Weaving in Stones

Garments and their accessories in the mosaic art of Eretz Israel in Late Antiquity

Aliza Steinberg

ARCHAEOPRESS ARCHAEOLOGY



ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD Summertown Pavilion 18-24 Middle Way Summertown Oxford OX2 7LG

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978-1-78969-321-8 ISBN 978-1-78969-322-5 (e-Pdf)

© Aliza Steinberg and Archaeopress 2020

Translated from Hebrew to English by Debi Manor Photo arrangements by Gall Orian

Cover photograph: Amazon on the 'Nile House' mosaic, Zippori, 5th century AD (photo credited to pikiwiki user: llan Sharif CCO 1.0)

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.

Printed in England by

This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

'To Shlomo, there is not a word in my tongue', Psalms 139, 4.

Contents

List of figures	V
Acknowledgements	xvii
Introduction	1
Current research status	
Ancient literary sources	5
The research literature	7
Part I: Men's clothing: the typological context of dress and costume accessorie of Eretz Israel in Late Antiquity	es in the mosaics
Chapter 1: The tunic (tunica) and its decoration	
Introduction	
Tunica talaris	
Tunica manicata	
Colobium	
Dalmatica	
Tunics of indeterminatet type Tunic embellishment	
Chapter 2: The exomis	46
Chapter 3: Garments worn on the lower torso	
Skirts/kilts	
Trousers and puttees	49
The perizoma	55
Chapter 4: Garments made of animal skin: nebris	59
Chapter 5: Overgarments	60
The chlamys	60
The sagum	63
The pallium	
The paludamentum	
The paenula	
The cucullus	
Neck kerchiefs	
Veils Decorative elements on overgarments	
Summary	
Part II: Men's clothing: the iconographic context	
Chapter 6: Huntsmen	72
Chapter 7: Soldiers	86
Chapter 8: Diners and servants	92
Chapter 9: Laborers: pipers and shepherds, vintners, fishermen and sailors, herders, b	
horsemen	
Pipers and shepherds	
Vintners	
Fishermen and sailors Animal handlers	
Builders and carpenters	
Horsemen	
Abraham	
Chapter 10: Clothing worn by biblical figures in synagogue mosaics in Eretz Israel	
Samson	

King David	
Daniel	
Figures in the synagogue at Huqoq	
Chapter 11: Clothing worn by figures in church mosaics in Eretz Israel	
The prophet Jonah	
Saint Menas (?)	140
Chapter 12: Clothing worn by mythological figures	
The Sun god	
Hercules	
Hippolytus	
Silenus	
Satyrs	
Dionysus	
Centaurs	
Orpheus Achilles	
Chapter 13: Personifications	
Personifications of the seasons of the year	
Personification of the months of the year	
Personification of the month of January	
Personification of the month of February Personification of the month of March	
Personification of the month of April	
Personification of the month of May	
Personification of the month of June	
Personification of the month of July	
Personification of the month of August	
Personification of the month of September	
Personification of the month of October	
Personification of the month of November	
Personification of the month of December	
A personification of 'favorable times'	
Personification of the Nile	
Summary	
Part III: Women's clothing: the typological context	
Chapter 14: Women's clothing as reflected in ancient sources	196
Chapter 15: Tunics	
Tunics with long, close-fitting sleeves Sleeveless tunics or tunics with sleeves	
Long sleeveless tunics	
Sleeveless tunics whose lower sections are concealed	
The dalmatica	
Tunic decorations	
Peplos	
Chiton	
Chapter 16: Garments in Greek style	01 <i>E</i>
Chapter 17: Items of clothing covering the lower torso	
Trousers	
Summary	
Chapter 18: Overgarments	219
Himation	
Palla	
Pallium	
Paenula/cucullus	

Part IV:	Women'	s clothing:	the ico	nographic	context
----------	--------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

Chapter 19: Nursing women	224
Chapter 20: Mythological figures and their garments	
Phaedra	
Phaedra's nursemaid	
Tyche	
Maenads	
Amazons	
Ariadne	
Chapter 21: Personifications	
The seasons of the year	
Winter	242
Spring	
Summer	
Autumn	248
Personifications of fair weather	
Personifications of Earth or the Land	
Personifications of Semasia, the 'sign giver'	
Personifications of Egypt	
The Moon goddess	252
Virgo	252
The female figures from Damascus gate and Kissufim	
Summary	256
Part V: Garments worn by babies, infants and youths: the typological context	
Chapter 22: Children's tunics	
Tunica manicata	
Colobium	
Tunic decorations	
Chapter 23: Garments worn over the lower torso	
Perizoma	
Skirt	
Neck scarf	
Chapter 24: Garments worn over the upper torso	
Part VI: Garments worn by babies, infants and youths: the iconographic context	
Chapter 25: Garments worn by babies, infants and youths	
Babies' clothing	
Items of clothing worn by children playing with birds and animals or hunting birds	
The Spinario, the thorn extractor	
Isaac's clothing	

Clothing worn by donkey drivers Summary	
Summary	
Part VII: Clothing accessories	279
Chapter 26: Jewelry Fasteners Head ornaments Neck ornaments	
Fasteners	
Head ornaments	
Neck ornaments	
Earrings	
Arm and leg ornaments	
Earrings Arm and leg ornaments Summary	
Chapter 27: Head and neck coverings	
The cap/Phrygian cap	
The cap/Phrygian cap Hairnets	

Pillbox and Petasus-type hats	
Pillbox and Petasus-type hats Women's wide-brimmed hats that concealed the hair	
Maphorion	
The palla	
Women's veils/scarves	
Neck scarves	
Maphorion The palla Women's veils/scarves Neck scarves Summary	
Chapter 28: Belts	
Chapter 29: Shoes	
General summary	
Glossary	
Appendix	
Catalogue of the mosaics and comparable works	
Abbreviations	
Bibliography	
Index	

List of figures

Figure 1. Personification of the months in Room A in the Church of the Lady Mary monastery at Beit She'an (photo courtesy of the Israel Antiquities Authority)
Figure 2. Personification of May and January in Room A in the Church of the Lady Mary monastery at Beit She'an (photo courtesy of the Israel Antiquities Authority)
Figure 3. Jonah in the Mahat el-Urdi church mosaic, Beit Guvrin (photo courtesy of the Israel Antiquities Authority)
Figure 4. The Sacrifice of Isaac, Beit Alpha synagogue (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Talmoryair, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Beit_alfa02.jpg, CCO 1.0)
Figure 5. The Orpheus mosaic in the Damascus Gate Burial Chapel, Jerusalem, 5th century AD (photo courtesy of Dr Yehudah Dagan). 19
Figure 6. King David in the Gaza Maiumas synagogue mosaic, 5th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Avishai Teicher). https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gaza_synagogue#/media/File: PikiWiki_Israel_14995_Mosaic_of_David_playing_the_harp.JPG, CC BY 2.5).
Figure 7. Bathing of the infant Dionysus, 'Dionysus House' mosaic, Zippori, 5th century AD (detail from photo credited to Wikipedia user: IIan Sharif). https://www.pikiwiki.org.il/image/view/7683, CCO 1.0)
Figure 8. The Sun God in the Hammat Tiberias synagogue, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Bukvoed https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Hamat-Tiberias-132.jpg)
Figure 9. Dionysus in the mosaic from Sheikh Zouède (photo courtesy of Zev Radovan)
Figure 10. The warrior in the Merot Synagogue mosaic pavement, 5th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Zvi llan. https://he.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D7%9E%D7%A8%D7%95%D7%AA_(%D7%99%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%91_%D7%A7%D7%9 3%D7%95%D7%9D)#/media/File: M_011.jpg, CC BY-SA 2.5).
Figure 11. Samson and soldiers in the synagogue mosaic at Khirbet Wadi Ḥammam (courtesy of Dr Uzi Leibner, the Institute of Archaeology in the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, photo: Gaby Laron).
Figure 12. Samson in the synagogue mosaic at Huqoq (courtesy of Prof. Jodi Magness, photo: Jim Haberman)
Figure 13. Mosaic of Orpheus in Zippori, Israel, 5th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Almog https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Orpheuszipori.jpg, CCO 1.0)
Figure 14. Roman marble mosaic, from Eastern Roman Empire, near Edessa, 2nd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Andreas Praefcke (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File: Roman_Orpheus_Taming_Wild_Animals.jpg, CCO 1.0)
Figure 15. Silenus in the mosaic from Sheikh Zouède (photo courtesy of Prof. Asher Ovadiah)
Figure 16. Hippolytus and the hunters in the mosaic from Sheikh Zouède (photo courtesy of Prof. Asher Ovadiah)
Figure 17. Rider in the Nile scene in the mosaic at El-Marakesh, Beit Guvrin, 5th–6th centuries AD (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i> , Mandatory Scientific Archive Files 31, 32, Beit Guvrin)
Figure 18. Hunter pointing a spear at a bear in the mosaic at El-Marakesh, Beit Guvrin, 5th–6th centuries AD (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i> , Mandatory Scientific Archive Files 31, 32, Beit Guvrin)
Figure 19. Rider in Zippori, 5th century AD (photo courtesy of: Zeev Radovan)
Figure 20. Hunter grasping a spear in the mosaic at El-Marakesh, 5th–6th centuries AD (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i> , Mandatory Scientific Archive, Files 31, 32, Beit Guvrin)
Figure 21. Huntsman on foot fighting off a bear in the Kissufim church mosaic, Kissufim, 6th century AD (photo courtesy of Zeev Radovan). 27
Figure 22. Rider plunging his sword into a leopard in the Kissufim church mosaic, 6th century AD (photo courtesy of Zeev Radovan)
Figure 23. Hunter grasping a horse's reins in the mosaic from Sheikh Zouède, 4th–5th centuries AD (photo courtesy of Prof. Asher Ovadiah)
Figure 24. Man leading tigers in the Kibbutz Erez mosaic, Israel, 5th century AD (photo courtesy of Orly Senior-Niv, Image enhancement: Gall Orian)
Figure 25. Exotic animal transportation, Villa del Casale, Piazza Armerina, Sicily, Italy https: //commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/ File: Transport_d%27animaux_exotiques,_villa_de_Casale,_Piazza_Armerina,_Sicile,_Italie.jpg (photo credited to Wikimedia user: User: Yann, Public Domain)
Figure 26. Galloping rider in the mosaic at Beit Guvrin, 5th–6th centuries AD (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i> , Mandatory Scientific Archive Files 31, 32, Beit Guvrin). 29
Figure 27. Detail of Dionysus Mosaic in Zippori (photo: Ilan Sharif https://www.pikiwiki.org.il/image/view/7683, CCO 1.0). 30
Figure 28. Scene showing goatherds in the 'Dionysus House' mosaic, Zippori, 5th century AD (detail from photo by Ilan Sharif https://www.pikiwiki.org.il/image/view/7683, CCO 1.0)
Figure 29. The rider, detail from the mosaic border from Caesarea, personifying the fair weather, <i>kalokairia</i> (photo courtesy of Prof. Asher Ovadiah)
Figure 30. Hunter spearing a lioness in the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an (photo: Shlomo Steinberg) 31
Figure 31. Mosaic in Room L at the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an, 6th century AD (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>)

Figure 32. Hunter in Room A at the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an (photo courtesy of the Israel Antiquities Authority). 32
Figure 33. Man stretching both hands toward a bunch of grapes in the mosaic from Caesarea, 6th–7th centuries AD (photo courtesy of Danny Kronenberg and Amos Hadas 2007: A. Hadas, Vine and Wine in the Archaeology of Ancient Israel, Tel Aviv, p.152 [Hebrew]).
Figure 34. Man raising his arm in the mosaic at El-Marakesh, Beit Guvrin, 5th–6th centuries AD (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i> , Mandatory Scientific Archive Files 31, 32, Beit Guvrin)
Figure 35. Personification of March in the mosaic at the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an, 6th century AD (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>)
Figure 36. Personification of September in the mosaic at the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an, 6th century AD (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>)
Figure 37. Personification of the Zodiac Cancer in the synagogue mosaic at Zippori (photo credited to Wikipedia user: G.Dallorto. https://es.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Archivo: ZodiacMosaicTzippori.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 38. The wheel of the Zodiac in the Beit Alpha mosaic, 5th century AD (photo credited to Maksim, https: //en.wikipedia. org/wiki/File: Beit_Alpha.jpg, CCO 1.0)
Figure 39. Personification of 'favorable times' at Byzantine Tel Malhata, Northern Negev (courtesy of Eldar and Baumgarten; I am grateful to the two archaeologists for allowing me to use the color photo of the mosaic and to Nachson Sneh for requesting their permission)
Figure 40. Servant carrying a tray of fish in the Be'er Shem'a church mosaic (photo courtesy of Nachson Sneh)
Figure 41. Porter climbing a ramp in the synagogue mosaic at Khirbet Wadi Hammam, 4th–5th centuries AD (photo courtesy of Uzi Leibner, The Institute of Archeology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, photo: Gaby Laron)
Figure 42. Fisherman in the church at Horvat Beit Loya (photo courtesy of Prof. Joseph Patrich)
Figure 43. Piper in the mosaic in Room L at the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an (photo by Shlomo Steinberg)
Figure 44 . Piper in the burial chapel at El-Hammam, Beit She'an (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i> , Mandatory Scientific Archive Files 31, 32, Beit She'an)
Figure 45. Shepherd in the mosaic from Be'er Shem'a, Israel, 6th century AD (photo courtesy of Nachson Sneh)
Figure 46 . Personifications of the months in the mosaic in the burial chapel at El-Hammam, Beit She'an (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>). 37
Figure 47. The personifications of the months in the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary mosaic, Beit She'an, detail (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>)
Figure 48. Hunter brandishing a club in the burial chapel at El-Hammam, Beit She'an (photo courtesy of the Israel Antiquities Authority). 38
Figure 49. Man leading a camel in the church at Kissufim, 6th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Carole Raddato https: //commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Part_of_a_mosaic_floor_from_a_church_depicting_a_man_leading_a_camel_laden_with_amphorae_(wine_jars),_from_Kissufim,_6th_century_AD,_Israel_Museum,_Jerusalem_(15472015279).jpg, CC BY- SA 2.0). 38
Figure 50 . Man leading a donkey in the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an (photo by Shlomo Steinberg, courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>)
Figure 51 . Man leading a donkey in the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an, 6th century AD (photo from Vine and Wine in the Archaeology of Ancient Israel, A. Hadas, Tel-Aviv 2007, p. 83 (courtesy of Amos Hadas and Danny Kronenberg, photo courtesy of : Danny Kronenberg)
Figure 52. Man leading a donkey in the Horbat Be'er Shem'a church mosaic (photo courtesy of Nachson Sneh)
Figure 53. Man leading a giraffe in the Be'er Shem'a church mosaic (photo courtesy of Nachson Sneh)
Figure 54. Mosaic of a man leading a giraffe, 5th century AD, now in the art Institute of Chicago (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Sailko https: //commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User: Sailko https: //commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaic_ Fragment_with_Man_Leading_a_Giraffe,_5th_century.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 55 . Mosaic of a man leading a camel caravan through the desert, Roman mosaic from the Syrian city of Bosra (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jadd Haidar, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Bosra Mosaic Camels.png, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 56. Grape harvester carrying a basket on his back in the mosaic at Caesarea, 6th–7th centuries AD, photo from Vine and Wine in the Archaeology of Ancient Israel, Amos Hadas, Tel-Aviv 2007, photo courtesy of Danny Kronenberg and the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>)
Figure 57. Grape harvester in the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an, 6th century AD (photo: Shlomo Steinberg, courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>)
Figure 58. Grape harvester in the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an, 5th century AD (photo: Shlomo Steinberg, courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>)
Figure 59. Vineyard workers in the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an, 6th century AD (photo: Shlomo Steinberg, courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>)
Figure 60. The seasons of Spring and Autumn in the Ein Ya'el mosaic (photo courtesy of Liat Eizenkoy, Ein Ya'el Museum) 42 Figure 61. The seasons of Summer and Spring in the Ein Ya'el mosaic (photo courtesy of Liat Eizenkoy, Ein Ya'el Museum) 42

Figure 62 . Boy riding a donkey in the 'Dionysus House' mosaic, Zippori (photo: Ilan Sharif https://www.pikiwiki.org.il/image/view/7683, CCO 1.0).
Figure 63. Diners and servants in the Zippori villa mosaic, 4th century AD (photo courtesy of Doron Nissim)
Figure 64. Figures playing dice in the Orpheus mosaic, Zippori, Israel, 4th century AD (photo courtesy of Pninah Kopel)
Figure 65. Two man embracing in the Orpheus mosaic, Zippori, Israel, 4th century AD (photo courtesy of Pninah Kopel)
Figure 66. Decorative band in the Museo Egizio, inv. 12602, Florence (photo courtesy of Raphael D'Amato)
Figure 67 . Gift bearers in the 'Dionysus House' mosaic, Zippori, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Golandom https: //en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File: DionysusRomanVila.JPG, CCO 1.0).
Figure 68. Piper in the Horbat Be'er Shem'a church mosaic (photo courtesy of Nachson Sneh).
Figure 69. Vineyard worker in Sede Nahum, 6th century AD (after Ruth and Prof. Asher Ovadiah, Pl. CLXXXIX, in: Mosaic Pavements in Israel, Rome, 1987).
Figure 70 . Scene showing the drunken Dionysus in the 'Dionysus House' mosaic, Zippori, 4th century AD (detail from photo: llan Sharif https://www.pikiwiki.org.il/image/view/7683, CCO 1.0).
Figure 71. The drinking contest in the 'Dionysus House' mosaic, Zippori (detail from photo: llan Sharif https://www.pikiwikorg.il/image/view/7683, CCO 1.0).
Figure 72 . Man leading a camel in the Church of the Monastery of Lady Mary, Beit She'an (photo by Shlomo Steinberg, courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>).
Figure 73. Fresco of servants in the Roman Tomb of Silistra in Bulgaria, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: K. Tanchev (https: //en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File: Roman_Tomb_Silistra fresco servant.jpg, CCO 1.0).Drawings of male servants b the author.
Figure 74. A Roman lady going to the bath with her children and her maidservants carrying boxes of oils, lotions and towels the mosaic of Piazza Armerina, Sicily, Italy, 4th century AD (photo courtesy of Ian Ross).
Figure 75. Fresco from the Thracian tomb of Kazanlak, Bulgaria, portraying servants with <i>tunicae talaris</i> (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Kmrakmra, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thracian_Tomb_of_Kazanlak#/media/File: Kazanluk_1.jpg, CC BY SA 3.0).
Figure 76. The lady of Carthage and two maidservants, in the mosaic exhibited in the Bardo Museum (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Pradigue https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category, CC-BY-SA-3.0).
Figure 77. The Magi in the St Apollinare Nuovo church in Ravenna, 6th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Ruge https: commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Ravenna_Basilica_of_Sant%27Apollinare_Nuovo_3_Wise_men.jpg, CC BY-SA 4.0).
Figure 78. A man hunting a boar, Roman mosaic in Mérida, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Helen Rickard https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaico_de_Las_Tiendas_(NAR_M%C3%A9rida)_01.jpg, CC BY 2.0).
Figure 79. Diptych of Stilicho, 5th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Marsyas https://commons.wikimedia.org/wil File: Stilico diptych.jpg, CCO 1.0).
Figure 80. Dark-skinned man riding an elephant in the Be'er Shem'a church mosaic, 6th century AD (photo courtesy of Nachsor Sneh).
Figure 81. Hunters in the mosaic at Nahariya (photo credited to Dafna Wolf).
Figure 82. Figure extracting a thorn in the mosaic at Nahariya, 6th century AD, (photo credited to Dafna Wolf)
Figure 83 . Grape-treaders in the burial chapel at El-Hammam and at the Lady Mary monastery, Beit She'an (in Hadas 2007: A Hadas, Vine and Wine in the Archaeology of Ancient Israel, Tel Aviv, 2007: 1, 84, 85, courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>)
Figure 84 (above). Detail of Dionysus mosaic at Zippori, with the three grape-treaders, 4th century AD.
Figure 85. Hunters, detail in the Orpheus mosaic from Damascus Gate, Jerusalem 6th century AD (photo courtesy of Dr Yehudah Dagan).
Figure 86 (right). Fragments of the sailor pushing Jonah into the water in the Mahat el-Urdi church mosaic, Beit Guvrin (courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i>).
Figure 87. The centaur bearing a tray in the mosaic at Zippori (photo credited to pikiwiki user: llan Sharif
https://www.pikiwiki.org.il/image/view/7679, CCO 1.0).
Figure 88. Silenus, Hercules and satyrs in the mosaic from Sheikh Zouède (photo: Prof. Asher Ovadiah).
Figure 89. The Warrior mosaic at Zippori, 5th century AD (photo credited to pikiwiki user: llan Sharif https://www.pikiwiki org.il/image/view/7681, CCO 1.0).
Figure 90. Hunter from the mosaic pavement from Nablus (photo by Dr Yehudah Dagan)
Figure 91. Zodiac Wheel Mosaic in the great Synagogue of Zippori, 5th century AD (photo credited to: G.Dallorto
Figure 92. Amazons in the 'Nile House' mosaic, Zippori, 5th century AD (photo credited to pikiwiki user: llan Sharif CCO 1.0)
Figure 93. Personification of the Nile in the 'Nile House' with a gray folded <i>pallium</i> over his left arm that drapes down over his feet (Photo Zeev Radovan).
Figure 94. A statue of the river Nile in the Chiaramonti Vatican museum (photo credited to user: Fb78 talk https://common wikimedia.org/wiki/File: VaticanMuseums_Statue_of_River_Nile.jpg talk: Fb78).
Figure 95. The 'Leontis House' mosaic at Beit She'an, now in the Israel Museum in Jerusalem (https://blogs.timesofisrael.com nilometers-in-the-land-of-israel/ Photo credited to: Dr Ticia Verveer, 3.1.2018).

Figure 96. Fabric like the draped <i>toga</i> of the Emperor Tiberius, 1st century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia User: Jastrow https: //en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ <i>Toga#</i> /media/File: Tiberius_Capri_Louvre_Ma1248.jpg, public domain)
Figure 97. The Emperor Nero wear a kerchief called a <i>mafora</i> round his neck
Figure 98. Achilles in remnants of the mosaic from Nablus, 3rd century AD (photo: Dr Yehudah Dagan)
Figure 99. Alexander the Great in the mosaic in the 'House of the Faun' at Pompeii (photo credited to wikipedia user: Berthold Werner https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alexander_Mosaic#/media/File: Battle of Issus mosaic-Museo Archeologico Nazionale-Naples 2013-05-16 16 25 06 W.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 100. Hunting in the Sidonian cave in Beit Guvrin, 3rd century BC (photo credited to Dr Avishai Teicher via the PikiWiki Israel https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: PikiWiki_Israel 51222hunting_in_the_sidonian_cave_in_beit_guvrin.jpg) 74
Figure 101. Hunters in a medallion set in the Arch of Constantine, dated to the years 130–138 AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Radomil, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Luk_Konstantyna_6DSCF0032.JPG, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 102. Hunters in the mosaic at a villa in Piazza Armerina, Sicily, 3rd–4th centuries AD (Right: photo credited to user: Jerzystrzelecki https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaic_in_Villa_Romana_del_Casale,_by_Jerzy_Strzelecki,_06.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0; Left: photo credited to user: Yann https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosa%C3%AFque_de_la_petite_Chasse,_villa_deCasale,_Piazza_Armerina,_Sicile,_Italie.jpg Public domain).
Figure 103. Hunters in the Conservatori sarcophagus in Rome (photo credited to Wikimedia user: jpg © José Luiz Bernardes Ribeiro ns.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Sarcophagus_with_the_Calydonian_boar_huntPalazzo_dei_ConservatoriMusei_CapitoliniRome_2016. ,CC BY-SA 4.0).
Figure 104. Hunter/ <i>putto</i> in the Church of the Apostles at Madaba, 6th century AD (after Piccirillo 1992: 101, Fig. 83, courtesy of SBF)
Figure 105. Lion hunter on the sarcophagus of Alexander the Great (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Mrsyas https://es.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Archivo: Macedonian_Army_Alexander.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 106. The great hunting mosaic from Apamea, Triclinos building, 5th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user Michel Wal. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mus%C3%A9e_Cinquantenaire_Mosa%C3%AFque_de_la_Chasse_01.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0).
Figure 107. Mosaic floor in the Church of St Lot and St Procopius (after Piccirillo 1992: 153, Fig. 202, courtesy of SBF)
Figure 108. Man carrying a bucket in the Church of Saints Cosmas and Damian at Jerash, 6th century AD (after Piccirillo 1992: 278, Fig. 51, courtesy of SBF)
Figure 109. Belt and scabbard worn by the king's scribe and the bodyguard in the Dura Europos synagogue, Syria, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jonund https://pt.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ficheiro: Mordecai_and_Esther.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 110. Detail from the Throne of Maximilian depicting a scene of Joseph, 6th century AD, Ravenna, Italy (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Elenajorgemigueldidier https://sl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slika: Catedra15.JPG, CCO 1.0)
Figure 111. Vandal cavalryman from the mosaic pavement at Bordj Djedid near Carthage, 5th century AD (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Aurélie-33000. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Vandal_cavalryman,_c_AD_500,_from_a_mosaic_pavement_at_Bordj_Djedid_near_Carthage.jpg, CCO 1.0)
Figure 112. Coptic tunic, Walters Art Museum. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: CopticTunicWalters_83484. jpg (photo credited to Wikipedia user: User: File Upload Bot (Kaldari),Public Domain)
Figure 112 a. Doll's tunic, Museum für Byzantinische Kunst, Berlin, inv. Cäcilia Fluck and Klaus Finneiser, Kindheit am Nil. Kleidung – Kinderbilder aus Ägypten in den Staatlichen Museen zu Berlin, p. 50-51, no. 20. © Skulpturensammlung und Museum für Byzantinische Kunst, Staatliche Museen zu Berlin (photo: Antje Voigt).
Figure 112 b+c : Caption for tapestry fragments with erotes and birds: Tapestry decorations with erotes, birds and vases from a cushion or cover, Museum für Byzantinische Kunst, Berlin, inv. 9825; in: Cäcilia Fluck and Klaus Finneiser, Kindheit am Nil. Spielzeug – Kleidung – Kinderbilder aus Ägypten in den Staatlichen Museen zu Berlin, p. 38-39, no. 14. © Skulpturensammlung und Museum für Byzantinische Kunst, Staatliche Museen zu Berlin (photo: Antje Voigt).
Figure 112 d. Caption of a tunic fragment with tapestry decoration: Fragments of a tunic with tapestry decoration, Museum für Byzantinische Kunst, Berlin, inv. 9825; in: Cäcilia Fluck and Klaus Finneiser, Kindheit am Nil. Spielzeug – Kleidung – Kinderbilder aus Ägypten in den Staatlichen Museen zu Berlin, pp. 44-45, no. 17a-b. © Skulpturensammlung und Museum für Byzantinische Kunst, Staatliche Museen zu Berlin (photo: Antje Voigt).
Figure 113. Phinehas dressed as a Roman officer and an Egyptian soldier in a mural in the Via Latina catacombs in Rome, 4th century AD (photo after Fr. Ferrua 1991: 72, 73, Fig. 43, 142, Fig. 135, Courtesy of Carlo dell'Osso, the Pontifical Institute of Christian Archaeology)
Figure 114. Soldiers guarding Christ's tomb, early 5th-century AD ivory relief (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Andreas Praefcke https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Reidersche_Tafel_c_400_AD.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 115 . Soldiers at the battle of Eben Ezer, synagogue in Dura Europos, Syria, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Gillerman slides collection. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: DuraSyn-NB1_Eben-Ezer_2.jpg, CCO 1.0)
Figure 116. Warrior in Al Khadir church, Madaba, 6th century AD (after Piccirillo 1992: 128, Fig.143, courtesy of SBF)
Figure 117. Buffer-type torc, Reims Museums, France, 4th century BC (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Vassil, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Torque_%C3%A0_tampons_Somme-Suippe_Mus%C3%A9e_Saint-Remi_120208.jpg, CCO 1.0)
Figure 118. Illustrated manuscript 'The List of Offices', 5th century AD, showing military and civilian insignia, copy from the Notitia Dignitatum Orientis, copy from the 15th century AD (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Martin Poulter https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User: MartinPoulter, Public Domain)

Figure 122. Wine servers and man bearing a jar and a towel in the mosaic from Dougga in the Bardo Museum, Tunis, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Dennis Jarvis https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Dougga_Banquet.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0).

Figure 123. The four Tetrarchs in Venice, 3rd century AD (photo courtesy of Mark Hassner)
Figure 124. Plate with hunters' feast, from the Sevso Treasure (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Elekes Andor https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Vad%C3%A1szt%C3%A1l_(2).jpg CC BY-SA 4.0)
Figure 125. Scene of hunters from the Sevso Treasure (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Dencey). https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: SEUSO_lakom%C3%A1ja.png, CC-BY-SA-4.0)
Figure 126. Dice players, Roman fresco from the Osteria della Via di Mercurio (VI 10,1.19, room b) in Pompeii (photo credited to : Wikimedia user: WolfgangRieger, 80 BC–14 AD, Public Domain)
Figure 127. Dice players and two observers, Roman fresco from the Osteria della Via di Mercurio (VI 10,1.19, room b) in Pompeii (photo credited to wikimedia user: WolfgangRieger, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: PompeiiOsteria_ della_Via_di_MercurioDice_Players.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 128. Abel in the Basilica of San' Vitale at Ravenna, 6th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: DRTAMBROSE, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Chalice_depicted_at_Ravenna.jpg, CC-BY-SA-4.0)
Figure 129. Piping shepherds in the <i>Virgilius Romanus</i> manuscript, folio 44, Vatikan, Biblioteca Apostolica, 5th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Claveyrolas Michel, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Vergilius_rom_44v.jpg, CCO 1.0)
Figure 130. The Good Shepherd with <i>exomis</i> , Catacomb of Priscilla, 2nd half of the 3rd century AD, Rome, Italy (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Leinad-Z~commonswiki https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Good_shepherd_01_small.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 131. Vineyard workers mosaic from Santa Constanza, Rome, Italy, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: MM https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: RomaSCostanzaMosaici02.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 132. Vineyard workers in the Church of the Holy Martyrs Lot and Procopius on Mount Nebo in Jordan, 6th century AD (after Piccirillo 1992: 158, Fig. 206, courtesy of SBF)

Figure 134. Vineyard worker in the mosaic from Caesarea (Cherchel), Algeria, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: JPS68, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vinalia#/media/File: Vendanges_romaines_%C3%A0_Cherchell.jpg, Public Domain).107

Figure 145. Ilias Ambrosiana, Cod. F. 205. P. Inf.,5 th AD, Bibliothecae Ambrosianae Mediolanensis, Fontes Ambrosiani 28, Berne 1953 (photo by permission of Dr Emil Kren ed. Web Gallery of Art, https://www.wga.hu/html_m/zearly/1/2mural/4callist/callist2.html).
Figure 146. The Sacrifice of Isaac, Dura Europos Synagogue, Syria, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Laxguy1955 https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Sacrifice_of_Isaac_at_Dura-Europos.png, CCO 1.0)
Figure 147. Abraham in the Catacomb of St Callixtus, mid-3rd century AD, Rome (photo credited to Dr Emil Krén, editor, © Web Gallery of Art, created by Emil Krén and Daniel Marx)
Figure 148. The Sacrifice of Isaac on murals in the Via Latina catacomb, 4th century (after Ferrua 1991: 124, Fig. 113, courtesy of Carlo dell'Osso, the Pontifical Institute of Christian Archaeology)
Figure 149 (right). Sacrifice of Isaac, Via Latina catacomb, Rome, 4th century AD (after Ferrua 1991: 124, courtesy of Carlo dell'Osso, the Pontifical Institute of Christian Archaeology)
Figure 150. Fragment 26v from the Cotton <i>Genesis</i> (British Library, MS Cotton Otho B. VI), 6th century AD, Abraham and Angels. {PD-art} (Photo credited by: dsmdgold https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: CottonGenesisFragment26vAbrahamAndAngels. JPG, Public Domain). 120
Figure 151. Mosaic from the Old Testament 'Sacrifice of Isaac', Basilica of San Vitale, Italy, 6th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Petar Milošević, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binding_of_Isaac#/media/File: Sacrifice_of_Isaac_mosaic
Figure 152. The Sacrifice of Melchizedek, 5th-century AD mosaic, Santa Maria Maggiore Rome, https://commons.wikimedia. org/wiki/File: MelchizAbraham.jpg (photo credited to Wikimedia user: User: Dickstracke, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 153. Abraham and Isaac in the wall mosaic in Sant'Apollinare in Classe, Ravenna, 6th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: © José Luiz Bernardes Ribeiro, https://fr.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fichier: Sacrifices_of_Abel, Melchisedec_and_AbrahamSant%27Apollinare_in_ClasseRavenna_2016 (2).jpg, CC BY-SA 4.0)
Figure 154. Samson slaying the Philistines with the jawbone of an ass in a mural in the Via Latina catacomb, Rome, 4th century AD (after Ferrua 1991: 107, Fig. 87, courtesy of Carlo dell'Osso, the Pontifical Institute of Christian Archaeology) 123
Figure 155. Samson fighting a lion in a mural in the Via Latina catacomb, 4th century AD, Rome (after Ferrua 1991: 124, Fig. 114, courtesy of Carlo dell'Osso, The Pontifical Institute of Christian Archaeology)
Figure 156. Samson burning the Philistines' fields (after Ferrua 1991: 84, Fig. 61, 4th century AD, courtesy of Carlo dell'Osso, the Pontifical Institute of Christian Archaeology)
Figure 157. King David of Israel, fresco in synagogue at Dura Europos, Syria, 1st century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Gillman slide collection.https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fichier: DuraSyn_Centre_sup_David_King.jpg, CCO 1.0)
Figure 158. Dura Europos fresco, King David of Israel, 1st century AD (photo credited to: Marsyas, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: DuraSyn_Centre_sup_David_King.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 159. The Missorium of Teodosius, 4th century AD, Museo Nacional de Arte Romano, Mérida, Madrid (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Manuel Parada López de Corselas, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/State_church_of_the_Roman_Empire#/ media/File: Discoo_Missorium_Teodosio_MPLdC.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 160. Emperor Justinian and his retinue in the Church of San Vitale in Ravenna, 6th century AD (photo credited to the York Project (2002) https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Meister_von_San_Vitale_in_Ravenna_003.jpg, CCO 1.0) 127
Figure 161. King David in the <i>Sinope Gospels</i> manuscript from Syria, 6th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Dsmdgold https: //en.wikipedia.orgwiki/Sinope_Gospels#/mediaFile: SinopeGospelsFolio29rChristHealingBlind.jpg, Public Domain). 128
Figure 162. King David in the <i>Quedlinburg Itala</i> manuscript, 5th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Dsmdgold https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quedlinburg_Itala_fragment, Public Domain).
Figure 163. Consular diptych of Probus, 406 AD, Photograph from Ludwig von Sybel, "Christliche Antike", vol. 2, Marburg, 1909 (photo credited to https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Consular_diptych_Probus_406.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 164. The marriage of David and Michal, relief on a silver dish in the Cyprus Museum of Archaeology, Nicosia, 6th century AD (courtesy of Prof. Demetrios Michaelides)
Figure 165. Ambo plate with portrayal of Daniel in the lions' den, 7th century AD, Novara Italy (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jdsteakley https: //commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Lombard_ambo_plate_depicting_Daniel_in_the_lions%27_den_from_Novara,_Italy.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 166. Daniel in the catacombs of Saints Petrus and Marcellinus in Rome, 4th century AD (after Ferrua 1991: 26, Fig. 139,
courtesy of Carlo dell'Osso, the Pontifical Institute of Christian Archaeology)
Museum in Katzrin photo)
https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Fragment_of_a_sarcophagusDaniel_in_the_lion%27s_den4th_centMuseo_ Arqueol%C3%B3gico_y_Etnol%C3%B3gico_de_C%C3%B3rdoba.JPG, photo credited to: © José Luiz Bernardes Ribeiro (CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 169. Daniel in the lions' den, a tomb with a biblical scene in the Western Galilee near Kibbutz Lohamei HaGetaot, 4th- 5th centuries AD (courtesy of Gideon Foerster 1986: "Painted Christian Burial Cave near Kibbutz Lohamei HaGetaot," in M. Yedaya (ed.) The Western Galilee Antiquities, Tel Aviv 1986, 416–431, (Hebrew) 426, Fig.2.)

Figure 170. Daniel in the lions' den, detail from the Sarcophagus of Junius Bassus, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: G.Dallorto https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: 1059oma,_Museo_dcivilt%C3%A0_RomanaCalco_sarcofago_Giunio_BassoFoto_Giovanni_Dall%27Orto,_12-Apr-2008.jpg, CCO.1.0, Public Domain)
Figure 171. <i>Pyxis</i> with Daniel, 5th century AD (photo credited to: Johnbod, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: BM_rm_41_,DSCF9195_Pyxis_with_Daniel,JPG, CC 3.0)
Figure 172. Belt pendant representing 'Daniel in the lions' den', dated to the 4th–5th centuries AD, (photo credit to Wikimedia Commons commons user: pierre-yves beaudouin / Wikimedia https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category: Daniel_in_the_lions%27_den_belt_pendant, CC BY- A 4.0)
Figure 173. Jonah being lowered into the sea in the midst of the storm as a sacrifice to save those aboard the ship, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Leinad-Z~commonswiki https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File: Jonah_thrown_into_the_Sea.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 174. Jonah on a sarcophagus in the Church of Maria Antiqua, Rome, 3rd century AD (photo in courtesy of Steven Zucker)
Figure 175. Marble statue of Jonah clothed in a thin garment, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Daderot https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Jonah_Under_the_Gourd_Vine,_280-290_AD,_Late_Roman,_Asia_Minor,_marbleCleveland_Museum_,CC0 1.0)
Figure 176. Jonah mosaic in the Basilica in Aquileia, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: YukioSanjo https:// commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: AquileiaBasilicaGiona_ingoiato_mostro_marino_ (esposizione_33).jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0). 139
Figure 177. Jonah Mosaic in Aquileia, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Saiko https: //commons.wikimedia. org/wiki/File: Aquileia,_storia_di_giona,_pavimento_della_basilica,_1a_met%C3%A0_del_IV_secolo.jpg, 4th century AD, Public Domain)
Figure 178. Icon of Saint Menas and Christ, 6th century AD, currently displayed in the Louvre (photo credited to Wikipedia user: clio20 https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: L%27abb%C3%A9_M%C3%A9na_et_le_Christ_01.JPG, CC BY-SA 3.0). 140
Figure 179. Icon of Saint Menas, from Alexandria (photo credited to Wikimedia user: sailko https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Tavoletta_paleocristiana_con_san_mena,_da_alessandria_d%27egitto.JPG, CC 3.0)
Figure 180. Pilgrim flask with St Menas between two camels, 4th–6th centuries AD (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Jastrow, Marie-Lan Nguyen https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User: Jastrow, Marie-Lan Nguyen, CC-BY 2.5)
Figure 181. Figure in the <i>orans</i> posture in the Church of Saint George at Khirbet el Mukkhayyat, Jordan (after Piccirillo 1992: 178, 179, Fig. 247, courtesy of SBF)
Figure 182. Helios in his chariot, surrounded by symbols of the months and the zodiac. From Vat. Gr. 1291, the 'Handy Tables' of Ptolemy, 3rd century AD ? (photo credited to wikiedia Creating User: Tonychakar, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Helios_in_His_Chariot.jpg, public domain)
Figure 183. Representation of Christ as the sun-god Helios, <i>Sol Invictus</i> riding in his chariot, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Leinad-Z, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: ChristAsSol.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 184 (above). Ancient Roman mosaic (c. 250 AD) found in the ruins of the Roman villa of Münster-Sarmsheim (Bad Kreuznach), now in the Rheinisches Landesmuseum in Bonn, Germany. The mosaic was part of the floor of the entrance hall of the villa and depicts Sol Invictus, the sun god (photo credited ton user: kleon 3 https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: 2018_Rheinisches_Landesmuseum_Bonn,_Sonnengott-Mosaik.jpg, CC 4.0)
Figure 185. Orbe-Boscéaz, mosaïques romaines, (photo credited to wikimedia user: Leemburg-CHhttps: //commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Orbe-Bosceaz_(3).jpg, CC BY-SA 4.0)
Figure 186. Villa Selene, mosaic of the four seasons and Helios, 4th century AD (photo by: Marco Prins) https://www.livius. org/pictures/libya/silin-villa-selene/villa-selene-mosaic-of-the-four-seasons-helios/, CC0 1.0 Universal)147
Figure 187. Heracles wrestling with a lion in a section of an illustrated poem written on papyrus, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Denniss https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User: Denniss, Public Domain)
Figure 188. Hercules in a copy of marble sculpture found in the Baths of Caracalla, Rome, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Paul Stevenson, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Hercules_Farnese)
Figure 189. The madness of Heracles, Villa Torre de Palma, Portugal, 3rd-4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Sailko https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaico_con_ercole_furioso,_III-IV_secolo,_da_torre_de_palma,_monforte,_ portalegre.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0).
Figure 190. Hercules and Dionysus in the House of the Drinking Contest, House' mosaic in Antioch, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: User: Djkeddie, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User: Djkeddie, Public Domain)
Figure 191. Hercules and Dionysus in the 'Drinking Competition House' mosaic in Antioch, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Djkeddie, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User: Djkeddie,Public Domain)
Figure 192. <i>Hippolytus</i> and Phaedra in the mosaic from Nea Paphos, 3rd century AD (photo credited to User: Rstehn, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User: RStehn, cc-by-sa-2.0)
Figure 193. <i>Hippolytus</i> and Phaedra. Front of a marble sarcophagus, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jastrow, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: <i>Hippolytus_</i> Phaedra_Louvre_Ma_2294.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 194. Hippolyus and Phaedra from Daphne, Harbiye, 2nd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Dosseman https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Antakya_Archaeological_Museum_Four_seasons_mosaic_6544.jpg, CC BY-SA 4.0, Public Domain)
Figure 195. Mosaic of <i>Hippolytus</i> at Madaba in Jordan, mid-6th century AD (after Piccirillo 1992: 54, 55, Fig. 6, Courtesy of SBF).153

Figure 196. Silenus holding a lyre, Villa of the Mysteries, Pompeii, Italy, 1st century BC (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Yann Forget https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Fresque_des_myt%C3%A8res,_Pomp%C3%A9i.jpg, Public Domain)154
Figure 197. Silenus Dionysus and the Pirates, 2nd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Dyolf77, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Neptune_et_les_pirates.jpg,Public Domain)
Figure 198. Silenus Riding a Camel, Musée Archéologique d'El Jem (photo.155 credited to Wikipedia user: User talk: Lily https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User_talk: Lily, Public Domain)
Figure 199. The birth of Dionysus, mosaic in Paphos, 4rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jacopo Werther) https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Birth_of_Dionysos_House_of_AionPaphos Archaeological_Park.jpg, CC BY 2.0)
Figure 200. Fragment with Satyr and Maenad, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: madreiling) https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Egypt,_Byzantine_period,_4th_centuryFragment_with_Satyr_and_Maenad1975.6Cleveland_Museum_of_Art.tif, CC0 1.0)
Figure 201. Bacchus and his cortege, in the mosaic of El Jem (Photo credited to Wikipedia user: Lily), https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: El_Jem_Museum_(11).JPG, Public Domain)
Figure 202. Mosaic represent the triumph of Baccus, 4th–5th centuries AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Wildbeard. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaico_%22El_Triunfo_de_Baco%22_de_la_villa_romana_de_Fuente_Alamo.jpg, CC BY-4.0)
Figure 203. The Wedding of Dionysus and Ariadne in the mosaic pavement from a villa at Zeugma, 2nd century AD (photo in courtesy of DrM.Onal taken by Sertaç Ltd. Şti and sponsored by Sanko)
Figure 204. Triumph of Dionysos mosaic, Zeugma, 2nd century AD (photo in courtesy of Mehmet Önal and A Turizm Yayınlar (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Klaus-Peter Simon https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Zeugma Museum.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 205. Mosaic in the House of Dionysos in Paphos, Cyprus, end of the 2nd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Shonagon https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Dyonysos.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 206. Bachhus and Ariadna, Sabratha Museum Mosaic (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Franzfoto, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Sabratha Museum_mit_Funden_aus_der_R%C3%B6merzeit,_Mosaik_05.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 207. Centaur mosaic in Hadrian's Villa in Tivoli, 120–130 AD (Google art project) (photo credited to Wikipedia user: SwHAQhNGz6l7_Q at G ADoogle Cultural Institute https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Centaur_mosaicGoogle_Art_ Project_retouched.jpeg, Public Domain)
Figure 208. Central panel above the Torah niche in Dura Europos Synagogue 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jacek555, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: DuraSyn-W2-Blessings_and_great_vine.jpg, Public Domain) 163
Figure 209. A statue of Orpheus from Byzantium, now in the İstanbul Archaeological Museum. (photo credited to Wikipedia user: QuartierLatin1968) https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Orpheus_Istanbul_Archaeological_Museum.jpg, CC BY-SA 2.5)164
Figure 210. Orpheus as a prefiguration of Christ, Catacomb of Saints Marcellinus and Peter, Rome, 2nd–5th centuries AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Leinad-Z~commonswiki https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File: OrpheusMarcellinus.jpg, Public Domain). 165
Figure 211. Great Cameo of France, Roman artwork, second quarter of the 1st century AD (Photo credited to Wikipedia user: Janmad) dM_Paris_Bab264_white_background.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 212. Orpheus in the mosaic of Cagliari, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Robur.q, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaico_di_Orfeo_da_Cagliari, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 213. Orpheus mosaic at Dominicans Museum, found at villa D, near Rottweil, Germany, dated to the end of the 2nd century AD, (photo credited to Wikipedia user: ManiacParisian) https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Orpheus2.jpg, Public Domain).
Figure 214. Orpheus mosaic from 'Casa de Orfeo', Zaragoza, 3rd century AD (Photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jacopo Werther) https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaico_de_Orfeo_(fragmento)Museo_de_Zaragoza.jpg, CC BY 2.0)_ Expo_temporaire_Colis%C3%A9e).JPG, CC BY-3.0)
Figure 215. Achilles in the mosaic from the 'Uboni House', Pompeii (photo credited to Wikipedia user: PericlesofAthens https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Achilles_on_Skyros#/media/File: Achille_a_Sciro2.JPG, Public Domain)
Figure 216. Achilles on Skyros from Pompeii, fresco from 'Casa dei Dioscuri', Archaeological Museum of Naples, Italy (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jean-Pol GRANDMOT https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: 0 Fresque_d%27Achile_%C3%A0_Sciro_Mus_NatNaples)
Figure 217. Achilles' surrender of Briseis. Fresco (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jastrow https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Achilles_Briseis_MAN_Napoli_Inv9105_n01.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 218. Detail of the Achilles plate, silver treasure of Seuso (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Elekes Andor. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki File: Akhilleusz_t%C3%A1l_3).jpg,CC BY-SA 4.0)
Figure 219. Mosaic floor depicting the unmasking of Achilles by Odysseus on the island of Skyros, 4th century AD, Kourion, Cyprus (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Donald Trung https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaic_floor_depicting_the_unmasking_of_Achilles_by_Odysseus_on_the_island_of_Skyros,_4th_century_AD,_Kourion,_Cyprus_(24434150012).jpg, CC By-2.0)
Figure 220. Marble sarcophagus with the Triumph of Dionysos and the Seasons (Photo credited to Wikipedia user: Pharoshttps: //commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Marble_sarcophagus_with_the_Triumph_of_Dionysos_and_the_Seasons_MET_DP138717.jpg, CC0 1.0)

Figure 221. The Four Seasons Mosaic, House of Bacchus, Complutum Madrid (Photo credited to Wikipedia user: Error https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: ComplutumEstaciones.jpg, CC BY-3.0)
Figure 222. A mosaic showing the figures of the four seasons, from Palencia, Spain, made between 167 and 200 AD.(photo credited to Wikipedia user: Miguel Hermoso Cuesta https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaico_Medusa_M.A.N01. JPG, CC BY-SA 4.0)
Figure 223. Personification of the months' calendar of Philocalus, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Wolfgangrieger https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Chronography_of_354_Mensis_Iunius.png, CCO 1.0)
Figure 224. Panel with months of the year from El-Jem, mid-3rd century, Tunis (photo credited to © Ad Meskens / Wikimedia 181, Commons, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Sousse_mosaic_calendar_April.JPG, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 225. Dominus Julius mosaic, Bardo National Museum, 4th–5th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Boyd Dwyer https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Dominus_Julius_mosaic_in_the_Bardo_National_Museum (12240864473).jpg, CC BY-SA 2.0). https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Sousse_mosaic_calendar_April.JPG, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 226. Personification of the month of July in traces of the mosaic from Carthage displayed in the British Museum, London (photo credited to: Mike Peel (www.mikepeel.net https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: North_African_ mosaics_British_Museum_1.jpg, CC-BY-SA-4.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Sousse_mosaic_calendar_April.JPG, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 227. Personification of the Nile. R: Nilus, with crocodile beside him, reclining left, holding reed and cornucopia (courtesy of CNG. https://www.cointalk.com/threads/the-nilometer.300607)
Figure 228. Marble statuette of a personification of the Nile recovered from the sea at Acre (photo credited to: Alon Steinberg) 189
Figure 229. Mosaic of the Nile, Tripoli (photo: G. Lopez Monteagudo. Photo taken from Representaciones de Mujeres en Los Mosaicos Romanos, courtesy of: Luz Neira, Spain 2011)
Figure 230. Mosaic of the god Oceanus Petra, Jordan (Photo credited to wikipedia user: Gilles Mairet, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Ok%C3%A9anos-Mosaique-Petra-Jordanie.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 231. The mosaic from Caesarea personifying the fair weather, kalokairia (photo courtesy of Prof. Asher Ovadiah)203
Figure 232. Ladies in the Kissufim church mosaic (photo courtesy of Nachson Sneh)
Figure 233. Semasia, the female portent of the Nile floods displayed in the 'Nile House' at Zippori (photo: Zeev Radovan) 204
Figure 234. Tyche, the city goddess of Beit She'an, 6th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Yuvalif, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaic_bet_shean.JPG, Public Domain). 205
Figure 235. Theodosia and Georgia in the Orpheus mosaic from the Damascus Gate burial chapel (photo: Dr Yehudah Dagan)205
Figure 236. Tyche, in the decorative border of the Orpheus mosaic from Damascus Gate in Jerusalem (photo: Dr Yehudah Dagan)
Figure 237. Woman nursing in St Stephen's Church at Horbat Be'er Shem'a, 6th century AD (photo credited to Nachson Sneh). 206
Figure 238. Dionysus's education in the 'Dionysus House' mosaic, Zippori (detail from photo credited to IIan Sharif. https://www.pikiwiki.org.il/image/view/7683, CCO 1.0)
Figure 239. The wedding of Dionysus, detail from Zippori mosaic (photo credited to Wikipedia user: YiFeiBot, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Detail_of_the_Dionysus_Mosaic_depicting_scenes_from_the_life_of_Dionysus;_the_wedding_of_Dionysus_ with_Ariadne,_Sepphoris,_Israel_(15628053511).jpg, JPG.CC BY-2.0).
Figure 240. The season of <i>Tishrei</i> (Autumn) in the Na'aran synagogue(after Sukenik 1932: 34, Pl. 5b)
Figure 241. Gift bearers' procession in the 'Dionysus House' mosaic, Zippori, 4th century AD (detail from photo credited to Carole Raddato, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Dionysus_Mosaic_depicting_scenes_from_the_life_of_Dionysus, Sepphoris,_Israel_(15630884375).jpg, CC BY-SA 2.0)
Figure 242. Nilotic Panel from Dionysus Mosaic in Zippori (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Geagea, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Tzippori (3422679895).jpg, CC-BY 2.0)
Figure 243. Personification of the month of Tevet, zodiac wheel in synagogue at Zippori, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Bukvoed https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Tsipori-2-314.jpg?uselang=ru, CC BY 3.0)
Figure 244. The seasons of the year, Hammat Tiberias. Personification of: a. Autumn, b. Summer, Hammath Tiberias, 4th century AD (after Ruth and Prof. Asher Ovadiah Pl. CLXXXII, in: Mosaic Pavements in Israel, Rome, 1987.b. Credit to user: Bukvoed https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User: Bukvoed : CC-BY-3.0)
Figure 245. Personification of the Seasons, mosaic in Zippori Synagogue (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Bukvoed, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Tsipori-2-317.jpg, CC-BY 3.0)
Figure 246. Phaedra in the mosaic from Sheikh Zouède, 5th-6th centuries AD (photo courtesy of Prof. Asher Ovadiah)
Figure 247. Personification of the land in St. George's Church, Jordan. (after Piccirillo 1993: 179, Fig. 251, courtesy of SBF). Detail from Photo: Ilan Sharif
Figure 248. The Goddess of the Land and the seasons of the year in the mosaic at Beit Guvrin, 2nd–3rd centuries AD (photo courtesy of the <i>Israel Antiquities Authority</i> . Mandatory Scientific Archive Files 31, 32, Beit Guvrin)
Figure 249. Dancing Amazons in the Nile House, Sepphoris (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Ovedc, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Sepphoris_ovedc_8.jpg, CC-BY 3.0)
Figure 250. Personification of the Nile, 'Nile House' at Zippori (photo: Zeev Radovan)

Figure 251. Personification of the Goddess of the Land in a Roman mosaic from Mount Zion (photo credited to Dr Yehuda Dagan)
Figure 252. A maenad and a satyr in the mosaic from Sheikh Zouède, 5th–6th centuries AD (photo courtesy of Prof. Asher Ovadiah).
Figure 253. Nursing Madonna in a mural in the catacomb of Priscilla in Rome, 3rd century AD (Ferrua 1991: 22, courtesy of Carlo dell'Osso, the Pontifical Institute of Christian Archaeology)
Figure 254. Nursing Madonna in a mural in the catacomb of Priscilla, 3rd century AD (Ferrua 1991: 23, courtesy of Carlo dell'Osso, the Pontifical Institute of Christian Archaeology)
Figure 255. Icon of Mary, Christ and saints from Sinai, 6th century AD (photo credited to Wikimedia user: McLeod https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mary%26 Child Icon_Sinai 6th century.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 256. Isis nursing Harpocrates, fresco from house in Karanis, 4th century AD (photo credited to user: A. Parrot, Kelsey Museum of Archaeology, through Wikipedia. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: KM4.2990 isis.gif, Public Domain)226
Figure 257. Nursing woman, the Great Palace, Istanbul (photo credited to: Helen Milles, helenmilesmosaics@gmail.c)
Figure 258. Sarcophagus of Julius Bassus, Adam and Eve (detail) (photo credited to Wikipedia user: MiguelHermoso, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Copia_del_sarc%C3%B3fago_de_Junio_Basso_02.JPG, CC BY-3.0)
Figure 259. Marble medallion depicting Tyche, Khirbat Tinshemet, now in the Israel Museum, Jerusalem (photo credit to: Alon Steinberg)
Figure 260. Bronze statue of Tyche from Antioch, 3rd century (photo credited to Wikimedia user: Sailko, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/User: Sailko, CC-BY- SA 3.0)
Figure 261. Tyche, fully clothed, holding scepter in extended right hand and cradling cornucopia, seated left on throne supported by tritoness, holding scepter in extended right hand and cornucopia in left, 2nd century (courtesy of CNG website: http://www.cngcoins.com)
Figure 262. Personifications of the cities of Rome, Gregoria and Madaba in the 'Hall of <i>Hippolytus</i> ' in Jordan, early 6th century (after Piccirillo 1992: 57, 66, courtesy of SBF)
Figure 263. Dionysian scene on a silver plate, 4th century, originally from the East (photo credited to Wikimedia user: BabelStone, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: British Museum ildenhall Bacchic Dish A.jpg, CC0 1.0)
Figure 264. Tyche, a wall painting from Hippos-Sussita 3rd-4th centuries (photo credited to Dr Michael Eisenberg)
Figure 265. Maenad from Hippos-Sussita (photo credited to Dr Michael Eisenberg)
Figure 266. Menade (maenad) in silk dress, a Roman fresco from the Casa del Naviglio in Pompeii, 1st century AD, Naples National Museum (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Alonso de Mendoza, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: M%C3%A9nade_danzante,_Casa_del_Naviglio,_Pompeya.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 267. Amazon from the 'House of Orpheus' at Paphos (photo credited to Prof. Demetrios Michaelides 1992: 19, Fig. 5)237
Figure 268. Amazon warrior armed with a labrys, Roman mosaic (marble and limestone), second half of the 4th century AD, from Daphne of Antioch on the Orontes (modern Antakya in southern Turkey (photo credited to wikipedia user: Jastro, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Amazonomachy_Antioch_Louvre_Ma3457.jpg, CC BY-2.0)
Figure 269. Amazon mosaic from Apamea in 2002 (photo credited to Wikipedia user: COHBot https://commons.wikimedia. org/wiki/File: APAMEA_MuseoMosaico_con_emblema_di_amazzoneGAR6-042.jpg, CC BY-4.0)
Figure 270. Mosaic with Bacchanalian scene, National Museum of Roman Art in Merida, Spain (photo credited to Wikipedia user:Xosema,https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:M%C3%A9ridaMuseo_Nacional_de_Arte_Romano06-Escena_b%C3%A1quica.JPG, CC BY-3.0).
Figure 271. Dionysos and Ariadne, now in the archaeological Museum in Chania, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Xenophon, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: AMCMosaik_2.jpg, CC BY-3.0)
Figure 272. The four seasons of the year in the mosaic from Ras-Boutria at Acholla, Tunis, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Dennis Jarvis https: //en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File: The_Four_Seasons,_Roman_mosaic.jpg, CC BY-SA 2.0) 243
Figure 273. The Four Seasons Mosaic in Villa Zliten, Dar Buc Ammera, Libya, 2nd century AD, (photo credited to Livius.org user: Rene Voorburg, https://vici.org/image.php?id=1987, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 274. Personification of the month of Nissan in the Na'aran Synagogue, 6th century AD (photo after Sukenik 1932: 76, Pl. 5a) 245
Figure 275. Personification of the Seasons, Via Appia Nouva, Rome (photo credited to Wikipedia user: MiguelHermoso, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaico_Palazzo_Massimo_27.JPG, CC BY-3.0)
Figure 276. Personification of Summer, mosaic in Carmona, Spain, 2nd century AD (detail from a photo credited to Wikipedia user: José Luis Filpo Cabana https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaico_de_las_EstacionesCarmona_Sevilla).jpg, CC BY-SA 4.0)
Figure 277. Joseph and the wife of Potiphar, Vienna, Austria, 6th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia User File Upload Bot (Eloquence), The Yorck Project (2002). https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Meister der Wiener <i>Genesis</i> 001.jpg, CCO 1.0).249
Figure 278. Personification of the Land in a mural from the Via Latina catacomb, Rome, early 4th century AD (CCO 1.0) 250
Figure 279. Semasia on coin (courtesy of CNG Classical Numismatic Group website: Inc. http://www.cngcoins.com)
Figure 280. Personification of Egypt on a silver coin (courtesy of CNG, Classical Numismatic Group website: Inc. http://www.cngcoins.com).
Figure 281. Virgo in the Na'aran synagogue, 6th century AD (after Sukenik 1932: 34, Pl. 3b)

Figure 282. Personification of the zodiac signs Virgo and Libra at Hammat Tiberias, 4th century AD (photo after Ruth and Prof. Asher Ovadiah Pl. CLXXXII, in: <i>Mosaic Pavements in Israel</i> , Rome, 1987)
Figure 283. Anicia Juliana, Vienna Dioscorides, Folio 6, Constantinople, 6th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Anthémios de Tralles, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Dioscorides Vienna f6b.jpg, Public Domain)
Figure 284. Annunciation on the triumphal arch of Santa Maria Maggiore in Rome, 5th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Seudo, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Annunciation_on_the_triumphal_arch_of_santa_maria_maggiore_in_rome_(cropped).png, Public Domain)
Figure 285. Dura Europos fresco showing Moses found in the river, dated to 244 AD, (photo credited to Wikipedia user: David Levy https://en.wikimedia.org/wiki/Pharaoh%27s daughter (Exodus)#/media/File: Dura Europos fresco Moses from river.jpg, Public Domain).
Figure 286. The Virgin from the Church of Santa Francesca Romana, Rome, 7th–8th centuries (courtesy of Santa Francesca Romana, Rome, https: //www.romaexperience.com/rome-blog/goddesses-and-female-saints-basilica-of-santa-francesca-romana-rome)
Figure 287. Youths in the Zippori synagogue mosaic, 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Nis101, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: %D7%A6%D7%99%D7%A4%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%99 2.jpg, CC BY-SA 4.0)
Figure 288. Harbinger of the Nile's tide, 5th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Deltafunction, https://commons. wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Tzippori_Nile_mosaic_July2009.JPG, CC BY-SA 1.0)
Figure 289. Boy pushing a rock partridge into an open cage in the mosaic in the church on Katznelson Hill, Nahariya (photo: Dafna Wolf)
Figure 290. Soldiers on Trajan's Column, Plate number XXXII (photo from Conrad Cichorius: "Die Reliefs der Traianssäule", Erster Tafelband: "Die Reliefs des Ersten Dakischen Krieges", Tafeln 1-57, Verlag von Georg Reimer, Berlin 1896, 2nd century AD. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: 032_Conrad_Cichorius,_Die_Reliefs_der_Traianss%C3%A4ule,_Tafel_XXXII.jpg CCO 1.0).
Figure 291. Fertility goddess holding four swaddled babies (Photo credited to Wikipedia user: Wolfgang Sauber, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Ny_Carlsberg_GlyptothekFruchtbarkeitsg%C3%B6ttin.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 292. Mother breast-feeding a baby in the presence of the father, detail from the sarcophagus of Marcus Cornelius Statius, 150 AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jastrow https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Sarcophagus Marcus Cornelius Statius Louvre Ma659 n1.jpg CC 00 3.0). 267
Figure 293. Wet nurse Severina with swaddled baby (photo courtesy of Ursula Rothe), Der Grabstein der Severina Nutrix aus Köln: eine neue Deutung, <i>Germania</i> , 89, 191–214
Figure 293a. Seated woman leaving her newborn child to a nurse, funerary stele marble, made in Athens, ca. 425–400 BC. From Athens (photo credited to User: Jastrow), https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User: Jastrow ,public domain)
Figure 294. The Massacre in the Basilica of Santa Maria Maggiore in Rome, 5th century AD (photo credited to user: MM https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: RomaSantaMariaMaggioreArcoTrionfaleSxRegistro3.jpg CC -S A- 3.0)
Figure 295. Children playing ball games, Roman marble, 2nd century AD (photo credit to Wikimedia user: Jastrow, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Children_games_Louvre_Ma99n2.jpg, CC BY 3.0)
Figure 296. Children playing at chariot racing, Villa Romana del Casale, Piazza Armerina (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Lidine Mia, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Villa_Romana_del_Casale-vestibule_du_petit_cirque-7.jpg, CC BY-SA 4.0)272
Figure 297. The Child Hunters, Villa Romana del Casale, early 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Jbribeiro1, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Cubicle_of_the_Child_HuntersValle_Romana_del_CasaleItaly_2015_(2).JPG, CC BY-SA 4.0).
Figure 298. Boy holding a parrot in the Apostolic Church in Jordan, 6th century AD (after Piccirillo 1992: 97, Fig. 79, Courtesy of SBF).
Figure 299. Children's games, The Great Palace Mosaic, Istanbul 1997, Turkey, 49–51: Figs. a, b (courtesy of Werner Jobst, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Institute for the Study of Ancient Culture, Vienna, Austria).
Figure 300. Spinario, British Museum, 1st century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Yair-Haklai https: //id.m.wikipedia.org/ wiki/Berkas: Spinario-British_Museum.jpg, CC BY-SA 3.0)
Figure 301. Boy extracting a thorn, bronze sculpture dating to the 2nd century, displayed in the Capitoline Museum in Rome (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Sixtus, http://m.wikizero.biz/index.php?q=aHR0cHM6Ly9lbi5tLndpa2lwZWRpYS5vcmcvd2 lraS9GaWxl0kxvX1NwaW5hcmlvLkpQRw,BY-SA 3.0).
Figure 302. Sarcophagus of Junius Bassus, cast in Rome, early 4th century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Tetraktys. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Sarcophagus_of_Junius_BassusCast_in_Rome.jpg, CCO)
Figure 303. The Sacrifice of Isaac on a capital in the Church of San Pedro de la Nave in Zamora, 5th–7th centuries (photo credited to Wikipedia user: YeRa~commonswiki, https: //en.wikipedia.org/wiki/San_Pedro_de_la_Nave#/media/File: San_Pedro_de_la_Nave_capitel.JPG, Public Domain)
Figure 304. Esther displayed as Tyche, Dura Europos 3rd century AD (detail from photo credited to Wikipedia user: Richard Arthur Norton https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Duraeuropa-1gif, Public Domain)
Figure 305. Female figure of the dionysaic mosaic at Sepphoris, 3rd century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: Geagea, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: The_%22Mona_Lisa_of_the_Galilee%22_(possibly_Venus),_part_of_the_Dionysus_mosaic_floor_in_Sepphoris_(Diocaesarea),_Israel_(15004387483).jpg, CC BY-2.0).
Figure 306. Gemma Augustea, 1st century AD (photo credited to Wikipedia user: AndreasPraefcke, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Gemma_Augustea_KHM_2010.jpg, public domain)

Figure 307. Soldiers in the mosaic in the Basilica of San Vitale in Ravenna, 6th century AD (photo credited to wikimedia user: Jbribeiro1 https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaic_of_Justinian_I_-_San_Vitale_-_Ravenna_2016.jpg,user: Jbribeiro1 CC-BY-SA-4.0).

Figure 308. Ladies of the court in the mosaic of Theodora and her entourage at Ravenna, 6th century AD (photo credited to wikipedia user: Petar Milošević https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Mosaic of Theodora-Basilica San Vitale (Ravenna,_Italy).jpg, CC BY-SA 4.0).

livius.org/pictures/a/other-pictures/avar-slav-belt-reconstruction/, CCO 1.0 Universal).

Acknowledgements

My deepest thanks go to Prof. Asher Ovadiah who has accompanied me during my graduate studies with great care and patience and allowed me autonomy and freedom of creativity. There is no doubt in my mind that without his support this book would never have been completed.

I would also like to thank my dear friends Dr Yehuda Dagan and Nachshon Sneh for their assistance in obtaining permission to use the pictures.

My sincere gratitude to Prof. Yitzhak Meislish for his comments and insights regarding quotations taken from the Halachic sources.

I would like to express my gratitude to Gall Yanay Orian, for his invaluable assistance in preparing, sorting, and proofing the images in this book.

I am grateful to Dr David Davison of Archaeopress who made the publication of this book possible.

Introduction

This book is the first comprehensive study of the dress and related accessories depicted on the mosaic floors from Late Antiquity (4th-7th centuries AD) in the historical-geographical region of Eretz Israel and will be used as a contribution to research, for study purposes.1 It reviews the mosaic pavements of religious buildings such as synagogues, churches, and monasteries, as well as those in private homes and burial sites, and describes and analyzes the costumes and accessories worn by about 245 figures represented on approximately 41 mosaic floors (during work on this book, further examples have been discovered). It seeks to understand the ideological implications and social identities (gender, occupation and status) of the iconographic designs and examines how the figures are represented on the mosaics. It attempts to reconstruct developments and trends in the dress worn by a multicultural population of pagans, Jews, and Christians who lived alongside each other and embellished their homes and places of worship with mosaics, during a period that witnessed the establishment of Christianity in the Eastern Roman Empire.² Its location on the crossroads between Europe, Asia and Africa meant that Eretz Israel was exposed to both Western and Eastern personal appearance.³ The visual imagery and designs of these traditions undoubtedly influenced the local artists and craftsmen.4

The book's research involved locating, describing, documenting, and cataloging the articles of clothing and accessories depicted on mosaics uncovered from the period within the geographic region of Eretz Israel. In the identification stage, figurative mosaics in Eretz Israel were examined using on-site photography, mosaics and museum exhibits of actual costumes and accessories recovered from archaeological excavations, copies of works in libraries in Israel and abroad, excavation files, catalogs, websites, relevant articles, books, and discussions with historians, archaeologists, and costume historians.⁵ In cases where the mosaic had been damaged or covered over and only blackand-white photos were available, excavation reports and photographs from the *Israel Antiquities Authority*'s scientific archives and research literature provided descriptions and details of the colors. The clothing was classified into male and female wear (as well as infants' and children's) and the various functions.

The book's structure was dictated by classifying the items of clothing, as described above. Two sections are devoted to each gender (male, female) and one to children. The first part of each section discusses typology and acts as a kind of inventory with dates, details of accompanying inscriptions, the location of works and their state of preservation, descriptions of the dress and accessories and their classification. It opens with a short introduction to each type of clothing, referring to its use, distribution and history, and to the number of examples of a specific article of dress in mosaics in the region. The second part, which deals with stylistic-iconographic aspects and iconology, examines the ancient sources and the function and dress of the wearers in various Western and Eastern visual representations in the relevant period and geographic region. The comparisons help determine the influences of Eastern and Western traditions and identify original elements that may shed light on the clothing and accessories depicted.⁶ The final section of the book is based on the previous sections and refers to a number of related accessories, such as jewelry, head coverings, belts and footwear, as well as the literary sources

¹ In the period covered by this book, Christianity was on the rise in Eretz Israel. In the 4th century, Eretz Israel became an important center for Christianity and in the 5th and 6th centuries pagan idolatry was eradicated and the vast majority of the population was Christian. Many churches and monasteries were built and the country's location, on the crossroads between East and West, together with the presence of sacred Christian sites, made it a focus of attention for the central authorities. Most of the Jewish population lived in Galilee. ² On 18 September 324, after the Western Empire's Emperor Constantine defeated Licinius in the Eastern Empire, a Christian governor was assigned to Eretz Israel, thus beginning the process by which the hegemony of pagan and Jewish communities was replaced by that of Christians. The transformation was particularly evident in cities with mixed populations.

³ This book also examines the dress of the nomadic tribes from Asia and the northern countries, including the Germanic tribes of the Goths, Franks, Vandals, etc. The way in which a certain figure is depicted relates to the reciprocity between the various elements of his or her dress, the inherent symbolism of garments, colors, hairstyles, facial hair, expressions, makeup, emphasis or concealment of various body parts, the stance and posture, and comparison of the figure being studied with other figures in the composition.

⁴ I use the term 'artists' to refer to the workshop masters who selected the designs (in consultation with the patrons) and planned and drafted the work. The term 'craftsmen' refers to the apprentices who tessellated the mosaics on behalf of the workshops.

⁵ Access to British Mandate and Israeli files was enabled courtesy of the Israel Antiquities Authority (IAA). The following museums were consulted: Tunis: Bardo, Sousse, El Djem, Carthage; Jordan: The Archaeological Museum in Amman, Mount Nebo; Turkey: Archaeological Museum, Great Palace Mosaic Museum in Istanbul; Italy: Villa Armerina in Sicily, Vatican Museum, Via Latina Catacombs; Greece: Athens and Salonika Archaeological Museums; Cyprus: Nicosia Archaeological Museum; Paphos: The mosaic site; Paris: The Louvre; London: The British Museum; Germany: Cologne Roman-Germanic Museum; Trier: Roman Museum; Berlin: Archaeological Museum, Bode Museum, Byzantine Museum, Egyptian Museum, Pergamon Museum and Collection of Classical Antiquities Staatliche Museen zu Berlin, Roman Museum; Munich: Glyptoohek Museum; USA: Worcester Museum, Princeton University Art Museum, New York Metropolitan Museum of Art, Brooklyn Museum of Art, Corning Museum of Glass NY Utah University Museum; Canada: Royal Ontario Museum in Toronto.

⁶ Western: Greece, Italy, Sicily, Germany, Gaul, Spain, Britain, and North Africa. Eastern: Egypt, Cyprus, Eretz Israel, Persia, Jordan, Syria, Lebanon and Turkey.

that mention them.⁷ Each section has a summary and conclusions. The book ends with a general summary and assumptions about personal appearance, dress, and accessories in the mosaics of Eretz Israel.

The classification work was aided by research on the terminology used for garments and accessories in specialist glossaries and in the literature, compared with the visual evidence. The use of different words for the same item of clothing in the literature stems from the problem of determining the correct term for certain garments that is also evident in ancient sources, since the different languages (Latin, Greek, and local dialects) used different words for the same item. The problem is compounded by the absence of suitable technology, such as the inability to place illustrations alongside terms in the relevant period, for example. In certain cases therefore, I have been obliged to choose one term from among several used in written sources and in the literature.8 The few existing studies of costume in mosaic art in general, as well as in other visual representations, supply useful information.

As a 'bearer' of symbols, dress provides an 'arena' for a social and governmental-political 'struggle' that reveals class, gender, and occupational identity and helps us understand the *habitus* and function of the figure expressed by the style of dress and personal appearance.⁹ This book attempts to decipher the relations between 'appearance' and 'identity' shown by mediation between the visual language and its system of signs, by investigating the repertoire of clothes and accessories depicted on numerous figures in archaeological finds, examining them, and reconstructing their symbolic systems within the historical context in which they were produced.¹⁰ It also attempts to identify original elements in local costume and to detect and characterize any mutual reciprocity in dress and accessories in the relevant period, both between major artistic centers and the peripheral regions and among the various outlying regions themselves. The work examines similarities and differences between the dress of figures from religious works and Greek mythology, and figures from private buildings, synagogues, and churches.

The book discusses the degree of Western (Fig.97 p.68 Trajan Column 113AD, Public Domain) and Eastern influence on garments in Eretz Israel and whether the artists and craftsmen of the time created new dress styles by adopting innovative features and combinations. It rests on the assumption that the items of dress and related accessories depicted in mosaic floors during the relevant period represent actual garments, as dictated by the appearance, conventions, and taste of the time. While traditional iconographic formulas are employed, enabling us to identify certain personages by their dress and accompanying attributes, innovative aesthetic concepts are also introduced that convey the reality and changing trends of the time, such as Eastern influences and indications of styles and restrictions on appearance that were preached by religious and moral sages. All of these reflect the mutual interaction between tradition and innovation. I also argue that the items of dress, particularly the jewelry depicted on mosaic floors, are more modest than those shown on mosaics in other places, both in the East and in the West.

The subject of costume and related accessories in mosaics in Eretz Israel has received little scholarly attention and there have been no comparative studies or attempts to identify elements with widespread significance. This prompted me to examine the items of clothing and accessories worn by figures on mosaics in Eretz Israel and classify them into 'groups' according to subject, function, and class. I attempted to detect similarities, together with differences or unique aspects, and noted any discrepancies between the dress of these and comparative figures at other sites and in other forms of visual representation in the East and in the West.

The book examines the significance of the items of dress within the framework of an overall cultural system, incorporating methodologies from Art History and examining the subject from the typological,

⁷ The jewelry repertoire in mosaics in Eretz Israel in Late Antiquity is the subject of my MA thesis, written under the guidance of Prof. Asher Ovadiah.

⁸ For example, the terms relating to the gathered folds of a garment. See: Lee 2004: 221–224; Chambers and Sylvester 2010: 72.

Bourdieu uses the word *habitus* as a sociological term to describe the world views, tastes, and social conventions that can be used to interpret social values and customs; see: Bourdieu 1984: 170; Ritzer 2006: 448-449. People's tastes in material culture, such as dress, furnishings, etc., are shaped by habitus, particularly through members of the upper classes; see: Bourdieu 1984: 170, 284; Ritzer 2006: 448-449. In his book, Distinction, Bourdieu focuses on differences in aesthetic taste. He argues that taste is a means by which the individual and those around him are given a sense of their place in the social hierarchy; see: Bourdieu 1984: 284; Ritzer 2006: 453. Although the term is a modern invention, it can also be applied to the behavior and customs of those people who lived in Late Antiquity, including their daily practices, food, and dress. Ancient literary sources record that pagan, Jewish, and Christian intellectuals all attempted to dictate the ways in which ordinary people dressed, ate, and celebrated festivals. In the concept of *habitus*, Bourdieu concentrates on the particular significance of certain customs and daily practices. His work emphasizes the fact that cultural ties shaped by specific consumer tastes reinforce a person's social status. The sermons of Chrysostom give an insight into the customs of the period and the hopes of those who shaped the generation of the time that a Christian environment would lead to a change in lifestyle, dress, food, and family life and that liberation from temptation and sin would result in salvation; see: Maxwell 2006: 146-147.

¹⁰ The Greeks and Romans devoted a great deal of attention to body care, personal appearance, the outward impression given to others, and the way in which (a) the contours of the body, dress, footwear and jewelry, and (b) the face (facial hair, hairstyle, wigs, makeup, and perfume) were emphasized. 'Image' refers to general appearance, posture, expression, gestures, and mannerisms; see: DeBrohun 2001: 19–25.

iconographic, and iconological perspective, with some aspects based on works of art and on extant ancient sources that describe the subject from the (male) perspective of their writers. To provide the broadest possible perspective of how the body, dress, and accessories were regarded in antiquity, the work introduces concepts from other disciplines, such as literature (including poems and letters), history, theology, philosophy, aesthetics, and medicine. It also examines contemporary studies related to the subject in fields such as psychology, social sciences, and gender studies.¹¹

Visual depictions of ancient dress on works of art attest to male and female dress codes. The garments and adornments depicted in the different artistic media were an important form of visual communication at the time, as they convey symbolic conventions, reflect society's aesthetic and moral values, and reveal details about gender, age, role, and class while inspiring human creativity, the timeless desire for beauty, and the human need to adorn and bring attention to oneself.¹²

By understanding the symbolic layers behind the functional aspects of dress, we can determine the relationship between a figure's function, external appearance, and gender. The study of costume history focused on this subject from the mid-19th to the 20th centuries, when it was realized that various elements of dress provide an indication of gender and class. Scholars also examined those garments that could be worn by both men and women, a custom that also appears in the Talmud in spite of the biblical prohibition, 'A woman must not wear men's clothing, nor a man wear women's clothing'.¹³ Since in certain periods there was no one way of determining the right and proper type of dress for a man or a woman, examples of items used by both genders reflect the conventions, customs, and aesthetic tastes of a particular culture in a given period and their contribution to maintaining or changing social order.¹⁴ Literary sources from the period, for example, state that women wore the talaris tunic. A man dressed in such a tunic was the subject of harsh criticism. To understand the predominant aesthetic taste at the time, we must understand the concept of beauty that

¹³ De Brohun 2001: 18; Eicer and Roach-Higgins 1992: 8–29; Deuteronomy 22: 5; BT, Nedarim 49, 72.

¹⁴ Hirsch 1979: 245–246; Scott 2006: 328.

advocated symmetry and subscribed to values inherited from the Greco-Roman world and local traditions.¹⁵ The book examines the combination of different features of dress and the adoption of military and civilian elements manifested in clothing and particularly in its ornamentation and accompanying accessories.

Since art was influenced by economic interests driven by capital controlled by the emperor, the governor, functionaries such as priests, and public benefactors,¹⁶ items of dress obviously reflected politically motivated social systems.¹⁷ The figures depicted in the mosaic floors should therefore be understood mainly through the eyes of those patrons and donors who funded the art works and, in many cases, also dictated their composition. By approaching them in this way, we gain an impression of the patrons' tastes, preferred styles, aspirations, and expectations rather than realistic depictions of actual garments.¹⁸ Often, influential figures such as moral leaders, philosophers and theologians were not involved in the decisions of the patrons (whose principal interest was to glorify themselves), although they preached virtue and modesty and strove to inculcate such values in the collective subconscious, in the visual appearance of the figures, and in the way in which dress and accessories were presented. In this way, they sought to influence the masses who attended the various events in public buildings.¹⁹

In contrast with monochrome visual expressions, mosaic art reveals the diverse colors of garments and accessories depicted in murals, sculptures, and reliefs

 $^{^{\}rm 11}$ Soranus of Ephesus: IX [XXIX]; for the connection between the figure and the written word; see: Squire 2009: 190–193.

¹² Marshalek 2009: 14; Keenan 2001: XV; dress has both aesthetic significance (altering between places and over time) and functional significance, since it protects from the natural elements such as heat, cold, wind, and natural hazards like thorns, etc.; it has social significance with regard to age, beliefs, restrictions, modesty, customs, conventions, and laws; its form, materials, and colors are inextricably linked to the design and artistic styles of the period; see: Reich 1995: 16. In this book, therefore, I refer to the social groups wearing the clothing, the dress of other figures, and by in which they were viewed by legislators, intellectuals, and opinion makers.

¹⁵ Bychkov and Sheppard 2010: 171.

¹⁶ It is sometimes possible to know who the patrons were, since they immortalized themselves in inscriptions accompanying the mosaic works, murals, and sculptures. The church donors were bishops and clergy or devout members of the congregation, some of whom sought to glorify their own names; see: Dauphin 1978: 25. At a conference of the Israeli Association of Byzantine Studies held in January 2014 at Kinneret Academic College, Dr Lea Di Segni translated the Greek inscription found in the prayer hall at Kefar 'Otnay as reading 'Gaianus also called Porphyrius, centurion, our brother, has made the pavement at his own expense as an act of liberality, Brutius has carried out the work'. This and other inscriptions show that each patron donated as he wished. The donation was sometimes only enough to pay for a number of lines of mosaic floor. Christians viewed the contribution as a devotional act that commemorated the donor's generosity; see: Dunbabin 1999: 324. It is not clear to what extent the donors were involved in work on the mosaic. According to Dunbabin and Kitzinger, church dignitaries influenced the decorative content; see: Dunbabin 1999: 325; Kitzinger 2002: 596. In Kivity's opinion, in instances where there is a connection between the figurative depiction and the sermons and interpretations of the Church Fathers it can be assumed that, whether the patron was a member of the clergy or the church community, he was familiar with the sacred texts. See: Kivity 2007: 130; Hachlili notes that Jewish and Christian artists and craftsmen worked for pagan, Jewish, and Christian clients. The inscriptions are in Aramaic and Greek; see: Hachlili 2009: 232-280.

¹⁷ Meaning both in the artistic works and in reality. See: Arce 2005: 33–44; Antiquity, *Codex Theodosianus*: 14.10.1–4.

¹⁸ Blundell 2002: 144; Talgam 2012: 412; also referring to the condition of items of clothing and whether they are decorated, worn, patched, etc.

¹⁹ Rutledge 2012: 80–81.

that would originally have been painted.²⁰ The use of color will be examined in the context of 'a language of color', or visual language characterizing art in the relevant period.²¹

The book examines the extent to which dress and accessories reflected cosmopolitan or regional trends and whether the official recognition and establishment of Christianity led to a change in how clothing was perceived. The preserved remnants of clothing and accessories that have survived have also been examined, such as tunics, jewelry, footwear, and belts recovered from archaeological excavations in Eretz Israel.

At the interpretive level of mosaic costume research, the symbolic and material significance of the figures is revealed by how the types, colors, and raw materials (wool, linen, gold, purple, and silk) of the cloth are rendered. Garments and their ornamentation contain layers of meaning that expose class-related issues. The social hierarchy revealed by the repertoire of dress therefore allows us to recognize features from everyday life and provides an indication of a figure's social and economic status. The study identifies gender and class characteristics and social codes related to the values and beliefs that characterized the dress of people from the highest social strata or workers engaged in various trades, such as hunters, warriors, vintners, drivers, charioteers, sailors, shepherds, porters, and woodcutters. Personifications and figures from the Holy Scriptures and Greek mythology in an assortment of attire are also represented in mosaic art. Fine clothing symbolizes high status and conveys power. Those who wear it are therefore depicted with additional attributes, such as a regal or imperial crown or the weapons of a hunter-soldier, etc. This book examines how art provides a complementary source by which to understand the semiotic and symbolic role of clothing and accessories. It examines the use, significance, messages and images which the artists sought to reflect through clothing and accessories. Comparative research of floor mosaics and other visual representations, such as murals, sculpture, reliefs, coins, figurines, wall mosaics, etc. from centers of artistic influence, both at the heart of the classical world and in the peripheral regions, sheds light on garment types, uses, colors, and ornamentation. All of these outward indicators provide visual clues as to the personality, status, and lifestyle of the wearers and point to traits such as modesty, selfglorification, vanity, or ostentatiousness.

²⁰ Zinserling 1972: 44; Scholars have noted that floors paved with mosaics were cheaper than those paved with marble; unlike marble, however, mosaics reveal colorful geometric and figurative designs; Vriezen 1998: 247. Descriptions of the figures, stylistic-aesthetic analysis, and comparative research into other visual representations in and prior to the relevant period use iconographic analysis to help detect the artists' sources of inspiration and reach subsequent conclusions.

By studying the different dress elements, we gain an impression of the skills and abilities used by craftsmen and artists to transform colored mosaic tesserae into an illusion of ornate items of clothing. The stones were cut into different shapes and sizes, from tiny tesserae used to render jewelry, embroidery, and the drapes of fabric over the body to larger stones for the rest of the garment.²² We examine whether, in an attempt to give their works an opulent appearance, the mosaic artists deviated from accepted decorative conventions of the time and used colored tesserae in imitation of precious metals and gems. I also examine the likelihood that the artist was often given free rein in the design of items of clothing and accessories.²³ This book also addresses the reasons why garments that had previously been forbidden became common and accepted in the relevant period. It should be noted that in a significant number of instances, a work of art's dating relies on the items of dress that appear in it.²⁴

The book's structure follows the above-mentioned classification. Two sections are devoted to each gender (male, female) and one to children. The first part of each section, which discusses typology, provides a kind of inventory with dates, details of accompanying inscriptions, the location of works and their state of preservation, descriptions of the clothing, and classification. This part opens with a short preface introducing each item of clothing and describing its use, distribution and history, and the number of

 $^{^{\}rm 21}$ Karmon 1993: 7; color was used as an identifying element in attributes and iconographic formulas.

²² In the fifth *Ennead* of his eighth treatise, Plotinus states that a stone becomes beautiful not because it is a stone, but in virtue of the form or idea introduced by the artist; see: Plotinus: V.8.1. Notably, the advantage of mosaic as a visual medium capable of imitating the texture of a material, shading, and outlines is reflected in the size, uniformity, and color of its tesserae. The artist prepared the design and the craftsmen (apprentices) cut and shaped the stones according to the desired size and color. Once the foundation of earth and stones was prepared, it was covered with a layer of mortar in which the artist sketched out the mosaic. The artists laid the tesserae for the more complex parts of the work, while the craftsmen began laying the tesserae in the simpler areas. Finally, the surface of the mosaic was polished with stones until it was smooth and even. See: De Vecchi 2006: 7–17.

²³ The research literature contains few references to the mosaic artists and craftsmen, since there is very little information about them; see: Ovadiah 2004a. Scholars have identified a number of workshops and schools of mosaic in different centers, which the artists moved between or were invited to. For example, in *De Aedificiis* ('Buildings'), Procopius records that Justinian gathered artists from throughout the world; see: Procopius, *Buildings*: VII, I.i.23, 24. A few sources also record the cooperation that existed between the artist who traced the mosaic 'cartoon' and the craftsman who tessellated the stones. Cartoons may have been exchanged between artists; Bruneau 1984: 247–248, 254–258, 261. For mosaic artists and workshops in Eretz Israel; see: Ovadiah 2004a: 85–96. ²⁴ In cases in which the mosaic was damaged.

figures shown wearing such an item in mosaics from Eretz Israel. It is important to note that the location of figures depicted on mosaic fragments sometimes makes it difficult to identify them and ascertain their role in the decorative composition.²⁵ The second part, which addresses stylistic-iconographic aspects and iconology, relates to ancient sources and the function and dress of the figures in various Western and Eastern visual representations in the relevant period and geographic region. These comparisons help determine the influence of the different traditions and identify original elements that may shed light on the clothing and its accessories.²⁶ The seventh and final part of the book is based on the previous sections and discusses items such as jewelry, head coverings, belts and footwear, and the literary sources that mention them. Each section ends with a summary and conclusions. The final summary presents conclusions about appearance, dress, and accessories as reflected in the mosaics of Eretz Israel.

It is worth emphasizing that there are two different approaches to the iconographic analysis of mosaics in the research. One attributes a symbolic meaning to the overall composition and figures, a 'significance beyond' what the viewer sees; the second approach examines the figures themselves and refrains from attributing further meaning to them. In his book on the terrestrial world in Early Byzantine Art, Maguire states that mosaics with more complex and varied decorative compositions are more likely to correspond to texts that reflect the spirit of the times. The works must be interpreted in comparison with other works of art, taking into consideration their architectural function, the date of the mosaic, its documentation, literary works of the relevant period and spiritual concepts, accompanying inscriptions, style, and technique. Maguire notes that in cases where it is not possible to attribute symbolic significance and interpretation to the mosaic, one should refrain from symbolic interpretation and adopt research methods based on comparisons, stylistic analysis, and accompanying texts.²⁷ Furthermore, in the absence of an accompanying explanatory inscription, they can be interpreted in different ways as being either devoid of symbolism, partial allegories, or allegoric-symbolic interpretations. Sometimes, there is more than one way of interpreting the images and a figure's meaning can be ambiguous or obscure.

This book covers a large number of figures wearing numerous kinds of dress. Since many of the mosaics in Eretz Israel are fragmentary, it is often difficult to identify a figure's place and role in the decorative composition. In addition, it is not possible to identify the function of the building for every mosaic or the exact period of its construction. These facts are consistent with Maguire's approach. The iconographic interpretation in this book therefore relies on the thematic, formal, practical, and conceptual comparison and examination of inscriptions and dress as well as on the social perspectives supplied by contemporary literary and theological sources.²⁸

Current research status

Overall, the dress and costume accessories depicted on mosaic floors in Eretz Israel have long been neglected as a research topic. There is no previous comprehensive study of the subject and it remains a largely unexplored field. This book, which approaches the subject from different and varied viewpoints, aims to rectify this situation. Ancient literary sources and costume research addressed by different disciplines — such as gender studies, sociology, philosophy, literature, and theology — enable the examination of the moral and ideological significance of clothing and accessories in the framework of an overall cultural system.²⁹ The book draws on available data from the few related studies that discuss mosaic art in general and on research into the subject in other artistic media.

Ancient literary sources

Ancient literary sources that mention clothing and appearance provide an important contribution to the study of the significance of dress in Late Antiquity and the social, economic, and aesthetic aspects in addition to the moral and religious implications of dress and personal appearance.³⁰ These are reflected in pagan literature, in the sages' interpretations of the scriptures, in Talmudic prohibitions, in the New Testament, in the writings of the Church Fathers, and in official legislation. Such sources reflect the spirit of religious law, which aims to correct and to serve as a deliberate 'spiritual guide' in response to the reality it aspires to change. The attitudes of conservatives and those preaching morality in the ancient sources focus on the social-moral aspect of providing the poor with covering.³¹ Descriptions of dress styles that were

²⁵ For example, fragments of figures in the Huqoq synagogue mosaic discovered in the 2013 excavation season are dressed in elaborate clothing. Archaeologists hope to reveal further fragments at the site that will shed light on the finds and enable them to identify the subjects. See: Plates 4, 5.

²⁶ In the West: Greece, Italy, Sicily, Germany, Gaul, Spain, Britain, and North Africa. In the East: Egypt, Cyprus, Eretz Israel, Persia, Jordan, Syria, Lebanon, and Turkey.

²⁷ Maguire 1987: 5–13.

²⁸ Betzer 2007: 3–13; Maguire 1987: 51.

²⁹ For the contribution of ancient sources to an understanding of daily life and social and religious views, see: Allen and Mayer 1993: 260–280.

 $^{^{\}rm 30}$ The titles of most of the sources are given in their original form, even when they are referred to in translation.

³¹ Compare Exodus 22: 25, 'If you take your neighbor's cloak as a pledge, return it by sunset. Because that cloak is the only covering your neighbor has. What else can they sleep in? When they cry out to

viewed as corrupting and leading to morally degenerate pretentions and wastefulness give an important perspective on the meaning of dress and its social, moral, economic, and aesthetic aspects in the relevant period.³² Comparison of the written word with the visual image furnishes us with a comprehensive view of the types of clothing, their accessories, and their visual and literary representations. The written descriptions, revealing an inventory of clothing and jewelry that was adopted from the Greco-Roman repertoire, for example, refer to the wearer's identity and his relation to topics drawn from mythology, religion, rural or urban life that are reflected in his dress.³³ The literary sources that describe the establishment's attitude to appearance and adornment are replete with condemnation for the feminine image, which is singled out for particular censure, but also frequently express disgust and dismay at the attire and appearance of men. Ancient writings give reliable historical sources on dress give few details of the exact design of garments, how they were worn, and with what added accessories.³⁴

Ancient Roman literature contains references to clothing, accessories, the correct way of wearing them, and how they can be used to identify a person's social status and geographical origin. Pliny the Elder identified Etruscan, Phrygian, Babylonian, Gallic, Syrian and Egyptian influences in Roman dress. He also distinguished between the different spinning and weaving techniques, which determined the fabric used for tunics.³⁵ Suetonius added a special note on the fastening of the toga and attributed a certain personality to those securing it without due care.³⁶ The male authors' critical comments reflect the importance they placed on the ideal of the perfect image and the ability to 'read' and identify the social class of a person by means of his garment. its color, and any accessories. Intellectuals denounced various phenomena that were linked to permissiveness and blamed them for social disintegration.

Such admonitions reveal the 'regimented' social construct in which a calm, submissive appearance conveys a sense of modesty that will result in redemption of the soul. The research reveals the moral and ethical principles underlying the stylisticiconographic depiction and the aspirations of moral leaders and philosophers, such as chastity, moderation, and frugality. Their wrath was directed not only at clothing that was considered promiscuous, but also at signs of waste and extravagance. Augustine, who was born in the 4th century, complained of the 'lust of the eyes' indulged in by mankind through different arts and crafts and manifested by a passion for clothing, shoes, and other objects far exceeding those needed for an expression of modest piety.³⁷ Clement of Alexandria, who stressed the common need of men and women to cloth their bodies, deplored the fact that women were not content with simple apparel and chose fine fabrics with gold embroidery that revealed their body contours.³⁸ Ovid referred to the head coverings of Roman women as a sign of their marital status.³⁹ The theologian Clement of Alexandria, writing in the early 3rd century, demonstrated remarkable proficiency in the variety of accessories and means at the disposal of women, such as hairnets, ribbons, chest girdles, veils, chitons, beads, anklets, and cosmetics like rouge and eye paint.40

The literary sources and legislation regarding dress reveal concern and dismay in their criticism of male attire. Juvenal was sarcastic and highly critical of male fashions imported to Rome from the East (Antioch), which he considered effeminate.⁴¹ Tertullian, who lived in the early 3rd century, criticized the *toga* for its lack of comfort and advocated wearing the *pallium*.⁴² In the 1st century, Quintilian wrote about how the *toga* was worn, its length and the fall of its folds. He criticized those who wore it in the incorrect manner, adding instructions for the recommended position for a military tunic's belt.⁴³

The displeasure of the 'guardians of morality' led rulers to introduce legislation and civil regulations. The laws governing dress were adopted to encourage simplicity and to prevent excessive spending and the import of precious materials such as fine fabrics and jewelry.⁴⁴ Just how important the issue was can be seen by the rules governing who was permitted to wear what, restrictions on the purchase of expensive fabrics and jewelry, and the punishments meted out for infringement. The need to revise and reiterate the dress codes in successive legislations during the various imperial reigns attest to the fact that some people disregarded them. Further data on costume can be gleaned from the books of laws published by the emperors, such as the price edict (Edictum Diocletiani) issued by Diocletian in 301 in an attempt to halt inflation, which reveals the price ceilings

me, I will hear, for I am compassionate'. See also *Isaiah* 58: 7 'Is it not to share your food with the hungry and to provide the poor wanderer with shelter, when you see the naked, to clothe them, and not to turn away from your own flesh and blood?'

³² Eliav-Feldon 2003: 50.

³³ For the connection between the visual image and the written word, see: Elkins 1999: 83–84.

³⁴ Pipnçoise and Mane 1997: 7.

³⁵ Pliny: VIII, LXXIV, 194–196. This book refers to Pliny the Elder as Pliny.

³⁶ For Julius' appearance, with a garment fastened carelessly and draped in loose folds, see: Suetonius 31, 45.

³⁷ Augustine: 53.

³⁸ Clement of Alexandria, *Chr.* 10: 106, 107.

³⁹ Ovid, The Art: 3. 483

⁴⁰ Clement: Paed. 2.124.1-2.

⁴¹ Juvenal: 2. 6. 65–68, 87.

⁴² Tertullian: *De Pal.*: V, 2.

⁴³ Quintilian: 11.138–139.

⁴⁴ Wolff 1992: 39–40; Pipnçoise and Mane 1997: 9. For the import of various fabrics and shipping costs; see: Horden and Purcell 2000: 352–364.

imposed on items of clothing and footwear. The section on textiles lists items for both sexes: Undergarments and dalmatics (overtunics) without stripes and overgarments that included long and short coats and short hooded cloaks for women, which were rated by quality into three different tariffs.⁴⁵ The Theodosianus Codex stated the items of clothing, fabrics, and colors adopted by the imperial household and noted those that members of other classes were forbidden to wear, such as garments made of silk or embellished with gold and purple. There was also a prohibition on garments studded with pearls.⁴⁶ The Jewish sources (the Bible, Mishnah, and Jerusalem and Babylonian Talmuds) supply us with information about dress and its uses. For example, the Jerusalem Talmud explains how to wed a woman who has previously vowed not to wear dyed garments, and Rav Zeira states that the fine linen garments from Beit She'an (Scythopolis) are equivalent to the dyed garments and luxury clothing that some women vow not to wear.47

The research literature

Early 20th-century studies of clothing cover the fashions, fabrics, and changes occurring in dress throughout history, from Ancient Egypt to the time of their publication. Concentration on the historical approach helped date items of clothing and their distribution at the time when they were worn.

A number of studies discuss male clothing and personal appearance in Late Antiquity. Gutmann (1992) and Rothgus (2006) distinguish between the tailored garment with side seams such as the *tunica*, *colobium*, and trousers, and loose garments made of rectangular pieces of cloth and fastened around the body, such as the himation, the pallium, and the toga. An article by Geddes (1987) focuses on changes in fashion and their significance in 5th-century BC Athens, as depicted on vases and other vessels. Geddes cites ancient writers such as Thucydides, Herodotus, and Homer, who mention articles of clothing like the chiton, himation, and chlamys.48 Gleason's book on the male image, Making Men: Sophists and Self-Presentation in Ancient Rome (Gleason 1995) discusses the dress, behavior, masculine appearance, physiognomy and semiotics of gender in ancient Rome. Delmaire (2004) refers to the dress laws governing the inhabitants of the Roman Empire.

⁴⁸ Geddes 1987: 307–331.

Newbold (2005) examines the clothing and accessories mentioned by historians writing in Late Antiquity, such as the 4th-century Ammianus Marcellinus, who described garments that symbolized class, and the 6th-century Gregory of Tours who advocated modest clothing while attributing miraculous powers to clothes of the saints. Hotchkiss (1996) addresses the aspect of gender in medieval women's clothing and the link between identity and dress. This book helps us understand the differences relating to social order and the female-male dress code in Western culture.

In the field of modern research, the works by Davenport (1948), Wilcox (1958), Köhler (1963), Lister (1967), Gorsline (1991), Laver (1995), and Broby-Johansen (1968) contain general studies of costume and accessories between the Hellenistic, Roman, and Byzantine periods and the 20th century. Potthoff (1992) studies items of clothing and the literary sources that refer to them. Hollander's research gives an insight into the clothing shown in various forms of Western art. She concludes that the garments depicted in artistic works are more elaborate than those that existed in reality. In her opinion, clothing acts as a means of 'constructing the body' and in each period there are different concepts of the body that lead to emphasis on different parts of it. This is achieved by 'creating' disparity between the 'natural' body's contours and its artificial representations. In the Archaic and Classical Greek world, one can discern different styles of clothing because of different 'constructs' of the body.⁴⁹

A few studies focus on a specific period, such as the study of Greek dress by Bieber (1928). Others concentrate on certain kinds of clothing like headgear, footwear, and military dress. Houston (1947) reviews the development of Greek, Roman, and Byzantine dress worn by men, women, and soldiers with relation to the various parts of the garment, decorative elements, jewelry, and hairstyles. Hope (1962) examines the clothing worn by mythological figures, priests, soldiers, and civilians in Ancient Egypt and describes the changes in hairstyles in Greece and Rome as depicted in sculptures, vases, tombstones, and murals. Wilson (1938) relates to the repertoire of male and female Roman civilian dress in sculptures and on vases. She reviews the production methods and literary sources describing Roman dress. Brown (1992) attributes the preservation of Greek heritage to the Roman elite, expressed by its use of the Greek language, literature, culture, and fashion tastes.⁵⁰ Toynbee (1972) and Poulsen (2012) surmise that use was made of pattern books that have not survived. Poulsen also notes that the decorative patterns that were popular in certain regions were adopted from shared

⁴⁵ Diocletian, *Edic.*: XVII–XVIII, XIX, 26–28; *CTh*: 10.20.18, 21. Overgarments of men and women recovered among archaeological finds from the northern Roman imperial provinces show that the women wore long coats and the men were dressed in shorter jackets. Stig Sørensen 2000: 140.

⁴⁶ Ploumis 2001: 68; *CTh*: 14.10–12; 15.7.11; 15.9.1. The Codex contains a number of laws, speeches and letters written to the Senate and governors from the time of Constantine to that of Theodosianus II. See: Harper 2011: 359–360.

⁴⁷ JT,Kiddushin 2: 5, 62c; Derech Eretz1: 1.

⁴⁹ Hollander 1993: 3.

⁵⁰ Brown notes that Roman dress was widely used during religious and public celebrations such as the summer festivals.

iconographic sources and distributed throughout the empire by various means. In his research of Roman dress accessories, Johns (1996) claims that throughout history those of both sexes have attempted to assert their position in the social ladder through clothing and its accessories, thus helping peers to recognize their status. He maintains that it is important to study dress and accessories in order to understand a society's values and challenges those who underrate the issue.

The body of research on costume in the Roman and Early Byzantine periods includes a collection of works edited by Sebasta and Bonfante (2001) that addresses several aspects of the imperial Roman period: garments, hairstyles, dress accessories, and how they were worn by women, men, and children. They also discuss the use of jewelry as a status symbol, the incorporation of Eastern and Western motifs, and the development of the distinctive dress and accouterments that bestowed imperial authority, power, and legitimacy on the ruler. Their book contains a chapter on Roman footwear in sculptures, reliefs, murals, mosaics, literary sources, and archaeological finds. Swift (2009) covers Roman jewelry and dress styles. Rothe (2009) discusses dress as a reflection of social identity, concentrating on the Rhine region of Moselle during the imperial Roman period. Gardner (1986) researched the Roman family, status, and law. The theories compiled by Ariès (1979) on the status and education of children in France during the Middle Ages, the Renaissance, and the 18th century have influenced subsequent research.

Papaconstantinou and Talbot (2009) published articles on various aspects of childhood in the Byzantine period including dress and nutrition, which have contributed to this volume. In her discussion of gender and archaeology, Stig Sørensen (2000) devotes one chapter to the subject of clothing and its ornamentation with an appraisal of costume studies, differences in the apparel worn by both sexes, and social messages. She studies the formation of identities through the link between the garment and social conventions and its potential to reveal shifts in moral, religious, and political norms. All of these studies, which are based on visual depictions, have contributed to a wider understanding of the field of clothing and accessories. By discussing the form and vibrancy conveyed by articles of clothing and providing the opportunity to discuss the Greco-Roman traditions reflected in the relevant period, they have made a distinctive contribution to this work. They also substantiate the underlying assumption discussed in this book (outlined in note 2).

The last decades of the 20th century witnessed a turning point in the study of costume. The understanding that the issue is multi-disciplinary led to a shift in emphasis, which is evident in the current research. Whereas previous research examined dates and changes in styles of costume in different periods, scholars began to focus on their interpretation and analysis. The topic of fashion was studied in disciplines such as sociology, art history, cultural history, anthropology, economics, gender studies, material culture, and semiotics, which discussed the symbolism of dress and its affinity with the language of unspoken communicative symbols. The sociologist Edward Sapir (1931) discusses the concept of 'fashion' and the science of design, changing color fashions, embellishment, and symbols.⁵¹ Members of the linguistic circle established in Prague in the 1930s studied semiotics, the elements of a symbol, and the rules driving it. Geertz (1990), who holds that culture is a semiotic concept requiring the interpretation of a system of signs, claims that man is a being imprisoned in the webs of meaning he has spun, which reflect cultural experiences and lifestyles. He states that a person is capable of communicating with his surroundings and with others through meaningful symbols such as verbal and non-verbal language, myth, and art, which all help the individual organize his life. Therefore, he asserts, analysis of cultural values is not an exact science but an interpretive science that strives to discover meaning. Humankind's clothing has been fashioned from time immemorial, attesting to man's humanity as a cultured being. The fig leaf, or any other accessory used by a person, emphasizes his or her humanity.⁵² Bogatyrev (1976) views dress as not just a utilitarian and practical necessity, but as a form of language signifying gender, social and economic class, etc. Furthermore, the various features of a garment - its color, ornamentation, and the quality of its fabric - enable one to understand culture and society. He maintains that in order to understand the social role of clothes, one must study a garment's language and signs in the same way as one learns a foreign language.53 Eicher and Roach (1965) also treat clothing as a form of language. The anthropologist Levi Strauss (1976) published a number of papers on the structural similarity between language and dress. He states that one can detect quantifiable and measurable links in dress that are similar to the systems that make up language.54 The semiotics scholar Roland Barthes (1991) relates to the study of the signs and symbols of fashion and detects in the subtext a language of meanings characterized by a syntax and combinations of codes that constitute a 'peg' from which symbolic, moral, aesthetic, gender-related, and semiotic meanings can be hung.⁵⁵ He holds that fashion is a code for an entire language with its own meaning and that even if a garment's wearer remains silent, he is actually making a statement. The garment stands for social and political structure, whereas fashion

⁵¹ Sapir 1931: 139–144.

⁵² Geertz 1990: 17, 34, 37, 44, 47, 55.

⁵³ Bogatyrev 1971: 82–85; Bogatyrev 1976: 13–19.

 ⁵⁴ Levi Strauss 1969: 59–62.
⁵⁵ Barthes 1991; Barthes 2006.

influences religion and social values and represents fears, hopes, and aspirations. Scholars have traced the process of identity formation through the link between dress, which supplies a means of detecting changes in moral, religious and political norms, and social conventions. The subject of dress has recently attracted the attention of cultural researchers since it opens up an opportunity to examine their research subject through clothing. Another trend in this field examines costume through the wider perspective of social sciences while considering psychological, social, sociological, and anthropological aspects. Peter Brown (2008), who researched the connection between the body, society, men, women, and theories of sexuality in early Christianity, is one of the pioneers in this field of study. Flugel (1966) discusses the social and psychological significance of garments, their ornamentation, styles, and the power of fashion.⁵⁶ Kroeber (1919) refers to changes in fashion resulting from social forces and media reports on new fashions, dress styles, fabrics, cuts, and ornamentation in different cultures.⁵⁷ A collection of papers edited by Crane (2000) deals with the link between fashion, which is the most visible marker, analysis of the wearer's gender and social status, and the ability to detect identity and social changes through a person's dress.58

Studies of different artistic media emphasize the subject's importance and provide an understanding of the cultural and moral values, social conventions, and status of the wearers. Martin and Cos Miller (2005) note the 'cultural direction' taken in Late Antiquity studies, which shifted the emphasis from a single theoretical or methodological approach, studying cultural, anthropological, and social influences, to exploration through a wide range of theories and methods borrowed from post-structuralism and dealing with the body, feminism, and gender. A number of works employ feminist theories to study the social formation of masculinity. Young (1994) discusses the theory of being a man and masculinity as reflected in The Shepherd of Hermas. Studies dealing with women have indirectly referred to male sexuality. Kampen (1996) deals with the history of gender and maintains that ancient art and literature do not reflect gender norms, but are part of socially determined practices.

Cavallaro and Warwick (1998) examine the relationship between the garment and the body and discuss the question of whether a garment should be treated as part of the body or separately. They also ask whether clothing is designed to fit the body, or if the body has to be adapted to clothing and 'reshaped' with various appendages.⁵⁹ The French philosopher Lipovetsky (1994) addresses the ability of the language of dress to communicate, reflect social changes, and indicate gender differences.⁶⁰ In his book on understanding the media, McLuhan (1994) writes that, when used as a second skin, clothing is making a political statement.⁶¹ Clark (1993) points out that images of clothing enable us to examine the artist's choice and perception through how he dresses the figure and whether a garment emphasizes or conceals the contours of the body.⁶² Batterberry and Batterberry (1982) examine the link between fashion and history. Writing on clothing, Ribeiro (1998, 2003) discusses the connection between the wearer and articles of clothing, the moral aspects of the subject, and its ability to act as a 'barometer' for contemporary trends and feelings. Carter (2003) reviews the work of eight leading dress and fashion theorists including Flugel, Laver, and Barthes. Breward (1998) notes that the study of fashion alongside interdisciplinary topics raises questions about appearance, gender, and social identity that can equally be applied to cultures in ancient times.

A very limited number of works exist on costume in mosaic art. Voight (2000) studies fashions, jewelry, and changes in their design from the 2nd to 6th centuries in the mosaics at Roman Antioch. Zielinski (2010) analyzes the dress of a number of figures in mosaic pavements in Turkey, Jordan, and Israel. Two papers deal with the costume accessories depicted on mosaic murals in the Church of San Vitale in Ravenna. Brown (1979) attributes several of the early-Byzantine accessories to workshops that served the royal court. The author describes the precious gems that symbolized or glorified the court and compares the accessories in the mosaics with museum exhibits of finds belonging to dignitaries of the period that were produced in a court workshop. Empress Theodora's attire and Emperor Justinian's jewelry in the mosaic mural at the Church of San Vitale in Ravenna are analyzed by Kenaan-Kedar (2000), who interprets them as statements of authority. In his discussion of Byzantine aesthetics and its roots, Mathew (1963) attributes significant influence to the Church Fathers in determining the highest ideals, expressed by their views on beauty, light, image, symbol, sign, and art.63

General studies of the mosaics of Eretz Israel, written by Ovadiah and Ovadiah (1987), Roussin (1985), Figueras (2003), Hachlili (2009) and (Talgam 2014) and describing the mosaic pavements' locations have contributed to establishing and consolidating knowledge of the subject,

⁵⁶ Flugel 1966.

⁵⁷ Kroeber 1919: 253-263.

⁵⁸ Crane 2000.

⁵⁹ Cavallaro and Warwick 1998: XV-XVI, 25, 52.

⁶⁰ Lipovetsky 1994.

⁶¹ McLuhan 1994: 119–122.

⁶² Clark 1993: 105–107.

⁶³ Namely Athanasios of Alexandria, Basil, Gregory of Nyssa, John Chrysostom, and the Neoplatonist Dionysius the Areopagite.