THE BLACK SEA IN THE LIGHT OF NEW ARCHAEOLOGICAL DATA AND THEORETICAL APPROACHES

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2ND INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON THE BLACK SEA IN ANTIQUITY HELD IN THESSALONIKI, 18-20 SEPTEMBER 2015

Edited by

Manolis Manoledakis

ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD Gordon House

276 Banbury Road Oxford OX2 7ED

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978 1 78491 510 0 ISBN 978 1 78491 511 7 (e-Pdf)

© Archaeopress and the authors 2016

Cover: General view of the Hacılarobası Tumulus (photo by Cemil Belder)

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.

Contents

| List of figures | iii |
|---|-----|
| List of contributors | vii |
| Introduction Manolis Manoledakis | 1 |
| NORTHERN BLACK SEA | |
| Houses of the Berezan Settlement: Some Observations on the Features of Archaic Residential Buildings . Dmitry Chistov | 7 |
| Polish excavations at Tyritake 2008-2014. A small revolution in archaic architecture | 29 |
| Some observations on defixiones from Olbia and Bosporus | 41 |
| Greeks in the Asiatic Bosporus: New Evidence and Some Thoughts | 45 |
| The Taurians in the Greek literary tradition (5th – 1st centuries BC) | 61 |
| Deukalion the Scythian | 67 |
| David Braund | |
| WESTERN BLACK SEA | |
| Searching for Nomads in Iron Age Thrace | 77 |
| The <i>emporion</i> of Pistiros: Hippodamean foundation and market place | 89 |
| Βόρυζα πόλις Ποντική Miroslav Ivanov Vasilev | 99 |
| Votive and other pottery from a sanctuary of Demeter in Apollonia Pontica | 119 |
| Adornments or amulets? Personal ornaments of Apollonian children in Pontic context | 139 |
| Apollonia Pontica (Sozopol, Bulgaria): the results of the Franco-Bulgarian archaeological mission Alexandre Baralis, Krastina Panayotova, Teodora Bogdanova, Martin Gyuzelev, Dimitar Nedev, Kostantin Gospodinov | 153 |
| Nemesis' Cult and the Arena Spectacles. Evidence from the Black Sea Region | 181 |

SOUTHERN BLACK SEA

| Excavations at Tios: 2006 – 2015 |
|---|
| Sinope, new understandings of the early colony based on recent research at Sinop Kale |
| The rescue excavation of the Hacılarobası tumulus |
| A Preliminary Study on the Roman Period at Komana |
| PONTUS AND THE OUTSIDE WORLD |
| Coins from the Propontis and the Black Sea found during the Metro excavations in Thessaloniki |
| Macedonia and the Black Sea in the era of Phillip II and Alexander the Great |

List of Figures

| iwap of the Black Sea with the most important places that are mentioned in the volume | 4 |
|--|----|
| D. Chistov: Houses of the Berezan Settlement: Some Observations on | |
| the Features of Archaic Residential Buildings | |
| Figure 1. Possible reconstruction of the street network of the Berezan settlement | 8 |
| Figure 2. Examples of random uncoursed masonry plinths (Berezan settlement, sector 'O-Western') | |
| Figure 3. Examples of simple orthostatic socles | |
| Figure 4. Examples of mudbrick walls (Berezan settlement, sector 'O-Western') | 12 |
| Figure 5. Plan of the isolated edifice (Room 18) of the 'colonist' house' type, and remains of rooms 19-20, belonging to the later House 7 | 12 |
| Figure 6. 'Colonist's house' (Room 18). View from the west | |
| Figure 7. Ground plans of selected archaic houses of the Berezan settlement | |
| Figure 8. Plan of House 3, sector 'O-Eastern'. Early phase II-A (third quarter of the 6th century BC) | |
| Figure 9. Plan of House 3, sector 'O-Eastern'. Lather phase II-B (late 6th – early 5th centuries BC) | |
| Figure 10. Eastern part of House 3. Phase II-A. View from the north-east | |
| Figure 11. Western part of House 3. Phase II-A. View from the south-east | |
| Figure 12. House 3, Room 26. View from the north | |
| Figure 13. Niche with the doorway (<i>prothyron</i> ?) leading inside the House 4 from the city street | |
| Figure 14. Semi-basement Room 22 (view from the north) | |
| Figure 16. Structure 62 – rectangular cellar with mudbrick walls. View from the north | |
| Figure 17. Selected ovens, found in the archaic houses within the sector 'O-Western'. 1-4 – domed ovens | |
| Figure 18. 1 – Two adobe barrel cookers <i>in situ</i> within the fireplace of Room 5 (House 3). 2 – Fragment of the wall | |
| of the adobe barrel cooker with the traces of frame, made of twigs on the internal surface. | |
| 3 – Reconstruction of the barrel cooker | 25 |
| | |
| A. Twardecki: Polish excavations at Tyritake 2008-2014. | |
| A small revolution in archaic architecture | |
| Figure 1. Geography of the Kerch Strait (Cimmerian Bosporus) with major Greek cities | 30 |
| Figure 2. Presumed borders of Tyritake and the location of trenches XXVI and XXVII | |
| Figure 3. Closer view to the location of trenches XIV, XXVI and XXVII | 31 |
| Figure 4. Presumed western and northern limits of Tyritake and the general area of interest of the Polish-Ukrainian missions | 21 |
| Figure 5. Closer view to the location of trenches XIV, XXVI and XXVII with the chronological expansion of trench XXVII | |
| Figure 6. Situation in the western and central part of the trench XXVII as of season 2009 | 32 |
| Figure 7. General plan of trench XXVII after season 2009 | |
| Figure 8. General view of the trench XXVII after season 2010 | |
| Figure 9. General plan of the trench XXVII after season 2010 | |
| Figure 10. General view of the trench XXVII after the last active season (2013) | |
| Figure 11. Pit 19 with the horse offerings (2012) | 37 |
| | |
| G. R. Tsetskhladze: Greeks in the Asiatic Bosporus: | |
| New Evidence and Some Thoughts | |
| Figure 1. Map of the Black Sea with major Greek colonies and local peoples | |
| Figure 2. Map of the Taman Peninsula today | |
| Figure 3. Reconstruction of the ancient Taman Peninsula as three islands | |
| Figure 4. Reconstruction of the palaeogeographic situation of the family Perintsula at the time of Greek colonisation Figure 5. Underwater survey of Phanagoria, University of London and the Nautical Archaeology Society, UK, 1998. Site plan | |
| Figure 6. Underwater survey of Phanagoria, University of London and the Nautical Archaeology Society, UK, 1998. | 43 |
| Location map of the stone remains (area T1 in Figure 5) | 49 |
| Figure 7. Underwater survey of Phanagoria, University of London and the Nautical Archaeology Society, UK, 1998. | |
| Axonometric of blocks | |
| Figure 8. Rural settlements on the Taman Peninsula | |
| Figure 9. Traces of land division on the Taman Peninsula | |
| Figure 10. Greek settlements in the Cimmerian Bosporus. (1) 580-560 BC; (2) 550–520 BC | |
| Figure 11. Amphorae from Vyshesteblievskaya 10 | |
| Figure 13. Vestnik 1. Plan of stone building, possibly temple dedicated to Apollo | 54 |
| Figure 14. Settlement Strelka 2. Building remains on the edge of ditch | |
| - Company of the Comp | |

| Table 1. Greek Colonies on the Taman Peninsula | |
|--|------|
| Table 2. Settlements in the North-Eastern Taman Peninsula | 52 |
| J. Bouzek: The emporion of Pistiros: Hippodamean foundation and market place | |
| Figure 1. Fortified territory of Pistiros, curtain preserved and supposed on Esri Digital | 00 |
| Figure 1. Fortified territory of Pistiros, curtain preserved and supposed on Esri Digital | |
| Figure 3. Plan of the <i>emporium</i> , with the preserved city-walls and quarters | |
| Figure 4. Plan of the area of Eastern Gate, with the market place and workshops | |
| Figure 5. Plan of the Southern House | |
| Figure 6. Canal outlet across the city-walls, south of the Eastern Gate | |
| Figure 7. The canal outlet across the curtain of the city-walls | |
| Figure 8. Base of rectangular column from the Southern House | |
| Figure 10. Paving in front of Room nr 2 ('andron') of the Southern House | |
| Figure 11. 1. Official bronze weight inv. 1.2450; 2. Lead sealing | |
| Figure 12. The Vetren tomb | |
| | |
| M. Damyanov: Votive and other pottery from a sanctuary of Demeter in Apollonia Pontica | |
| Figure 1. Aerial view of Skamni Promontory, Sozopol, Bulgaria | 120 |
| Figure 2. Black-figure vases from Deposit 1-2 | |
| Figure 3. Black-figure pottery from Deposit 1-2 | |
| Figure 4. Black-glazed pottery from Deposit 3 | |
| Figure 5. Red-figure and other painted pottery from Deposit 3 | 125 |
| Figure 6. Plain votive vases from Deposit 1-2 (1) and Deposit 3 (2-8) | |
| Figure 7. Fragmentary votive pottery from Deposit 3 | |
| Figure 8. Votive amphoriskoi from Deposit 3 | |
| Figure 10. Votive 'juglets' from Deposit 3 | |
| Figure 11. Votive olpai from Deposit 1-2, without (1-24) and with white slip (16-25) | |
| Figure 12. Other small votive vases from Deposit 1-2. | |
| Figure 13. Black-figure and black-glazed Attic cups from Deposit 1-2 | |
| Figure 14. Banded bowls from Deposit 1-2 | |
| Figure 15. Plain pottery from Deposit 1-2 | |
| Figure 16. Fish-plates from the site at Skamni Promontory | 134 |
| M. Chacheva: Adornments or amulets? Personal ornaments | |
| of Apollonian children in Pontic context | |
| Figure 1. Map of Apollonia Pontica and its necropoleis | 140 |
| Figure 2. Red-figure squat lekythoi with crawling toddlers from necropolis of Apollonia Pontica | |
| Figure 3. Strings from graves of children in the necropolis of Apollonia Pontica, dated from the third quarter | |
| of 5th century BC | 142 |
| Figure 4. Strings with 'pop-eyed' pendants from graves of children in the necropolis of Apollonia Pontica, dated from the first half of 4th century BC | 1/12 |
| Figure 5. Strings with various glass beads, animal teeth, sea-shells and/or pierced coins from graves of children | 143 |
| in the necropolis of Apollonia Pontica, dated from the 4th century BC | 144 |
| Figure 6. Bracelets from graves of children in the necropolis of Apollonia Pontica, dated from the third quarter | |
| of the 5th until the 3rd century BC | 145 |
| Figure 7. Earrings from graves of children in the necropolis of Apollonia Pontica, dated from the middle of | |
| the 5th until the late 4th century BC | |
| Figure 8. Finger rings from graves of children in the necropolis of Apollonia Pontica, dated from the 4th century BC Figure 9. Finger rings from graves of children in the necropolis of Apollonia Pontica | |
| | |
| A. Baralis et al.: Apollonia Pontica (Sozopol, Bulgaria): the results of | |
| the Franco-Bulgarian archaeological mission | |
| Figure 1. Localisation of Apollonia pontica | |
| Figure 3. Aerial view of the Skamni peninsula | |
| Figure 4. Excavations of the cadastral parcel UPI XI-XII 515 | |
| Figure 5. Cadastral parcel UPI XI-XII 515. The courtyard of the second half of the 4th century BC | 250 |
| with the well n°α and the Proto-Byzantine fortification | 158 |
| Figure 6. Archaeological site 'lujna Krepostna Stena'. The potter's kiln of the 5th century BCBC | 160 |
| Figure 7. Section of the potter's kiln | 160 |
| Figure 8. Necropolis of Kalfata. French-Bulgarian Excavations (campaign 2004). Grave SP 334 and 336 | |
| under barrow cover with the enclosure wall n°6. First quarter of the 4th century BC | |
| Figure 9. Necropolis of Kalfata, Campaign 2005, Enclosures of the second quarter of the 4th c. BC | 162 |

| Figures 10 and 11. Partial interpretation of the lidar pictures. Sector of Sveti Ilia | 169 170 172 173 |
|---|---|
| G. Aristodemou: Nemesis' Cult and the Arena Spectacles. | |
| Evidence from the Black Sea Region | |
| Figure 1. Augusta Traiana. Funerary relief of Leukaspis, with gladiatorial combat scenes | |
| Figure 2. Callatis. Statue of Nemesis | |
| Figure 3. Tomis. Votive aedicula with a pair of Nemesis' statues | |
| Figure 5. Apulum. Nemesis statuette | |
| Figure 6. Apulum. Gladiator's relief with Mars. | |
| Figure 7. Apulum. Nemesis <i>exaudientis</i> relief | |
| Figure 8. Apulum. Nemesis statuette | |
| Figure 9. Napoca. Nemesis statuette | |
| Figure 10. Napoca. BOMOC NEMECEΩN | |
| Figure 11. Sarmizegetusa. Nemesis statuette | |
| Figure 12a. Sarmizegetusa. Nemesis sacrificial scene | |
| Figure 12b. Sarmizegetusa. Nemesis sacrificial scene | 191 |
| C. Abassay Francisking at Time 2006 2015 | |
| S. Atasoy: Excavations at Tios: 2006 – 2015 | |
| Figure 1. The Acropolis and the Lower city | |
| Figure 2. The temple and the church on the Acropolis. Aerial picture | |
| Figure 3. The church on the Acropolis | |
| Figure 4. Plan of the temple (Peripteros or pseudo-peripteros?) | |
| Figure 6. The Roman road, paved with stone slabs | |
| Figure 7. The built water chanel | |
| Figure 8. The Roman theatre | 214 |
| | |
| O Dooron, Cinama, many understandings of the early salamy | |
| O. Doonan: Sinope, new understandings of the early colony | |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale | |
| | |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts | |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 226 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 227 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 226 227 227 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 227 227 228 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 227 227 228 228 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 227 227 228 228 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 226 227 227 228 228 228 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 226 227 227 228 228 228 229 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 226 227 227 228 228 228 229 230 231 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall. Figure 2. Stratigraphy of the scarp excavation carried out in 2000 by the Sinop Regional Archaeological Project. \$\frac{1}{2}\$. Yildırım and N. Demirci Bal: The rescue excavation of the Hacılarobası tumulus Figure 1. The monumental rock-cut tomb located in Soğanlı Valley, near Karakoyunlu Village Figure 2. General view of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 3. Detail of the circular krepis wall and entrance of the chamber. Figure 4. Plan of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 5. Restitution of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 6. Section of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 7. The dromos of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 8. The front chamber of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 9. The main chamber of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 10. The amphorae found in the tomb chamber Figure 11. One handled pitcher found in the tomb chamber Figure 12. The bowl found near the krepis wall | 219 226 226 227 227 228 228 229 231 231 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 226 227 228 228 229 231 231 232 232 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 226 227 228 228 229 231 231 232 233 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 226 227 228 228 229 231 231 232 233 |
| Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall | 219 226 226 227 228 228 229 231 231 232 233 |
| Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall. Figure 2. Stratigraphy of the scarp excavation carried out in 2000 by the Sinop Regional Archaeological Project. \$. Yıldırım and N. Demirci Bal: The rescue excavation of the Hacılarobası tumulus Figure 1. The monumental rock-cut tomb located in Soğanlı Valley, near Karakoyunlu Village Figure 2. General view of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 3. Detail of the circular krepis wall and entrance of the chamber. Figure 4. Plan of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 5. Restitution of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 6. Section of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 7. The dromos of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 8. The front chamber of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 9. The main chamber of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 10. The amphorae found in the tomb chamber Figure 11. One handled pitcher found in the tomb chamber Figure 12. The bowl found near the krepis wall Figure 13. Glass unguentarium fragments found near the krepis wall Figure 15. One of the subterranean tomb chambers located in the vicinity of the Hacılarobası Tumulus D. B. Erciyas and M. N. Tatbul: A Preliminary Study on | 219 226 226 227 228 228 229 231 231 232 233 |
| Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall Figure 2. Stratigraphy of the scarp excavation carried out in 2000 by the Sinop Regional Archaeological Project \$. Yıldırım and N. Demirci Bal: The rescue excavation Of the Hacılarobası tumulus Figure 1. The monumental rock-cut tomb located in Soğanlı Valley, near Karakoyunlu Village Figure 2. General view of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 3. Detail of the circular krepis wall and entrance of the chamber Figure 4. Plan of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 5. Restitution of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 6. Section of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 7. The dromos of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 8. The front chamber of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 9. The main chamber of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 9. The main chamber of the Hacılarobası Tumulus Figure 10. The amphorae found in the tomb chamber Figure 11. One handled pitcher found in the tomb chamber Figure 12. The bowl found near the krepis wall Figure 13. Glass unguentarium fragments found near the krepis wall Figure 14. The wall painting found on the wall opposite to the tomb chamber Figure 15. One of the subterranean tomb chambers located in the vicinity of the Hacılarobası Tumulus D. B. Erciyas and M. N. Tatbul: A Preliminary Study on the Roman Period at Komana | 219 226 226 227 228 228 229 231 231 232 233 233 |
| Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall Figure 2. Stratigraphy of the scarp excavation carried out in 2000 by the Sinop Regional Archaeological Project | 219 226 226 227 228 228 230 231 231 232 233 234 |
| Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall. Figure 2. Stratigraphy of the scarp excavation carried out in 2000 by the Sinop Regional Archaeological Project | 219 226 226 227 228 228 230 231 231 232 233 234 |
| Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall. Figure 2. Stratigraphy of the scarp excavation carried out in 2000 by the Sinop Regional Archaeological Project | 219 226 226 227 228 228 230 231 231 232 233 234 2440 2441 242 |
| based on recent research at Sinop Kale Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall Figure 2. Stratigraphy of the scarp excavation carried out in 2000 by the Sinop Regional Archaeological Project \$. Yıldırım and N. Demirci Bal: The rescue excavation of the Hacılarobası tumulus Figure 1. The monumental rock-cut tomb located in Soğanlı Valley, near Karakoyunlu Village Figure 2. General view of the Hacılarobası Tumulus. Figure 3. Detail of the circular krepis wall and entrance of the chamber. Figure 4. Plan of the Hacılarobası Tumulus. Figure 5. Restitution of the Hacılarobası Tumulus. Figure 6. Section of the Hacılarobası Tumulus. Figure 7. The dromos of the Hacılarobası Tumulus. Figure 8. The front chamber of the Hacılarobası Tumulus. Figure 9. The main chamber of the Hacılarobası Tumulus. Figure 10. The amphorae found in the tomb chamber. Figure 11. One handled pitcher found in the tomb chamber. Figure 12. The bowl found near the krepis wall. Figure 13. Glass unguentarium fragments found near the krepis wall. Figure 14. The wall painting found on the wall opposite to the tomb chamber. Figure 15. One of the subterranean tomb chambers located in the vicinity of the Hacılarobası Tumulus. D. B. Erciyas and M. N. Tatbul: A Preliminary Study on the Roman Period at Komana Figure 2. Aerial view of Hamamtepe, Komana. Figure 3. An Ionic column capital found built in a medieval wall. Figure 4. Tile fragments. | 219 226 226 227 228 228 230 231 231 232 233 234 244 242 242 |
| Figure 1. Excavations at Sinop kale 2015. Operation 1 is a 5 x 10 trench that exposes pre-colonial contexts on its southwestern half and early colonial on its northeastern side near the Hellenistic wall. Figure 2. Stratigraphy of the scarp excavation carried out in 2000 by the Sinop Regional Archaeological Project | 219 226 226 227 228 228 230 231 231 232 233 234 244 242 243 243 |

| Figure 7. Roman statue built in the Byzantine fortifications | 244 |
|---|--------------|
| Figure 8. Roman ceramics from Nüğücük, a nearby site discovered during surveys | |
| P. Adam-Veleni: Macedonia and the Black Sea in the era of Phillip II and Alexande | er the Great |
| Figure 1. Scythian arrow case from the royal tomb in ancient Aegae (modern Vergina) | 284 |
| Figure 2. Farmstead in Asprovalta | 285 |
| Figure 3. Farmstead in Crimea | 286 |
| Figure 4. Detail from a house in Pella, with colourful decoration | 287 |
| Figures 5a and b. Silver vases from the Black Sea coasts and Derveni Tombs | 287 |
| Figures 6a and b. Gold earrings from Crimea and Derveni | 288 |

List of contributors

Polyxeni Adam-Veleni

Archaeological Museum of Thessaloniki Thessaloniki, Greece pveleni@culture.gr

Anna Argyri

Archaeologist of the 'Thessaloniki Metro' – Joint Construction Venture, Thessaloniki, Greece amargyri@gmail.com

Georgia Aristodemou

School of Humanities, International Hellenic University, Thessaloniki, Greece g.aristodemou@ihu.edu.gr

Sümer Atasoy

University of Karabük, Balıklarkayası, 78050 Karabük, Turkey sumerata@hotmail.com

Nimet Demirci Bal

Kastamonu Museum Kastamonu, Turkey nimetyavuz@hotmail.com

Alexandre Baralis

Department of Greek, Etruscan, and Roman Antiquities, Musée du Louvre, 75058 Paris Cedex 01, France Alexandre.Baralis@louvre.fr

Alexey Belousov

Department of Ancient Languages, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia abelv@yandex.ru

Ioannis Birtsas

Archaeologist of the 'Thessaloniki Metro' – Joint Construction Venture, Thessaloniki, Greece

Teodora Bogdanova

Municipal Institute of Culture-Museum Centre, Khan Krum sq. 2, 8130 Sozopol, Bulgaria

Jan Bouzek

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic Jan.Bouzek@ff.cuni.cz

David Braund

University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom D.C.Braund@exeter.ac.uk

Mila Chacheva

Department of Classical Archaeology, National Institute of Archaeology with Museum – Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (NIAM – BAS), Sofia, Bulgaria mila.chacheva@gmail.com

Dmitry Chistov

State Hermitage museum, Department of Greek and Roman Antiquities
St. Petersburg, Russia
d.chistov@gmail.com

Margarit Damyanov

Department of Classical Archaeology, National Institute of Archaeology with Museum – Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (NIAM – BAS), Sofia, Bulgaria mmdamyanov@gmail.com

Owen Doonan

California State University Northridge Northridge, CA, USA owen.doonan@csun.edu

Deniz Burcu Erciyas

Graduate Program in Settlement Archaeology, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey berciyas@metu.edu.tr

Kostantin Gospodinov

Regional Historical Museum of Burgas, Slavianska st. 69, 8000 Burgas, Bulgaria

Martin Gyuzelev

Regional Historical Museum of Burgas, Slavianska st. 69, 8000 Burgas, Bulgaria

Manolis Manoledakis

School of Humanities, International Hellenic University Thessaloniki, Greece m.manoledakis@ihu.edu.gr

Dimitar Nedev

Municipal Institute of Culture-Museum Centre, Khan Krum sq. 2, 8130 Sozopol, Bulgaria

Krastina Panayotova

National Institute of Archaeology and Museum, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Saborna st. 2, 1000 Sofia, Bulgaria kpanayotova@abv.bg

Adela Sobotkova

Department of Ancient History, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia adela@fedarch.org

Mustafa Nuri Tatbul

Graduate Program in Settlement Archaeology, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey tatbul@metu.edu.tr

Gocha Tsetskhladze

Oxford University, United Kingdom Nottingham University, United Kingdom International Hellenic University, Greece University of Bucharest, Romania g.tsetskhladze63@gmail.com

Alfred Twardecki

Collection of Ancient and East Christian Art, National Museum in Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland ATwardecki@mnw.art.pl

Miroslav Ivanov Vasilev

Independent scholar, Troyan, Bulgaria divi iulius@abv.bg

Ioannis Xvdopoulos

School of History and Archaeology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece ixydopou@gmail.com

Sahin Yıldırım

Department of Classical Archaeology, University of Karabük, Balıklarkayası, 78050 Karabük, Turkey sahinyildirim@live.com

Introduction

Manolis Manoledakis

In 2012, an international workshop on the Black Sea in Antiquity was organised by the International Hellenic University in Thessaloniki. The workshop was organised in the context of the postgraduate programme of the University's School of Humanities 'Master of Arts in Black Sea Cultural Studies', launched in 2010 as the first postgraduate programme worldwide with an interdisciplinary approach, dedicated exclusively to the Black Sea region.

A major aim of that first workshop was to bring together mainly young scholars from all over the world who are engaged in research in the specific field. Thus, sixteen young scholars (Doctors or PhD students) from eleven countries participated in the workshop, the proceedings of which were published in 2013 by Archaeopress in the British Archaeological Reports series (S2498), under the title 'Exploring the Hospitable Sea. Proceedings of the International Workshop on the Black Sea in Antiquity held in Thessaloniki, 21-23 September 2012'. Indeed, for several of the participants, it was their first international publication.

The success of the workshop as well as its impact on many Black Sea scholars led to our promising that we would organise another such conference in future. Indeed, between 18-20 September 2015, the 'Second International Workshop on the Black Sea in Antiquity' was organised at the International Hellenic University, under the title 'The Black Sea in the Light of New Archaeological Data and Theoretical Approaches' by our MA programme which remains the only university programme related to this subject and has until now attracted students from 13 countries. The proceedings of this workshop are presented here.

The main focus remains on young scholars, but this time we decided to also open up the workshop to senior scholars, most of whom had encouraged our efforts from the very beginning, while two of our postgraduate programme's graduates also participated with their first international paper.

Similar to the first workshop's proceedings volume, here also, the book is divided into units dedicated to most aspects of Black Sea research, while at the end there is also a small unit related to connections between the Black Sea and northern Greece. The majority of papers present archaeological material that has come to light during the last few years, in excavations that have been taking place

in several parts of Pontus as well as in Macedonia. On the other hand, there are papers that present theoretical approaches to historical issues concerning the Black Sea, its local peoples, cultural aspects or specific sites. Another difference from the previous volume is that, while this time there are more contributors (19 papers by 28 authors), incidentally the Eastern Black Sea is not represented, a fact that does not imply an intention or a minor concern about this area. We regret that three of our participants were not able to submit their papers; however, the audience of the workshop gained a lot by listening to them.

The volume begins with papers concentrating on the northern Black Sea area. To start with, Dmitry Chistov leads us to the much-discussed archaic Ionian colony situated within the area of the modern Berezan Island. examining some features of the archaic residential buildings. One of the conclusions he reaches is that the houses of the Berezan settlement are distinguished by their rather large dimensions in comparison to the known residential structures of other urban centres in the North Pontic region during the archaic period. More to the east, in Tyritake, a joint project started in 2007, with the participation of the National Museum in Warsaw, the Kerchen Republican Historical-Cultural Museum in Ukraine, and the Institute of Archaeology of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, which in 2013 stopped operating, due to political events. Alfred Twardecki presents some of the results of this project, laying stress mainly on archaeological and epigraphic sources. Some observations on defixiones from Olbia and the Bosporan Kingdom follow by Alexey Belousov, a specialist on documents of this kind. The observations deal with materials, forms and language formulae of the defixiones. Gocha Tsetskhladze focuses on the Taman Peninsula aiming at a brief survey of Greek settlements in and around the north-east of the area in light of the work of the on-going Russo-German expedition and the activities of underwater archaeology there.

Finally, two essays provide us with some new theoretical approaches: Ioannis Xydopoulos examines how the Taurians were presented by the Greeks in the latter's literary tradition from the 5th to the 1st century BC. As the author points out, there was an effort by the Greek writers to 're-categorise' the Taurians, neither quite as Greeks nor entirely as exotic barbarians, an effort which he tries to explain. David Braund stresses the fact that, although the mythical hero Deukalion is always a

Greek in classical accounts, in Lucian's *On the Syrian Goddess* he is said to be a Scythian. The reason for this is elucidated with the help of a tradition attested by Herodorus, whereby Deukalion was the son of a certain Prometheus who was a Scythian king.

Afterwards, we move to the western side of the Black Sea. Adela Sobotkova searches for nomads in Iron Age Thrace, studying new paleo-ecological, paleodiet, and settlement data produced by the Tundzha Regional Archaeological Project, on which the author has been working for several years. These data, when complemented by historical and ethnographic sources, show that the necessary conditions for nomadic pastoralism were not present in Thrace during the 1st millennium BC. Next follows a focus on a specific site of central Thrace, the emporion of Pistiros, for which Jan Bouzek presents some data on urban planning and architecture. Moving to the coast of Thrace, Miroslav Ivanov Vasilev tries to localize the Pontic city of Boryza, which was mentioned only by Hecataeus and Stephanus of Byzantium. Moreover, the author tries to examine some aspects of the site's history.

We then focus on Apollonia Pontica. Margarit Damyanov deals with some finds from a sanctuary in this city. These are votive and other pottery as well as fragmentary terracotta figurines suggesting votive practices, from which he deduces that the sanctuary must have been dedicated to Demeter (and Kore). We remain at Apollonia and pass to its necropolis, where Mila Chacheva presents a broader overview of the personal ornaments found in children's graves of the classical and Hellenistic periods, trying to answer whether these were simply adornments, or they carried additional meaning. The next paper, written by Alexandre Baralis, Krastina Panayotova, Teodora Bogdanova, Martin Gyuzelev, Dimitar Nedev and Kostandin Gospodinov, discusses the results of a joint archaeological mission in Apollonia (and Orgame), that was launched in 2010 by the Aix-Marseille University, in cooperation with the National Archaeological Institute of Sofia and the Romanian Academy, and is now headed by the Louvre Museum and granted funding by the French national research agency and the French Foreign office. Based on a multidisciplinary approach, this programme seeks to highlight the several stages followed in the formation of Apollonia's territory, as well as the internal organization of the city's wider area.

A paper that is geographically expanded to include both the western and the northern Black Sea areas is that of Georgia Aristodemou, who writes about Nemesis, the famous Greek personification which soon evolved into a powerful deity of the Graeco-Roman pantheon, while her cult gained significant popularity during the Roman period and late antiquity. The author examines archaeological and epigraphic evidence on Nemesis' appearance in spectacle monuments from Thrace to the Bosporan Kingdom.

Finally, we move to the southern Black Sea littoral, where the results of recent excavations at specific sites prevail. Sümer Atasoy, director for many years of the excavations at Tios, gives an overview of the most important results of the only proper excavations of a Greek colony on the southern coast of the Black Sea, so that the reader gains a general idea of the ancient site. Owen Doonan tries to synthesize the current state of archaeological and historical evidence for the early colony of Sinope and its pre-colonial antecedents up to the 5th century BC. Moving to the inland of the southern Black Sea, Sahin Yıldırım and Nimet Demirci Bal present the results of their rescue excavations on the Hacılarobası tumulus in the wider area of Karabük. The tumulus, dated from the 1st century BC, belonged to Paphlagonia, and had almost been destroyed by illegal excavations. D. Burcu Erciyas and Mustafa N. Tatbul, who have been working at the ancient city of Komana for several years, provide a study of both archaeological and epigraphic evidence from the site, in order to further clarify the Roman period at Komana.

The last part of this volume is dedicated revealing connections between Pontus and northern Greece. Anna Argyri, Ioannis Birtsas and Manolis Manoledakis publish in detail the 57 coins from the Proportis and the Black Sea that were found at the excavations of the Metro of Thessaloniki over the past few years. These coins were found both in sites of the ancient city proper and in the cemeteries. They come from Constantinople, Cyzicus, Nicomedia, Heraclea, Troas, Bithynia and Pantikapaion, and are dated from the third and fourth centuries. Their publication is followed by an interpretation of them in their historical context. In the last paper of the volume, Polyxeni Adam-Veleni attempts to answer several questions concerning the degree to which the Greek colonies of the Black Sea were influenced in terms of both society and politics by the Macedonian kings Philipp II and Alexander the Great.

Thus, the reader of this volume will be able to read about new archaeological results from excavators of some very important Black Sea sites, such as Apollonia, Tyritake, Tios, etc., focus on specific categories of excavation finds or constructions, such as votive pottery from Apollonia, Hellenistic tombs of Paphlagonia or archaic houses of Berezan, but also encounter new theories and ideas about historical, cultural, geographical or social aspects of life in the Black Sea in antiquity. All these indicate once again the impressive acceleration of the archaeological and historical research that is being observed in the last few decades in the Black Sea, which continues to attract the unfailing interest of scholars from around the world. I hope that this workshop will contribute its 'two cents' in increasing our knowledge and understanding of the

still quite unknown but always charming ancient history of the Black Sea region.

Finally, I would like to thank several people and institutions for their valuable contribution, in many different ways, in organising the workshop as well as in the editing of its volume: the administration of the School of Humanities and the International Hellenic University, as well as the 'Alexander Onassis Public Benefit Foundation' for supporting and financing the

workshop; the staff of the School and the University who have dedicated a lot of time and effort to organizing and arranging all the procedural details; Anna Papadopoulou and Maria Varsam, who carefully read all the papers and carried out a second and third proofreading of them; and of course many thanks to 'Archaeopress. Publishers of Academic Archaeology', especially David Davison and Rajka Makjanic, who once again showed a gratifying interest in publishing the proceedings of our second workshop.



MAP OF THE BLACK SEA WITH THE MOST IMPORTANT PLACES THAT ARE MENTIONED IN THE VOLUME (CREATED BY THE EDITOR).