

**SUPPLEMENT TO VOLUME 48 (2018) OF THE
PROCEEDINGS OF THE SEMINAR FOR ARABIAN STUDIES**

**LANGUAGES, SCRIPTS AND THEIR USES
IN ANCIENT NORTH ARABIA**

*Papers from the Special Session of the Seminar for Arabian Studies
held on 5 August 2017*

**edited by
M.C.A. Macdonald**

SEMINAR FOR ARABIAN STUDIES

**ARCHAEOPRESS
OXFORD**

2018

This Supplement is available with volume 48 of the *Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies* and orders should be sent to

Archaeopress Publishing Ltd, Summertown Pavilion, 18–24 Middle Way, Oxford OX2 7LG, UK.

Tel +44(0)1865-311914 Fax +44(0)1865-512231

e-mail info@archaeopress.com

http://www.archaeopress.com

For the availability of back issues see The British Foundation for the Study of Arabia's website:

http://www.thebfsa.org/content/seminar-proceedings

Seminar for Arabian Studies

c/o the Department of the Middle East, The British Museum

London, WC1B 3DG, United Kingdom

e-mail psas@thebfsa.org

The British Foundation for the Study of Arabia: www.thebfsa.org

The Steering Committee of the Seminar for Arabian Studies is currently made up of twelve academic members. The Editorial Committee of the *Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies* includes eight additional members as follows:

STEERING COMMITTEE

Dr Derek Kennet (Chair)

Dr Robert Wilson (Treasurer)

Dr Janet Starkey (Editor of PSAS)

Dr Tim Power (Assistant Editor)

Dr Julian Jansen van Rensburg

(Assistant Editor)

Dr Harry Munt (Assistant Editor)

Prof. Rob Carter

Dr Nadia Durrani

Dr Orhan Elmaz

Mr Michael C.A. Macdonald

Dr St John Simpson

Dr Lucy Wadeson

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE: ADDITIONAL MEMBERS

Professor Alessandra Avanzini

Professor Soumyen Bandyopadhyay

Dr Ricardo Eichmann

Professor Clive Holes

Professor Khalil Al-Muaikel

Professor Daniel T. Potts

Professor Christian J. Robin

Professor Lloyd Weeks

Opinions expressed in papers published in the *Supplement* are those of the authors and are not necessarily shared by the Editorial Committee.

The *Supplement* is produced in the Times Semitic New font, which was designed by Paul Bibire for the Seminar for Arabian Studies.

© 2018 Archaeopress Publishing, Oxford, UK.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

ISSN 0308-8421

ISBN 978-1-78491-899-6

ISBN 978-1-78491-900-9 (e-pdf)

The Steering Committee of the Seminar for Arabian Studies is most grateful to the
MBI Al Jaber Foundation
for its continued generosity in making a substantial grant towards the running costs of
the Seminar and the editorial expenses of producing the *Proceedings*.

Contents

Guidelines and Transliteration	i
Introduction.....	iii
<i>Michael C.A. Macdonald</i>	
The use of languages and scripts in settled areas	
Towards a re-assessment of the Ancient North Arabian alphabets used in the oasis of al- ^c Ulā	1
<i>Michael C.A. Macdonald</i>	
Scribal practices in contact: two Minaic/Dadanitic mixed texts.....	21
<i>Fokelien Kootstra</i>	
‘Literacy in literate societies’: the scribe in Nabataean and other Aramaic contexts	31
<i>John F. Healey</i>	
The role of Aramaic on the Arabian Peninsula in the second half of the first millennium BC.....	39
<i>Peter Stein</i>	
The use of languages and scripts among nomads	
New research on the ‘Thamudic’ graffiti from the region of Ḥimā (Najrān, Saudi Arabia).....	53
<i>Alessia Prioletta with a note by Christian J. Robin</i>	
A survey of the Ancient North Arabian inscriptions from the Dūmat al-Jandal area (Saudi Arabia).....	71
<i>Jérôme Norris</i>	
A preliminary investigation of an Ancient North Arabian invocation from the Madaba region of central Jordan	95
<i>Hani Hayajneh</i>	
Understanding Safaitic inscriptions in their topographical context.....	101
<i>Ali Al-Manaser</i>	
The earliest attestation of <i>laysa</i> and the implications for its etymology.....	111
<i>Ahmad Al-Jallad</i>	
Papers read at the Special Session	121

Guidelines and Transliteration

Guidelines for Authors

For details on the submission of papers and the preparation of papers for publication, authors are requested to consult and follow the latest *Guidelines for Authors*. These are available on the British Foundation for the Study of Arabia website at www.thebfsa.org/content/psas-guidelines. Please contact the editors on PSAS@thebfsa.org for further information.

Fonts

Electronic versions of papers being submitted for publication should be submitted in Times Semitic New 12-point font if at all possible, with double-line spacing on A4-paper size and 2.45 cm margins all round. This free font set along with the recommended Greek font set, called TimesClassicGreek (tmsrr_1.ttf), can be downloaded as a zip file from the BFSASeminar website at www.thebfsa.org/publications/psas-guidelines/.

The BFSAS System of Transliteration of Relevant Characters

Quotations, single words, and phrases from Arabic or other languages written in non-Roman alphabets, are transliterated according to the systems set out below.

We firmly encourage authors to use the correctly transliterated form of any place name, but the names used for types of pottery, archaeological periods, and cultures which have become archaeological standards should be used in that form: Umm an-Nar, Julfar ware, etc. If any place name needs to be given in a non-standard format, the correctly transliterated form should be added in the first instance in any paper (see *Guidelines for Authors* for more details).

Personal names, toponyms, and other words that have entered English or French in a particular form, should be used in that form when they occur in an English or French sentence, unless they are part of a quotation in the original language, or of a correctly transliterated name or phrase. In the latter cases, they should be correctly transliterated, even when they occur in an English or French sentence.

1. Arabic

ء	ا	ج	j	ذ	dh (dh)	ش	sh (sh)	ظ	z	ق	q	ن	n
ب	b	ح	ḥ	ر	r	ص	ṣ	ع	ʿ	ك	k	ه	h
ت	t	خ	kh (kh)	ز	z	ض	ḍ	غ	gh (gh)	ل	l	و	w
ث	th (th)	د	d	س	s	ط	ṭ	ف	f	م	m	ي	y
Vowels		a i u ā ī ū		Diphthongs		aw	ay						

The underlined variants can be used to avoid any ambiguity, e.g. *lam yushir* vs. *lam yushir*.

Initial *hamzah* is omitted.

Alif maqṣūrah is transliterated as *ā*.

The *lām* of the article is not assimilated before the ‘sun letters’, thus the form should be *al-shams* not *ash-shams*.

The *hamzat al-waṣl* of the article should be shown after vowels except after the preposition *li-*, as in the Arabic script,

e.g. *wa-l-wazīr*, *fī l-bayt*, but *li-l-wazīr*.

Tā marbūṭah (ة) should be rendered *-ah*, except in a construct: e.g. *birkah*, *zakāh*, and *birkat al-sibāḥah*, *zakāt al-ḥiṭr*.

2. Persian, Urdu, and Ottoman Turkish

Please transliterate these languages using the system set out for Arabic above with the additional letters transliterated according to the system in the *Encyclopaedia of Islam* (<http://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-islamica/system-of-transliteration-of-arabic-and-persian-characters-transliteration>) except that *ž* is used instead of *zh*. There is a useful table to convert Ottoman Turkish to modern Turkish characters on http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Turkish_language.

3. Ancient North and South Arabian consonants

ᵇ	b	t	ṭ	g	ḥ	ḥ	d	ḏ	r	z	s ¹	s ²	s ³	š
ḏ	ṭ	z	c	ḡ	f	q	k	l	m	n	h	w	y	

4. Other Semitic languages

Please use the transliteration systems outlined in the *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research* (BASOR) 262 (1986), p. 3. (www.jstor.org/stable/i258780).

Introduction

MICHAEL C.A. MACDONALD

Most of the papers published in this volume were presented at a Special Session of the fifty-first Seminar for Arabian Studies held at the British Museum on 5 August 2017. Its subject was ‘Languages, scripts, and their uses in ancient North Arabia’ and it was held to celebrate the completion in the previous March of Phase 2 of the ‘Online Corpus of the Inscriptions of Ancient North Arabia’ (OCIANA).

This was a project funded by the United Kingdom’s Arts and Humanities Research Council and based at the Khalili Research Centre, University of Oxford. Its aim was to create a freely accessible online database of all the inscriptions from ancient Arabia north of Yemen, with up-to-date readings and translations, *apparatus criticus*, commentaries where necessary, as well as all available accurate information on provenance and context and, whenever possible, good photographs. In Phase 2 all the Safaitic, Hismaic, Dadanitic, Taymanitic, and Hasaitic inscriptions known at the time were entered (some 40,000), though inevitably more have been found since and will be added in Phase 3 when the so-called ‘Thamudic’ inscriptions and other corpora will also be entered. The database is accessible online and each corpus (Safaitic, Dadanitic, etc.) can be downloaded as a pdf at <http://krc.orient.ox.ac.uk/ociana/index.php>.

A list of the papers presented at the Special Session can be found at the end of this volume. In most cases, the paper published here is a more complete and detailed version of the one given at the Seminar. Three of the authors — Laïla Nehmé, Chiara della Puppa, and Sulayman Al-Theeb — decided not to submit their papers for publication. While I obviously regret this, I entirely understand the reasons why each of them came to this decision. In addition, Ahmad Al-Jallad decided to submit a different paper, and Christian Robin contributed a note to Alessia Prioletta’s rather than his full paper.

The first paper in this volume was not given at the Special Session but was written by me some years ago and, though not published, was distributed widely in manuscript. This volume seemed an ideal place to present an updated version of it and — using editor’s privilege! — I have therefore included it.

After the final paper of the Special Session Laïla Nehmé and Ahmad Al-Jallad presented me with a *Festschrift* which they had edited to celebrate my seventieth birthday (Nehmé & Al-Jallad 2018). It contains thirty-two fascinating articles on a wide range of subjects dear to my heart. The thought-provoking new material, analyses, and discussions will ensure that my old age will be anything but restful! I am extremely grateful to all those who contributed to it and especially to my very dear friends and colleagues Laïla Nehmé and Ahmad Al-Jallad, who had the idea of the *Festschrift* in the first place and who took on the enormous task of editing the volume.

Finally, I would like to thank the British Foundation for the Study of Arabia, the Seminar for Arabian Studies, the Khalili Research Centre University of Oxford, and the Seven Pillars of Wisdom Trust, for their generous grants without which the Special Session and this volume would not have been possible.

* * *

By now it is widely known that between at least the mid-first millennium BC and the fourth (?) century AD, literacy was widespread among the populations of North Arabia. A surprisingly large number of different scripts was used to express several languages and dialects, many of which are very imperfectly known.¹ The majority of the scripts belonged to the ‘South Semitic script family’ which also includes Ancient South Arabian (ASA) and the scripts used by some of the Ethiopic languages such as Gəʿəz and Amharic. In antiquity, the South Semitic script family was used only in Arabia and its immediate environs, but within this area large numbers of people, both settled and nomadic, used the scripts derived from it to carve graffiti.²

Until recently the term ‘Ancient North Arabian’ (ANA), which in fact describes a number of related scripts, was extended to describe the *languages* which

¹ This is particularly true of those found in the Thamudic B, C, and D inscriptions, which are generally very short, and also of the newly deciphered Himaitic texts, on which see Prioletta (this volume).

² See e.g. Macdonald 2010 for an overview, as well as the individual papers in this volume.

they expressed and which were also assumed to be related (e.g. Macdonald 2004). In the past five years, however, Ahmad Al-Jallad and Fokelien Kootstra have effectively shown that this is not so. Thanks to their work we now know that the language of the Safaitic inscriptions is predominantly Old Arabic (Al-Jallad 2015: 10–14), while that of the Taymanitic inscriptions is more closely related to the North-West Semitic languages than to Arabic (Kootstra 2016: 104–107). Kootstra’s continuing work on Dadanitic may well show that it belongs to neither group, but we must wait for the completion of her PhD thesis for more information on this.

In addition to those writing in ANA scripts, Dadan (modern al-^cUlā) hosted a trading (?) colony of Minaeans. Kootstra’s paper in this volume is the first step in a process of minute and careful analysis, which reveals previously unrecognized links between the scribal practices of the Minaean and the Dadanite communities — something which might be expected but has so far never been demonstrated. It should be read together with Irene Rossi’s careful analysis of the differences between the language and orthography of these ‘Marginal Minaic’ inscriptions and those from the Minaean homeland (2014: 113–115).

Staying in Dadan, I hope that my contribution to this volume will dispel some long-established misconceptions about the palaeography of the Dadanitic inscriptions and provide a more secure basis for their study, even if this means accepting that there are things that we do not — and in some cases cannot — know.

Two papers reveal new Ancient North Arabian scripts. Jérôme Norris’s excellent study of the graffiti in an area near Dūmat al-Jandal (al-Jawf) has not only increased the number of ‘Dumaitic’ inscriptions from three to twenty-three but has identified and analysed a large number of texts in other, previously unrecognized, ANA scripts. His paper presents a fascinating picture of this relatively small area where — either simultaneously or consecutively — individuals using a number of different script traditions lived, or passed through, leaving their mark.

Alessia Prioletta’s paper reports on Christian Robin’s decipherment of the graffiti in the area of Ḥimā, near Najrān (southern Saudi Arabia) and describes in detail these ‘Himaitic’ inscriptions which were previously known as ‘Southern Thamudic’.³ Although they had been

³ Unfortunately, Christian Robin was unable to attend the Special Session and his paper was read on his behalf by Alessia Prioletta. Together they decided that, rather than submit for publication two papers on a very similar subject, Robin would add a note to Prioletta’s paper.

known since 1951 and the script had been recognized as ANA it had not been completely deciphered and had therefore been placed in the ‘Thamudic pending file’ (Macdonald 2000: 44). This paper, along with Norris’s, has enormously extended our knowledge of the Ancient North Arabian scripts in both the north and south of the Peninsula.

Two other papers, by Ali Al-Manaser and Hani Hayajneh, deal with graffiti in the better-known ANA scripts of the desert, Safaitic and Hismaic respectively. This is also a field in which many new discoveries are being made, thanks to massive new surveys recording thousands of previously unknown inscriptions,⁴ and minute analyses of the texts such as those by Ahmad Al-Jallad (2014; 2015; 2016; Al-Jallad & Jaworska, forthcoming) and Chiara della Puppa. It is a pity that the latter’s paper could not be published in this volume (it forms part of her doctoral thesis) since it impressed many of the participants at the Special Session and is cited in several of the papers here. Ahmad Al-Jallad, in a change of subject from his paper at the Session, has recognized the first occurrence in a Safaitic inscription of the Arabic verb *laysa* and has contributed a detailed and very interesting study on its origins.

In addition to local scripts of the South Semitic script family, it is increasingly recognized that the Aramaic script was widely used in various forms and at different periods, in oases such as Taymā⁵ and in the Gulf. Old Aramaic inscriptions have been found in Dadan⁵ and on the Darb al-Bakra⁶ while formal texts and graffiti in Imperial (Official) Aramaic have been found in and around Taymā⁵ and in Bahrain and the Oman peninsula. Peter Stein has contributed an excellent study of the Aramaic used in these areas, why it came to be used, and its relationship with the local languages and scripts.

We now know that when the Lihyanites occupied Taymā⁵ they used Imperial Aramaic rather than Dadanitic presumably because Aramaic was an ‘international’ language and was already in common use at Taymā⁵, not only for formal inscriptions but for tombstones carved by

⁴ These are the Badia Surveys of 2015, 2017, and 2018 undertaken on the initiative of, and in 2017 and 2018 led by, Ali Al-Manaser, which are systematically recording inscriptions in the basalt desert (*harrah*) of north-eastern Jordan. Approximately 10,000 inscriptions have been recorded so far, each with its precise GPS co-ordinates and they will be published in Phase 3 of OCIANA.

⁵ These are as yet unpublished and I thank Prof. Sulayman Al-Dhiyib (Al-Theeb) for showing me photographs of them.

⁶ From the route between Madā’in Šālīḥ and Petra, discovered by Prof. Ali Al-Ghabbān and published in Macdonald (in press, a).

amateurs (rather than scribes) and for graffiti.⁷ Indeed, in the environs of Taymā³, we have graffiti by two kings of Liḥyān in a local development of Imperial Aramaic.⁸ Presumably, after the Achaemenid Empire ceased to maintain scribal schools in Arabia, a number of local derivatives of Imperial Aramaic developed, of which we have examples in Taymā³ and in the Gulf (see Stein, this volume). Eventually, towards the end of the first century BC, the Nabataeans conquered north-west Arabia bringing with them their own local development of the script which replaced the local Arabian versions in the area. John Healey's study of scribes in 'literate societies' such as Nabataea is a very interesting exploration of societies in which reading and writing are essential to their functioning, but in which large numbers of the population may not be literate.

After a couple of centuries of Roman rule, and especially after the southern border of *Provincia Arabia* was moved much further north under Diocletian, north-west Arabia was more or less left to its own devices. Greek had not penetrated this area to anything like the same extent as it did in what is now Jordan and southern Syria. Arabic remained a purely spoken language and Aramaic remained the dominant written language and script. Gradually, the knowledge of the Aramaic language seems to have declined, apart from a few stock phrases, and it seems that the Nabataean script came to be used to write Arabic, particularly on portable materials (which, alas, have not survived). We know this because a script develops through its use in ink on portable materials, and therefore the changes in the script that we see in graffiti on stone are simply snapshots of a development which was continuing on papyrus, parchment, or potsherds.⁹ This is how the Nabataean script developed into what we think of as the 'Arabic script'.¹⁰ Laïla Nehmé concluded the Special Session with a fascinating paper on this subject,

which unfortunately she did not have time to prepare for publication here, but I look forward to its publication elsewhere in due course.

I hope that the reader will find in this volume a picture of the latest research on the languages, scripts, and their uses in ancient North Arabia. It has been a pleasure editing it and I have learned a great deal from all the papers. I would like to thank all the authors for their contributions, Helen Knox for her meticulous copy-editing, Rajka Makjanic for her page setting, and all at Archaeopress for producing such a beautiful volume.

Michael C.A. Macdonald
Wolfson College
Oxford
30 April 2018.

References

- Avner U., Nehmé L. & Robin C.J. 2013. A rock inscription mentioning Tha^calaba, an Arab king from Ghassan. *Arabian Epigraphic Notes* 24: 237–256.
- Al-Jallad A. 2014. An ancient Arabian Zodiac. The constellations in the Safaitic inscriptions, Part I. *Arabian Archaeology and Epigraphy* 25: 214–230.
- Al-Jallad A. 2015. *An outline of the grammar of the Safaitic inscriptions*. (Studies in Semitic Languages and Linguistics, 80). Leiden: Brill.
- Al-Jallad A. 2016. An ancient Arabian Zodiac. The constellations in the Safaitic inscriptions, Part II. *Arabian Archaeology and Epigraphy* 27: 84–106.
- Al-Jallad A. & Jaworska K. (forthcoming). *A dictionary and grammar of the Safaitic inscriptions*. (2 volumes).
- Jaussen A. & Savignac M.R. 1909–1922. *Mission archéologique en Arabie*. (5 volumes). Paris: Leroux/Geuthner.
- Kootstra F. 2016. The language of the Taymanitic inscriptions and its classification. *Arabian Epigraphic Notes* 2: 67–140.
- Macdonald M.C.A. 2000. Reflections on the linguistic map of pre-Islamic Arabia. *Arabian Archaeology and Epigraphy* 11: 28–79. [Reprinted with addenda and corrigenda as article III in M.C.A. Macdonald, *Literacy and identity in pre-Islamic Arabia*. (Variorum Collected Studies, CS906). Farnham: Ashgate, 2009.
- Macdonald M.C.A. 2004. Ancient North Arabian. Pages 488–533 in R. Woodard (ed.), *The Cambridge encyclopedia of the world's ancient languages*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

⁷ See Macdonald (in press, b) and Macdonald & Al-Najem (in press).

⁸ These are *šhrw* in Al-Theeb 2014: 34 and *Mš^cwdw* in Jaussen & Savignac 1909–1922, ii Nabataean 334, 335, 337 and a fourth text recently discovered by the Epigraphy and Landscape in the Hinterland of Taymā³ project. For many years there has been uncertainty as to whether *Mš^cwdw* really was a king of Liḥyān, or simply a claimant or imposter, since no inscriptions dated to his reign had been found. This uncertainty has now been resolved with the discovery in Taymā³ of a dedication in the local Aramaic script of the oasis dated to year three of 'Mš^cwdw king of Liḥyān' (TM.TAr.004, Taymā³ Museum registration number 488, see Macdonald & Al-Najem, in press).

⁹ See Macdonald 2010: 21; 2015: 13–14.

¹⁰ On this process see the numerous works by L. Nehmé who has made a profound study of this development; e.g. Nehmé 2010; Avner, Nehmé, & Robin 2013; Nehmé 2017.

- Macdonald M.C.A. 2010. Ancient Arabia and the written word. Pages 5–28 in M.C.A. Macdonald (ed.), *The development of Arabic as a written language*. (Supplement to the Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies, 40). Oxford: Archaeopress.
- Macdonald M.C.A. 2015. On the uses of writing in ancient Arabia and the role of palaeography in studying them. *Arabian Epigraphic Notes* 1: 1–50.
- Macdonald M.C.A. (in press, a). The Ancient North and South Arabian inscriptions on the Darb al-Bakra. In L. Nehmé (ed.), *Darb al-Bakra, the ancient route between Hegrā and Petra*. Riyadh: Saudi Commission for Tourism and National Heritage.
- Macdonald M.C.A. (in press, b). *Catalogue of the inscriptions discovered in the Saudi-German excavations at Taymāʾ 2004–2015*. With contributions by A. Hausleiter, F. Imbert, H. Schaudig, P. Stein & F. Tourtet. (*Taymāʾ II*). Oxford: Archaeopress.
- Macdonald M.C.A. & Al-Najem M. (in press). *Catalogue of the inscriptions in the Taymāʾ Museum*. With contributions by F. Imbert & P. Stein. (*Taymāʾ III*). Oxford: Archaeopress.
- Nehmé L. 2010. A glimpse of the development of the Nabataean script into Arabic based on old and new epigraphic material. Pages 47–88 in M.C.A. Macdonald (ed.), *The development of Arabic as a written language*. (Supplement to the Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies, 40). Oxford: Archaeopress.
- Nehmé L. 2017. New dated inscriptions (Nabataean and pre-Islamic Arabic) from a site near al-Jawf, ancient Dūmah, Saudi Arabia. *Arabian Epigraphic Notes* 3: 121–164.
- Nehmé L. & Al-Jallad A. (eds). 2018. *To the Madbar and back again: Studies in the languages, archaeology, and cultures of Arabia dedicated to Michael C.A. Macdonald*. (Studies in Semitic Languages and Linguistics, 92). Leiden: Brill.
- Prioletta A. (this volume). New research on the ‘Thamudic’ graffiti from the region of Ḥimā (Najrān, Saudi Arabia). With a note by Christian Robin. Pages 53–69 in M.C.A. Macdonald (ed.), *Languages, scripts, and their uses in ancient North Arabia*. (Supplement to volume 48 of the Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies). Oxford: Archaeopress, 2018.
- Rossi I. 2014. The Minaeans beyond Maʿīn. Pages 111–124 in O. Elmaz & J.C.E. Watson (eds), *Languages of southern Arabia*. (Supplement to the Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies, 44). Oxford: Archaeopress.
- Stein P. (this volume). The role of Aramaic on the Arabian Peninsula in the second half of the first millennium BC. Pages 39–49 in M.C.A. Macdonald (ed.), *Languages, scripts, and their uses in ancient North Arabia*. (Supplement to volume 48 of the Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies). Oxford: Archaeopress, 2018.
- Al-Theeb [Al-Dhiyīb] 2014. *Nuqūš mawqīʿ Sarmadāʾ muḥāfazāt Taymāʾ*. (Silsilah ʿilmiyah maḥkūmah taʿaddara-ha al-jamīʿah al-saʿūdiyyah li-l-dirāsāt al-athāriyah, 12). Riyadh: Jamiʿat al-malik Saʿūd.