorized to be the result of population and

linguistic dispersal originating in the northeastern fringes of the

in which rock art occurs were exploited by antecedent Neolithic hunting and foraging peoples, who may not have left behind permanent dwellings or other fixed structures, the cultural and linguistic relationship between them and creators of early rock art in Upper Tibet remains shrouded in mystery.²⁰ Nonetheless, that Upper Tibetans in the Late Bronze Age and Iron Age chiefly developed endogenously from in-situ Neolithic hunting, foraging, agrarian and/or pastoral societies is supported by genomic findings, which indicate that there was no fundamental demographic break in Tibetan populations after the Late Neolithic (c. 2000–1500 BC).

The rock art of the Eastern Byang is almost entirely comprised of pictographs, while petroglyphs predominate in the rest of Upper Tibet. The tradition of pictographic rock art on the Tibetan Plateau is clearly centred on the Eastern Byang thang.²¹ Almost all rock art sites of the Eastern Byang thang are associated with natural parietal structures, which exist in the limestone outcrops and escarpments that dot the region. The ample limestone formations of the Eastern Byang thang

on the Tibetan Plateau by Chinese archaeologists since the 1980s. On the Neolithic in Tibet, also see Chayet 1994: 34–55; Aldenderfer and Zhang 2004: 26–40; d'Alpoim Guedes and Aldenderfer 2020.

20 It appears that it was the native inhabitants of Upper Tibet who incorporated bronze and iron technologies from North Inner Asian sources into their cultural matrix in the Late Bronze Age and Iron Age (Bellezza 2020a; Flight of the Khyung: www.tibetarchaeology.com/ february-2016/ and www.tibetarchaeology.com/march-2016/). This indigenous adaptation of Eurasian technological advances coincided with other innovations that led to more socially and economically complex societies in Late Bronze Age and Iron Age Upper Tibet (Bellezza 2020c). Cao et al. (2022) observe that the repertory of copper and arsenical copper tools and ornaments from burials of Gepa Serul (c. 1600-1100 BC), in far western Tibet, have strong affinities with those of bronze cultures of the Eastern Steppe and Northern Zone. Lead isotope values of most objects from Gepa Serul are characterized as highly radiogenic lead (HRL) but differ markedly from HRL ores used in the Central Plains, Hexi Corridor and Xinjiang; therefore, direct transmission of metallurgical technologies and objects to far western Tibet is not likely (Cao et al. 2022). That Tibetan copper alloy objects of the Late Prehistoric era are derivative and not simple copies of those belonging to the Northern Zone (Northwest China) and Xinjiang is supported by the typological study of a wide range of metallic objects (Bellezza 2020a; 2020c). Yet, this does not rule out foreign groups through invasion, migration or marriage as having contributed to the cultural florescence of Upper Tibet in the Late Prehistoric era. Any such interactions may have involved introgression or perhaps the mixing of novel haplotypes into the Upper Tibetan gene pool but this remains to be determined. The welter of clans and tribes, some of foreign origins, stated in Tibetan literature to have settled in Upper Tibet does suggest a process of demic augmentation in the region over the long haul. The timescale and intensity of this process however is unclear in the texts. Our understanding of the phylogenetic evolution of human populations in Upper Tibet will remain speculative until ancient DNA is recovered from the territory and genetically analyzed.

The tradition of creating pictographs also occurs at seven sites on the Central and Western Byang thang and at two sites in Stod, but the majority of rock paintings are on the Eastern Byang thang. Pictographs of Ladakh, Zanskar and Spiti, regions on the western edge of the Tibetan Plateau, share cultural affinities with those in Upper Tibet. Ancient rock paintings have also been documented in southeastern Tibet but these belong to a substantially different aesthetic tradition than Upper Tibet.

Plateau. See, as above, Liu *et al.* 2022.

¹⁹ Aldenderfer (2007) reviews archaeological evidence for Neolithic settlement in three major regions of the Tibetan Plateau: Amdo (Qinghai Plateau), Kham and Central Tibet. Neolithic sites in these three regions have been provisionally dated using chronometric means to a maximum of 6700, 5800, and 3800 years ago respectively. I estimate that *c.* 40 sites identified as Neolithic have been discovered

are part of Jurassic and Cretaceous strata that were laid down across the entire southernmost swath of the Byang thang. In the harsh climatic and environmental conditions of the region natural parietal structures would have exerted a strong pull on the inhabitants from earliest times. The shallow caves, overhangs, fissures, niches, and larger caverns in the limestone formations were choice locations for rock art makers, furnishing an ideal environment for the preservation of rock paintings as well as shelter for artists as they painted, and for those who came to view their work. Only one rock art site on the Eastern Byang thang (S14) is in an alternative geological setting.

Twenty-nine rock art sites have been surveyed on the Eastern Byang thang. 2036 rock art subjects were inventoried separately at these sites.22 The rock paintings of the Eastern Byang thang are mainly concentrated in just two areas: the Gnam mtsho and Mtsho sngon lake basins. Of all the rock art inventoried on the Eastern Byang thang, 85% occurs at the 12 sites that ring Gnam mtsho, making it one of most important repositories of this archaeological and artistic asset in Upper Tibet. Much of the balance of rock art is found at 12 sites in the Mtsho sngon (Blue Lake) basin. Produced over a timeframe of roughly two millennia, the ancient rock art of the Eastern Byang thang varies greatly in subject matter, style, and execution. However, almost all of it is part and parcel of the same regional tradition of pictograph making. In turn, the rock art of the Eastern Byang thang shares much of its content and form in common with the rest of Upper Tibet, while having weaker stylistic and thematic affinities with adjoining territories of the Tibetan Plateau and Himalayan rimland. This permits us to speak of an integral tradition of rock art production extending from Gnam mtsho in the east to Gu ge and Ru thog in far western Tibet, as well as a more dispersed greater Plateau tradition. The Upper Tibetan tradition of rock art betokens vibrant cultural, social, and economic links that extended across the territory, which emerged in the Late Bronze Age and persisted throughout the rest of the Late Prehistoric era and into the Historic era. A deeply entrenched artistic and technological groundwork in the Upper Tibetan rock art zone, notwithstanding, there are also many unique and idiosyncratic rock art creations that herald the skills, proclivities, and imagination of individual artists and groups of artists.²³

Only around 25% of rock subjects on the Eastern Byang thang potentially date to the Late Bronze Age (c. 1200-700 BC), Iron Age (c. 700-100 BC) and Protohistoric period (c. 100 BC - 600 AD). The largest single source of rock art assigned to the Late Prehistoric era on the Eastern Byang thang is site S12, a small cave on the north-western side of Gnam mtsho. The rock art of the Late Prehistoric era on the Eastern Byang thang, and more generally in Upper Tibet, is characterized by several major themes that shape the content of most compositions. These include solitary anthropomorphic renditions, solitary and group portraits of animals and birds, hunting scenes, alternative scenes featuring anthropomorphs and zoomorphs in close association with one another, and symbolic subjects of which the swastika is paramount. More minor compositions (e.g. simple geometrics, desultory lines, scribbles, etc.) aside, more than 90% of all rock art compositions attributed to the Late Prehistoric era on the Eastern Byang thang and other parts of Upper Tibet is counted among these five overarching themes. The fairly restricted range of compositions in the Late Prehistoric era is indicative of systems of social organization and economic production in Upper Tibet that were not as varied or developed as those that prevailed in the Historic era.

Between 1686 to 1810 rock art subjects inventoried separately on the Eastern Byang thang are thought to predate the Late Historic period (c. 1400–1950 AD), while 226 to 348 subjects are assigned to the Late Historic period (and a few to the Modern period).24 Nearly all rock art attributed to the Late Historic period in the region is found around the shores of Gnam mtsho. Of rock art produced prior to the Late Historic period on the Eastern Byang thang, around 70% is assigned to the Early Historic period (c. 600–1000 AD) and Vestigial period (c. 1000–1400 AD). The high proportion of rock art made in the Historic era sets the Eastern Byang apart from other regions of Upper Tibet, where rock art of the Late Prehistoric era predominates. If the rich tableau of figures and symbols assigned to the Late Prehistoric era in site S12 is not counted, subjects belonging to the Historic era comprise c. 85% of all rock art on the Eastern Byang thang. Of the 307 rock art subjects inventoried in sites S13-29, 296 to 305 subjects predate the Late Historic period. Unlike Gnam mtsho, there is exceedingly little rock art belonging to the Late Historic period at these sites (only upwards of 11 subjects are assigned to the Late Historic period in rock art sites S13-29). Also, relatively little rock art is attributed to the Protohistoric period and virtually nothing from antecedent periods occurs in S13-29 (a more thorough

²² In this work, each individual piece of rock art is called a 'subject'. The rock art of Upper Tibet is divided into two major categories of depiction: animate and animate. Animate subjects are subdivided into two major groups: anthropomorphic and zoomorphic (with therianthropic subjects also represented), while inanimate subjects include geometrics, architectural structures, symbols, and various minor compositions. Rock art is broadly classified chronologically as either belonging to the Late Prehistoric era (c. 1200 BC – 600 AD) or the Historic era (600–1950 CE). The basic terms, categories and chronology of rock art are defined in Section IIc of the work.

Furthermore, there are several groups of anthropomorphic

⁽mascoids, bi-triangular bodied) and zoomorphic (arcuate body ornamentation) depictions in Upper Tibet found only in Ru thog.

²⁴ The numerical discrepancy of subjects predating the Late Historic period and of those that belong to other periods reflects uncertainties inherent in the chronological system of rock art classification used in this work. For a discussion of this matter, see Section IIc.

statistical analysis of Upper Tibetan rock art is planned for Vol. V of the series).

A significant minority of rock art of the Eastern Byang thang made during the Early Historic and Vestigial periods continued to conform to modes of subject and scene selection established in the Late Prehistoric era. This traditional or more conservative body of rock art adheres to the broad themes noted for rock art in the Late Prehistoric era (e.g. hunting scenes, anthropomorphic and zoomorphic portraiture, etc.). While a large body of religiously themed rock art appeared on the Eastern Byang thang in the Early Historic period, the thematic continuity exhibited by other rock art demonstrates that there was no large tear in the pre-existing cultural fabric of the region or in Upper Tibet more broadly. That Upper Tibet entered a more advanced social, economic and political regime in the Early Historic period is borne out by the appearance of a more diverse rock art repertory. This is mirrored in the historical record, for in the 7th century AD much of the Tibetan Plateau came under imperial rule. The Tibetan empire expanded its political and territorial grip until reaching its greatest extent in the 8th and first half of the 9th centuries AD. The formation of the Tibetan empire and its multifarious contacts with foreign peoples inexorably altered the cultural complexion of Upper Tibet and other Tibetan territories. Among the greatest cultural feats of the Imperial period (c. 600-850 AD) was the invention of a system of Tibetan writing and the introduction of Indian Buddhism. Rock art of the Early Historic period in Upper Tibet embodied these two major cultural achievements, as Buddhist-inspired religious symbolism and Tibetan inscriptions took centre stage at sites throughout the territory. The exceedingly influential cultural layer that was added to the rock art corpus of Upper Tibet in the Early Historic period was given shape by new channels of religious belief and devotion that proliferated in this territory. One centre of these changes was the Eastern Byang thang.

The religious rock art (symbolic and representational) that came out of the Eastern Byang thang in the Early Historic period underlines distinct sectarian affiliations. The study of Tibetan historical literature, buttressed by the rock art and epigraphic records, attests to the presence of two main religious orders that surfaced in Upper Tibet in the Early Historic period: Buddhism and a non-Buddhist entity. Very much has been written about the introduction of Buddhism in Tibet and its doctrinal and ecclesiastic underpinnings. and readers wanting to learn more are encouraged to consult this voluminous literature. The category of non-Buddhist religion, however, requires a bit more explanation as it is not so well appreciated. As used in this work, the term 'non-Buddhist' refers to various religious traditions and adherents known to Tibetans as bon or bon po.²⁵ Bon, a blanket native category, embraces disparate priesthoods, beliefs and ritual practices that are believed to have circulated in the Late Prehistoric era (how these personnel and their doctrines and institutions may have been organized remains obscure). The term bon is also used to denote the successors of preestablished religious customs and lineages who lived in Tibet in the Early Historic period. As an alternative and largely indigenous religious system, the bon po operated independently and in parallel with Buddhism in the Early Historic period, at least at first. Nevertheless, over time there was much intellectual and artistic crossover between Buddhism and those still identifying as non-Buddhists, which culminated in the late 10th and 11th centuries AD in the emergence of a syncretistic religion known as Yungdrung Bon (G.yung drung Bon).26 The rock art and rock epigraphy of Upper Tibet, especially that of the Eastern Byang thang, constitute two of the most complete bodies of evidence that document the Buddhist and non-Buddhist systems of religion and the interactions that took place between them in the Early Historic period. The spread of religious content in rock art and rock inscriptions on the Eastern Byang thang gained even more momentum in the Vestigial period, as the interplay between the two chief religious orders reached a crescendo.

In the rock art of the Eastern Byang thang, the two fundamental kinds of religion, Buddhist and non-Buddhist, were articulated in an extensive repertoire of sacred symbols, e.g. the swastika, five-pointed stars, stepped structures, and endless knots, etc. Discerning the sectarian orientation of religiously inspired rock art on the Eastern Byang thang is much aided by Tibetan rock inscriptions, which were made in the same parietal structures and in some instances as part of the same compositions as sacred symbolism. The spatial relationships between the rock art subjects and epigraphs of the two major religious categories is also very revealing. The concentration of religious rock art belonging to one or the other religious order in certain parietal structures, the placement of pictographs and inscriptions seemingly with no regard for those already present on rock surface, and their defacement and erasure illustrate the scope and nature of sectarian interactions in the Early Historicand Vestigial periods. The superimposition and deliberate damage of religious pictographs and inscriptions suggests that competition was a key preoccupation of rock art makers (specific examples are offered throughout the course of the book). Any such sectarian tensions are likely to have acted as a major motivational factor in the creation of

²⁵ There is a growing scholarly literature on the ancient *bon po.* For bibliographic information and further background, consult my various publications.

On the relationship between bon traditions and the Lamaist religion of Yungdrung Bon, see Kværne 1972; Bellezza 2008; 2013; Karmay 1998;

countervailing pictographs and inscriptions, as rival parties sought to exert actual or symbolic control over sites. This is exemplified in the painted swastikas hailing from the Early Historic and Vestigial periods that litter rock art sites on the Eastern Byang thang. Those facing in counter-clockwise (non-Buddhist) and clockwise (Buddhist) directions were commonly added to the same rock surfaces in a disorderly or ad hoc fashion. Sectarian distinctions associated with the orientation of the swastika can be traced to the Imperial period, a byproduct of religious differentiation between Buddhists and those maintaining a religious order based on older indigenous or hybridized traditions. However, not all of the religiously inspired rock art of the Eastern Byang thang and other parts of Upper Tibet was the result of competition and conflict. Certain pictographs painted in the Vestigial and Late Historic periods appear to innocently mark sacred features in natural parietal structures, or to document their use by meditators (sgom chen) and worshippers (mchod pa). After c. 1000 AD, Buddhism consolidated its control over much of the Tibetan Plateau. By the close of the Vestigial period, followers of Yungdrung Bon and any surviving archaic bon cultists had been converted in most parts of Upper Tibet to the prevailing faith. Unrepentant Yungdrung Bon adherents who were displaced tended to move south into Central Tibet and eastwards into the Tibetan cultural territories of Kham (Khams) and Amdo (A mdo). The status of Buddhism as the paramount religion in Upper Tibet more or less coincided with the end of the bulk of rock art production in Upper Tibet. To what degree the disappearance of archaic bon traditions and Yungdrung Bon might have contributed to the demise of rock art remains unclear. With the subsidence of sectarian opposition there was no longer any compelling motivation to outdo rivals in rock art and inscription making. Nonetheless, the popularity of alternative forms of art and craft, and possibly growing literacy, are likely to have also played a major role in the steep decline in rock art production in Upper Tibet after c. 1300 AD.

Twenty-two of the 29 rock art sites surveyed on the Eastern Byang thang are closely associated with archaic structural remains, which occur either in the same natural parietal structure or close by in the same limestone formation. The most commonly built archaic structures were cliff shelters (also called rock shelters and cave shelters), which typically consist of a façade, a masonry front that served to insulate the interior from the elements. The observations offered below help distinguish archaic cliff shelters from later variants constructed by Buddhist practitioners. All of the abandoned ancient cliff shelters of the Eastern Byang thang are now heavily degraded, impeding an assessment of their original design, plan, and manner of construction. Certain others are likely to have been incorporated into Buddhist facilities, which appeared on the Eastern Byang thang mostly after 1000 AD, eliminating or concealing their older structural characteristics. Parietal installations in the limestone formations of the region, which range in age from the Late Prehistoric era to Early Historic period, vary in size from shallow caves with elementary masonry facades to multiroomed complexes that spill out well beyond the cave or overhang that serves as their core. More elaborate cliff shelters are endowed with anterooms, exterior walled enclosures, internal wall partitions, stone and adobe fixtures, and unidentified stone structures that belonged to the same residential complexes.²⁷ The various structural elements of cliff shelters were constructed of local pieces of limestone (raw and hewn), which were assembled into walls with random rubble textures (dry stone and mortared). Internal subsidiary structures include shelves, niches, alcoves, and platforms made of stone and mud, or hewn out of the natural walls of caves.

None of the archaic cliff shelters of the Eastern Byang thang have been securely dated utilizing chronometric methods. Thus, we must fall back on informed approaches to assess their age. As the observations offered below suggest, they appear to have been founded and in active use during the Late Prehistoric era and Early Historic period, a timespan of some two millennia.²⁸ Of course, many of the caves, clefts, and overhangs around which they came up are likely to have been the focus of attention, and possibly occupation, long before masonry structures were built to expand their usefulness. The archaic morphological traits of cliff shelters, siting in desolate locations, lack of prevailing cultural emblems, and their devotional and intellectual abandonment by the current Tibetan population allude to their antiquity. The massive masonry front walls and careful drystone construction of some examples are hardly matched by the Buddhist hermitages and temples built into parietal structures, which were founded more recently on the Eastern Byang thang. These tend to have more crudely and lightly built walls and occur in still well-trammelled locations. In contrast, many of the archaic cliff shelters are situated in limestone formations that were vacated and never redeveloped by later inhabitants. The now deserted tips of headlands around Lake Gnam mtsho

Small and largescale freestanding residential structures, various kinds of fortifications, a diverse array of tombs and other types of funerary structures, which exhibit archaic design and morphological features, supply a continuous record of habitation and cultural development in Upper Tibet from the Late Bronze Age until the Vestigial period. These assemblages of monuments have been the object systematic exploration and research by the author since the early 1990s. Most are distributed on the Central and Western Byang thang and in Stod, not on the Eastern Byang thang. For information on their function, age and cultural and historical significance, see Bellezza 2008; 2011; 2014a; 2014c; 2020c.

²⁸ It is certainly possible that cliff shelters in Upper Tibet were first developed during the Late Neolithic; however, archaeological evidence for this has yet to be identified.


are a case in point. A cultural distinction as compared with Buddhist facilities that developed around parietal structures in Upper Tibet is the absence of religious markers and emblems, such as the tying of prayer flags (rlung rta), mantras inscribed on stones, deposition of moulded clay plaques with sacred images (tsha tsha), and the erection of tiered monuments known as chorten (mchod rten). Most tellingly, even derelict Buddhist facilities, as many now are, are acknowledged in Tibetan historical and biographical texts and by the local oral tradition. As a rule, accounts about their founding, legends regaling the exploits of saints that frequented them, and myths concerning the sacred and extraordinary qualities of Buddhist sites circulate locally, if not more widely. Not so with archaic cliff shelters. These are rarely distinguished with Buddhist religious indicators or even acknowledged in the history and lore of the region. In historical terms, the archaic cliff shelters have become the other.

Archaic cliff shelters were once the most common enduring residential structures on the Eastern Byang thang (their importance is somewhat diminished in more westerly regions of Upper Tibet by the existence of various kinds of archaic freestanding residential complexes). Aside from considering that cliff shelters probably fulfilled domiciliary, economic, ceremonial and ritual purposes, the specific functions attached to them on a site-by-site basis have not been determined with any assurance. The uses of archaic cliff shelters seem to have varied considerably according to their size and design. The smallest and least substantial ones had no domiciliary functions, although they could have been occupied on a temporary or seasonal basis as bivouacs. Other minor examples may have been established as shrines or tabernacles, which is supported by religious-themed rock art decorating the walls of some of them (the most common subject is the swastika oriented in a counter-clockwise direction). On the other hand, caverns with massive front walls, anterooms and internal partitions were probably occupied as permanent habitations. In addition to residential and economic functions, major cliff shelters probably acted as cult venues and sanctuaries where ceremonial and ritual operations were conducted. Although cliff shelters were the prime form of imperishable residential construction on the Eastern Byang thang during the Late Prehistoric era and Early Historic period, their numbers were never large enough to accommodate more than a very small fraction of the total population, even when making allowance for others that have disappeared over the years, or which where engulfed by the raising of Buddhist facilities. Hence, specialized functions and exclusive patterns of occupation are likely to be implicated in their construction and tenure. If accessibility or ownership was restricted this would indicate that elite social roles were accorded to them, such as those exercised by a priesthood or ruling class. This is particularly likely for the largest and most intricate cliff shelters.

Three-quarters of rock art sites on the Eastern Byang thang are linked to ancient built cliff shelters. This significant spatial correlation between the two archaeological assets suggests that they are interrelated cultural expressions. Potentially, rock art production was intertwined with the residential, ceremonial and ritual activities that transpired in the same parietal structures hosting anthropogenic modifications. However, as none of the built cliff shelters or rock art have been precisely dated, cliff shelter building and rock art production as allied cultural functions is just one of three historical scenarios that must be put forward. It is also possible that rock art makers were attracted to preexisting cliff shelters; these two cultural expressions being incidental but not directly related to one another. Inversely, builders of cliff shelters may have chosen places for construction that already had rock art. Given the broad chronological scope of rock art production on the Eastern Byang thang, all three historical scenarios. depending on location and circumstance, are plausible. We are thus inclined to see rock art production as both tied to as well as unrelated to cliff shelter construction in the region.

Ic. Rock Art Sites of Upper Tibet

To fix the locations of rock art sites as accurately as possible, GPS coordinates (latitude and longitude), using the WGS 84 (World Geodetic System), are furnished for each of them in the Table below. For rock art sites occupying large areas, the coordinates provided are for a centralized location within them. A variety of handheld consumer-grade GPS (Global Positioning System) units have been employed in the field to obtain the GPS coordinates of rock art and other types of archaeological sites in Upper Tibet since 1999. GPS units have varying levels of accuracy. In general terms, the GPS coordinates of rock art sites provided should be accurate to within c. 30 m; however, the standard deviation for any specific set of coordinates given remains unknown. In addition to inherent technical limitations pertaining to receiver design and quality, other factors that help determine the accuracy of a GPS unit include satellite geometry, signal blockage, atmospheric conditions, and topography, Reduced battery power can also affect the sensitivity of GPS readings. It must also be noted that GPS base stations were not established in the field (these are used to introduce a correction factor to the GPS signals received). All coordinates in this work are given in decimal degrees:



Map 3. Locations (digital degrees) of rock art sites in Upper Tibet surveyed in this work.

Site No.	Site Name	North Latitude	East Latitude
Site 1	Bkra shis do chen	30.775956	90.867194
Site 2	Bkra shis do chung	30.766667	90.9
Site 3	Rta mchog ngang pa do	30.8325	90.67
Site 4	Just West of Ngang pa do	30.8419	90.655433
Site 5	Further West of Ngang pa do	30.842167	90.642333
Site 6	North of Khyi rgan gag pa do	30.842133	90.6252
Site 7	Lug do	30.801667	90.595
Site 8	Ra ma do	30.8	90.57
Site 9	Stong shong phug	30.839317	90.487217
Site 10	Se mo do / Srin mo do / Nang do	30.831667	90.391667
Site 11	Rigs lnga do	30.871667	90.38
Site 12	Lce do	30.813	90.273333
Site 13	Sha ba brag Thang stong phug	30.991667	89.675
Site 14	Kong chung	31.348233	89.204533
Site 15	Gnam g.yang phug	30.927083	89.090817
Site 16	Lha ris sgrub phug	30.975183	88.990533
Site 17	Slob dpon phug	31.582667	88.945167
Site 18	Sho lo phug	31.595333	88.8405
Site 19	Lha 'dre phug	31.61	88.826667
Site 20	Gzims phug btsan khang	31.420567	88.7227
Site 21	Dpal gzims phug	31.399	88.709333
Site 22	Rdo 'khor phug pa	31.377333	88.699867

A COMPREHENSIVE SURVEY OF ROCK ART IN UPPER TIBET

Site No.	Site Name	North Latitude	East Latitude
Site 23	Dgon ro dmar lding / Lcags sgo brag	31.428	88.6525
Site 24	Lha 'dre tshogs khang	31.428167	88.6495
Site 25	Dar lung phug	31.4292	88.645383
Site 26*	Skyid sgrom sgo gru bzhi	31.423333	88.573333
Site 27	Sgar gsol brag phug	31.558667	88.565667
Site 28	Chu ro	31.379233	88.495267
Site 29	O rtsal phug	31.661733	88.4605
Site 30	Bshag bsangs	31.042133	87.613083
Site 31	Dar chung	31.297333	86.783
Site 32	Sgo bdag	31.321	86.7775
Site 33*	Am nag	31.329333	86.769333
Site 34	Rong rde'u sna lhas	33.052983	86.699417
Site 35	Sngon gdong	33.019883	86.672567
Site 36	Gyam gdong	32.996067	86.653517
Site 37	Rgya rug	32.975917	86.6509
Site 38	Brag khung mdzes po	31.761667	86.158333
Site 39	Mu ro ri (L1)	31.03534	85.63404
Site 40	Rta ri brag phug	33.006667	84.251667
Site 41	'Phrang lam	31.2062	84.039767
Site 42	Lha khang dmar chags	31.20995	84.02606
Site 43	Rdzong pi phi	30.113333	83.686667
Site 44	Ri rgyal	32.849983	83.104217
Site 45	Dkyil grum	32.531067	82.269783
Site 46	Bong lhas brag (near Skya bo klu khang)	31.858333	82.161667
Site 47	Ba'o lhas	33.831667	82.051667
Site 48	Phru gu dbyar ka	33.506667	81.876667
Site 49	Sngor gyam	33.18	81.826667
Site 50	Steng rtse mtshams khang	32.908333	81.4
Site 51	Brag lung nub ma	33.245	81.035
Site 52	Kham pa rwa co	33.261667	80.951667
Site 53	Gong ra / Gong kha	33.003333	80.519667
Site 54	Chu mkhar gyam	33.68	80.455
Site 55	Skabs ren spungs ri	32.973167	80.443833
Site 56	Tham ka can	30.967167	80.393
Site 57	Rta pa gong g.yag	33.681983	80.3845
Site 58	Ser tshogs rdo ring	33.058017	80.38325
Site 59	Mchod rten sbug sna kha	33.5557	80.330533
Site 60	Brag gdong East	33.104183	80.318333
Site 61	Glog phug mkhar	33.091	80.314167
Site 62	Brag gdong West	33.115	80.302667
Site 63	Gyam rag (East)	33.114933	80.254917
Site 64	Rtwa med god sa mon dur	33.117033	80.252033

 $^{^{\}ast}\text{The geocoordinates}$ provided for S26 and S33 have not been GPS verified.

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Site No.	Site Name	North Latitude	East Latitude
Site 65	Rwa 'brog 'phrang	33.101667	80.251333
Site 66	Sgog ra	33.091667	80.246667
Site 67	Skal khra mon dur	33.118717	80.22465
Site 68	Sna kha sogs and Mtha' rung	33.110333	80.127167
Site 69	Mtha' kham pa ri	33.843833	80.061867
Site 70	Nag skyom	33.146133	80.05715
Site 71	Rgyab lung	33.771667	80.053333
Site 72	Brag gtsug	33.76055	80.030067
Site 73	Gna' bo lung	33.8705	80.028
Site 74	Chu lung	32.881667	79.936667
Site 75	Gyam kham pa	32.85125	79.923367
Site 76	Rdu ru can	31.56	79.913333
Site 77	Ri mo gdong	33.165	79.835
Site 78	Sa snying	31.6659	79.835667
Site 79	Rno ba g.yang rdo	33.633167	79.824333
Site 80	Nag khung rdo ring	33.141667	79.821667
Site 81	Gri'u chu thang	32.93	79.798333
Site 82	She rang sna kha shar ma	33.222317	79.786167
Site 83	Bri mo spo ba	31.72285	79.773417
Site 84	Rdzong chen	33.2575	79.741667
Site 85	She rang mkhar lung	33.1835	79.725333
Site 86	Rdzong chung	33.268667	79.7185
Site 87	Ru thog rdzong	33.416833	79.642
Site 88	Gser sgam	31.496667	79.631667
Site 89	Lu ring sna ka	33.401983	79.607617
Site 90	Mar lung	33.393333	79.588333
Site 91	Brag gyam	32.831667	79.585
Site 92	Rgyal la lding	31.616667	79.116667