

1

Burials and Society in Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Ireland

Cormac McSparron



ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD Summertown Pavilion 18-24 Middle Way Summertown Oxford OX2 7LG

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978-1-78969-631-8 ISBN 978-1-78969-632-5 (e-Pdf)

© Archaeopress and Cormac McSparron 2020

Cover illustration: D.M. Waterman and J. Waddell 1993. A Bronze Age cist cemetery at Stranagalwilly, Co. Tyrone, *Ulster Journal of Archaeology*, 56: 44-60.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owners. This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Contents

| List of Figures | iv |
|--|-----|
| List of Tables | vi |
| Foreword and Acknowledgements | vii |
| Chapter 1 Introduction | 1 |
| Why study the social structure of the Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age by an analysis of the s | |
| tradition? | 1 |
| Aims and Objectives | 1 |
| Definitions | 2 |
| The Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age | 2 |
| The single burial tradition | |
| Social structure | |
| The structure of the book | |
| Introduction | |
| Anthropological approaches to the study of death and funerary ritual | |
| The sociologists | 4 |
| Chapter 2 Theoretical Approaches to the study of Death, Funerary Rituals and Social Structure | |
| The functionalists | |
| Structuralism | |
| Modern anthropological studies of death ritual | |
| Archaeological approaches to the study of death and funerary ritual | |
| The 'New Archaeology' and its contribution to the study of death and funerary ritual | |
| Critics of the 'New Archaeology' and their approach to the study of death and funerary ritual | |
| The new synthesis | |
| Modern approaches to the study of social structure | |
| Un-ranked or egalitarian societies | |
| Ranked societies | |
| Stratified societies | |
| | |
| Chapter 3 Ireland in the Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Age | |
| Introduction | |
| The archaeology of the Irish Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age | |
| The Late Chalcolithic / Early Bronze Age environment | |
| Ireland at the cusp of the Chalcolithic | |
| Into the Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age | |
| Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age settlement | |
| Non-funerary rituals of the Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age in Ireland | |
| Megalithic burial rituals of the Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age in Ireland | |
| Wedge tombs and Atlantic Europe Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age metallurgy in Ireland | |
| Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age metallurgy in Ireland Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age metallurgy in Ireland | |
| Daggers | |
| Halberds | |
| Gold in Early Bronze Age Ireland | |
| Lunulae | |
| Provenance of Irish gold | |
| Is there continuity between Late Neolithic and Chalcolithic Ireland? | |
| The transition from the Chalcolithic to the Early Bronze Age | |
| Previous research on the Early Bronze Age single burial tradition of Ireland | |
| Summary | |
| Chapter 4 Methodology | |
| CHADICI T MELHUUUUY V | |

| Introduction | |
|---|-----|
| Selection of sites for study | |
| Coding and recording the data in a database | |
| The database fields | |
| Assessing ranking by an examination of burial ritual | 41 |
| Chapter 5 Radiocarbon Dating the single burial tradition | 44 |
| Introduction | |
| Methodology | 45 |
| Constructing models in OxCal 4.2 | |
| Criteria for excluding dates from the radiocarbon analysis | 46 |
| Other dates excluded from the analysis | |
| Analysis of the radiocarbon dates from the Late Chalcolithic / Early Bronze Age single burial tradition | |
| Dating the single burial tradition across Ireland | |
| Dating single burial tradition by province | |
| Dating single burial tradition funerary pottery | |
| Dating aspects of pottery decoration | |
| Dating techniques of decoration | |
| Defined / undefined decoration | |
| The chronology of funerary ritual and grave attributes | |
| Dating cist and polygonal cist burials | |
| Dating pits | |
| Examining cist dates by province | |
| Dating inhumation and cremation | |
| Dating graves which contain no pottery, pot-less cists and Pits | |
| Conclusions | |
| Phasing the Irish single burial tradition | |
| Chapter 6 Analysis | |
| Introduction | |
| Relative frequency of grave types, their size and shape | |
| Frequency of basic grave classes | |
| Burial in the landscape | |
| Distance to water of single burial tradition burial sites | |
| Sub-soil types of single burial tradition burial | |
| Aspects of ritual | |
| Pygmy vessels | |
| Human remains from single burial tradition burials | |
| Frequencies of number of individuals found in burials | |
| Position of the body in inhumation burials of the Early Bronze Age | |
| Geographic spread of Early Bronze Age funerary ritual practices | |
| Distribution of inhumation and cremation by province | |
| Distribution of dasic grave type (cist / pit/ polygonal cist / patch) by province | |
| Sex and single burial tradition burial practices | |
| Sex and pottery class and the presence of a pot in the grave | |
| Sex and pottery decoration | |
| Sex and side upon which the body is resting | |
| Sex and direction head is facing | |
| Sex and cremation / inhumation | |
| The age of human remains at death and single burial tradition burial practices | |
| Age and cist size | |
| Age and multiple burial | |
| Pottery and aspects of the single burial tradition | 101 |
| The position of the grave within the cemetery | |
| Frequency of burials by quadrant in single burial tradition burial cairns | |
| Pottery class and position in a cemetery | |
| Grave type and position in cairn | 104 |
| Defined decoration / undefined decoration on funerary vessels and their position within cemeteries. | 105 |

| Sex and position within a cairn or cemetery | |
|--|-----|
| Grave goods and status | 105 |
| Grave good class and age | 105 |
| Grave good class and sex | 106 |
| Grave good class and inhumation / cremation | 106 |
| Grave good class and pottery class | 106 |
| Grave good class and cist / pit / polygonal cist | |
| Grave good class and position in a cairn or cemetery | |
| Vessels with defined / undefined decoration and grave good class | |
| Grave good class compared with grave type and presence or absence of a pot or urn | |
| Multiple burials | |
| Numbers of multiple burials | |
| Numbers of individuals interred in multiple burials | |
| Frequencies of sexes interred in multiple burials | |
| The relationship between multiple burial and grave type | |
| The relationship between multiple burial and cremation / inhumation | |
| The relationship between multiple burial and the numbers of funerary vessels present in the grav | |
| class of accompanying vessel | |
| Summary | |
| Burial Ritual | |
| Human remains | |
| Geographic spread of aspects of single burial tradition burials | |
| Sex and burial ritual | |
| Age and burial ritual | |
| Pottery decoration and burial ritual | |
| Burial position within cairns and cemetery mounds | |
| Grave good class | |
| Multiple burials | 112 |
| Chapter 7 Analysing Complexity in the Irish single burial tradition | 113 |
| Introduction | |
| A Chronological Model of the development of the Late Chalcolithic / Early Bronze Age single burial tradi | |
| Phase A | |
| Phase B | |
| Phase C | |
| Complexity and status in late Chalcolithic / Early Bronze Age Ireland | 116 |
| Looking at complexity and social structure as revealed in single burial tradition burials in greater | |
| Phase C clusters and associations with the age of the interred | |
| Phase C clusters and associations with the sex of the interred | |
| Phase C clusters and placement in a cairn / cemetery mound | |
| Phase C clusters and pottery class | |
| Chapter 8 Discussion | 137 |
| Phase A social structure | 137 |
| Phase B social structure | |
| Phase C social structure | |
| The significance of the correlations between Phase C clusters and age and sex | |
| Continuity and change in the single burial tradition | |
| Chapter 9 Conclusions | |
| Directions for further research | |
| | |
| Bibliography | 156 |

List of Figures

| Figure 1.1 | Photo of a cist containing an inhumation and accompanied by a tripartite bowl from Church Bay, Rathlin, Co. | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|
| F: 1 0 | AntrimPhoto of an inverted vase urn within a cist from Knockroe, Co. Tyrone | |
| Figure 1.2 Figure 2.1 | Diagrammatic summary of the interrelationships of degree of ranking, access to the economic base and socia | ∠ al |
| rigure 2.1 | evolutionary typology. | 11/ |
| Figure 3.1 | Cloghnagalla, Co. Derry / Londonderry wedge tomb after Herring | 20 |
| Figure 3.2 | Bowl and Vase forms; a. simple bowl from Tonyglaskan, b. bipartite bowl from Straid, c. Necked bipartite bo | 20 w/l |
| 116416 3.2 | from Dungate, d. tripartite bowl, e. ribbed bowl from Altanagh, f. tripartite vase from Tremogue, g. bipartite | e |
| Figure 2.2 | vase from Drumnakeel Examples of vase and encrusted urns, a. vase urn from Drumanakeel and b. encrusted urn from Drumanakee | 27 |
| Figure 3.3 | Examples of vase and encrusted urns, a. vase urn from Drumanakeer and o. encrusted urn from Drumanakee Examples of a cordoned urn a. from Kilcroagh and collared urn b. from Lisnagat | |
| Figure 3.4 Figure 4.1 | Location map of the 206 sites in the database | 21 |
| Figure 4.1 | Dividing cairns / cemetery mounds into quadrants. | 35 |
| Figure 4.3 | Decorative Motif Elements, worked example 'Herringbone- Left, Incised Line, Incised Line Defined' | 37 |
| Figure 5.1 | A Diagram of the radiocarbon date ranges of the main attributes of the Late Chalcolithic / Early Bronze Age | |
| | single burial tradition. Light grey is the 95.4% Start/ End Range, mid grey the 68.2% Start / End Range, black tarea within the Start and End ranges. | |
| Figure 5.2 | OxCal 4.2 multiplot of the calibrated ranges of dates associated with vessels displaying Defined Decorative | 44 |
| | Motifs. Red line shows a best fit line through the calibrated ranges. | 51 |
| Figure 5.3 | OxCal 4.2 multiplot of the calibrated ranges of dates associated with cist burials. Red line shows a best fit lin | |
| T' 5. | through the calibrated ranges. | 52 |
| Figure 5.4 | OxCal 4.2 multiplot of the calibrated ranges of dates associated with inhumation burials. Red line shows a be | est |
| F: | fit line through the calibrated range | 54 |
| Figure 5.5 | Phasing scheme of the single burial tradition, with Needham's scheme for the British Chalcolithic and Early | ɔɔ , |
| Figure 5.6 | Bronze Age and O'Brien's Irish Chalcolithic scheme | |
| Figure 5.7 | Oxcal 4.2 multiplot showing the radiocarbon dates of all vessels with reliable dates in the data set. | 57 |
| Figure 6.1 | Frequency of single burial tradition grave type | 60 |
| Figure 6.2 | Histogram of cist areas | 61 |
| Figure 6.3 | Histogram of pit areas. | |
| Figure 6.4 | Histogram of pit areas. | 61 |
| Figure 6.5 | Histogram of capstone areas. | 62 |
| Figure 6.6 | Histogram of polygonal cists areas, for those polygonal cists which do not contain a funerary urn | |
| Figure 6.7 | Histogram of polygonal cists areas, for those polygonal cists which contain a funerary urn. | |
| Figure 6.8 | Height above sea level of Early Bronze Age burial sites | 63 |
| Figure 6.9 | Sites in the database displayed on a background relief map of Ireland. Westmeath group circled in red, Galwa | ıy / |
| Č | Mayo group circled in blue. | 63 |
| Figure 6.10 | Distance to water of single burial tradition burial sites | 63 |
| Figure 6.11 | Direction of slope of single burial tradition burial sites | 64 |
| Figure 6.12 | Type of subsoil at single burial tradition burial sites | 64 |
| Figure 6.13 | Frequencies of graves containing cremation and inhumation burial, empty graves and graves containing bo inhumations and cremations. | oth 64 |
| Figure 6.14 | Distribution map of flint flakes from the database | |
| Figure 6.15 C | Cremation burial of an adult male from Carricknab, Co. Down a. burial cist, b. ribbed bowl, c. bronze awl, Thom | |
| | Type 1b d. two flint scrapers e. bronze dagger, Waddell's Class 2 ' Corkey Type' | |
| Figure 6.16 | Distribution map of flint scrapers and arrowheads from the database. | 72 |
| Figure 6.17 | Distribution map of flint knives from the database | |
| Figure 6.18 | Distribution of daggers and knife-daggers in Ireland | |
| Figure 6.19 | Distribution map of razors from the database. | |
| Figure 6.20 | Distribution map of bronze awls from the database. | |
| Figure 6.21 | Tremoge, Co. Tyrone, the cremated remains of an older female, found with a bipartite vase, accompanied by | |
| | awl of Thomas' Type 2 and a ceramic loom weight. | 80 |
| Figure 6.22 | Distribution map of bone tubes, toggles and cylinders from the database. | 81 |
| Figure 6.23 | Distribution map of bone and copper / copper alloy, pins and needles from the database. | 83 |
| Figure 6.24 | Distribution map of buttons and beads from the database. | |
| Figure 6.25 | Pie chart showing relative frequencies of number of individuals buried per grave | |
| Figure 6.26 | Relative frequencies of age categories in single burial tradition burials | 87 |
| Figure 6.27 | Relative proportions of male, female and indeterminate human remains from single burial tradition burials. | |
| Figure 6.28 | Side / part of body which human remains are resting on | |
| Figure 6.29 | Orientation of the body in single burial tradition inhumation burials. | |
| Figure 6.30 Figure 6.31 | Stature of single burial tradition female inhumation burials | 9ه مم |
| 1 18m c 0.31 | Stature of single our at tradition inface influmation our lab. | 90 |

| Figure 6.32 | Distribution of inhumation burials from the database. | 90 |
|--------------|---|----|
| Figure 6.33 | Distribution of cremation burials from the database | 91 |
| Figure 6.34 | Distribution map of cists from the database | 92 |
| Figure 6.35 | Distribution of pit burials from the database. | 92 |
| Figure 6.36 | Distribution of polygonal cists from the database | 93 |
| Figure 6.37 | Distribution of bone patches from the database | 93 |
| Figure 6.38 | Distribution map of simple bowls from the database. | 94 |
| Figure 6.39 | Distribution map of bipartite bowls from the database | 95 |
| Figure 6.40 | Distribution map of tripartite bowls from the database | 95 |
| Figure 6.41 | Distribution map of ribbed bowls from the database | 96 |
| Figure 6.42 | Distribution map of bipartite and tripartite vases from the database. | 96 |
| Figure 6.43 | Distribution map of collared urns in the database. | |
| Figure 6.44 | Distribution map of cordoned urns from the database | 98 |
| Figure 6.45 | Distribution map of encrusted urns and vase urns from the database. | 99 |
| Figure 6.46 | Frequency of burials in cemeteries by quadrant | 03 |
| Figure 6.47 | Frequencies of burial by QuadFactor 11 | 03 |
| Figure 6.48 | Percentage frequencies of Burial by QuadFactor 21 | 03 |
| Figure 6.49 | Relative frequency of burials of individuals to multiple burials, apparent burials with no human remains found | £ |
| | within them | |
| Figure 6.50 | Relative frequency of individuals buried in a multiple burial as opposed to those buried in an individual burial1 | 08 |
| Figure 6.51 | Frequencies of numbers of individuals buried in graves of the single burial tradition | 08 |
| Figure 6. 52 | Frequencies of the different sexes in graves with multiple burials | 09 |
| Figure 6.53 | Frequency of different combinations of sexes in multiple burials | 09 |
| Figure 7.1 | Plot of the log of the possible number of burial attribute combinations against single burial tradition Phase | 17 |
| Figure 7.2 | Cluster analysis of Phase A burials | 21 |
| Figure 7.3 | Phase B Clusters | 25 |
| Figure 7.4 | Phase C Cluster Analysis | |
| Figure 8.1 | The Ranking Pyramid: Numbers of individuals for each status value in Phase C | 40 |
| Figure 8.2 | Map of Class 2 knife - daggers and Class 3 daggers, overlain on map of river catchment areas 1 | 50 |
| | | |

List of Tables

| Table 3.1 | Waddell's classification of Irish Daggers22 |
|------------|---|
| Table 4.1 | Qualitative descriptors of statistical significance used in the text and their quantitative equivalents39 |
| Table 5.1 | Radiocarbon dates excluded from aspects of the analysis |
| Table 5.2 | OxCal 4.2 calibrated ranges for the Start and End of the single burial tradition48 |
| Table 5.3 | Oxcal 4.2 calibrated ranges for the single burial tradition, by province |
| Table 5.4 | Brindley's PCDR and FCDR s |
| Table 5.5 | OxCal 4.2 calibrated ranges for the Start and End dates of single burial tradition funerary vessels at 95.4% and |
| | 68.2% |
| Table 5.6 | OxCal 4.2 calibrate ranges for the start and end dates of decorative techniques from single burial tradition |
| | funerary vessels at 95.4% and 68.2%, using dating samples directly associated with the vessels50 |
| Table 5.7 | OxCal 4.2 calibrated ranges for the Start and End dates of Defined / Undefined decoration from single burial |
| | tradition funerary vessels at 95.4% and 68.2%, using dating samples directly associated with the vessels51 |
| Table 5.8 | OxCal 4.2 calibrated ranges for the start and end dates of cists and pits at 95.4% and 68.2%, using dating samples |
| | directly associated with the vessels53 |
| Table 5.9 | OxCal 4.2 |
| | calibrated ranges for the start and end dates of cists by province at 95.4% and 68.2%, using dating samples |
| | directly associated with the vessels53 |
| Table 5.10 | OxCal 4.2 date ranges for the start and end of single burial tradition inhumation and cremation54 |
| Table 5.11 | OxCal 4.2 date ranges for the start and end of pot-less burials |
| Table 6.1 | Dimensions of small blades and razors from the database |
| Table 7.1 | Phase A burial attribute combinations |
| Table 7.2 | Coding status / energy expenditure in Phase A burial attribute combinations |
| Table 7.3 | Phase A status values for burial attribute combinations |
| Table 7.5 | Coding energy expenditure / status in Phase B burial attribute combinations |
| Table 7.6 | Phase B status values for main burial attribute combinations |
| Table 7.7 | A summary of the Phase B clusters, <i>status value</i> , correlations with age, sex and their associations with other |
| | burial information. A '+' after a value, means that a correlation observed between that cluster and a variable |
| | in a cross-tabulation table has an adjusted residual value of 1, meaning that it is significant at 68.2%. A value of |
| | '++' means that it has an adjusted residual value of 2, meaning it is significant at 95.4%, the usual threshold of |
| Table 7.8 | statistical significance |
| Table 7.8 | Coding energy expenditure in Phase C developed vase tradition burial ritual attributes |
| Table 7.9 | Pot-less inhumation burials |
| Table 7.10 | Coding energy expenditure in Phase C collared and cordoned urn tradition burial ritual attributes |
| Table 7.11 | Suggested increments of status for the cordoned and collared urn tradition burial attribute combinations |
| Table 7.12 | A summary of the Phase C clusters, Status estimation and their associations with other burial information. Green |
| 14016 /.13 | bands are mostly developed vase tradition clusters, Red bands are clusters with mainly collared and cordoned |
| | urn tradition burials, Blue bands are clusters showing a mixing of elements of both traditions. Lilac the 'apical' |
| | class Cluster is composed largely of cordoned urn burials. |
| | class cluster is composed rangely of condomed unit our rais. |

Foreword and Acknowledgements

This book is based on a PhD dissertation which I wrote at and was awarded by Queen's University Belfast (McSparron 2018). I would like to thank my supervisor Professor Eileen Murphy, my second supervisor Dr Gill Plunkett and my original second supervisor Professor Jim Mallory for all the wonderful help and support I received. I would also like to acknowledge the great encouragement I received from Dr Colm Donnelly of the Centre for Archaeological Fieldwork at Queen's. In addition I would like to thank Dr Alison Sheridan and Dr Carleton Jones for all their interesting and helpful suggestions and observations.

I also especially wish to thank my wife Bronagh and my children, Olcan, Fergus, Cormac, Tailte, Ruairi, Finvola and Petronella, for their patience, understanding and enthusiastic encouragement, I hope it was not too disruptive. Finally I would like to express my debt to my mother Maureen, my aunt Susan McCormack and especially my late father Seamus.

Many of the images used in this book appear by courtesy of the Ulster Archaeological Society, to whom I am most grateful. In addition I would like to thank Nick Brannon, Clare Foley, Declan Hurl, Brian Sloan and Dr Brian Williams for permission to use images from their excavation reports.

I would very much like to thank Ruairí Ó Baoill for his help preparing the text for publication.



Chapter 1 Introduction

Why study the social structure of the Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age by an analysis of the single burial tradition?

The single burial tradition of the Irish Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age was rich and diverse and was the dominant Irish burial tradition for a period of approximately 600 years. The large number of burials which have survived, combined with the variety of burial rituals within the tradition, invites archaeologists to attempt to reconstruct the society of Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Ireland.

Some work has been carried out in this area before. A broad body of theoretical work (Saxe 1970; Binford 1971; Tainter 1975; Peebles and Kus 1977; Wason 1994) has outlined an approach to the reconstruction of social structure. This approach has been critiqued (Parker Pearson 1982; Hodder 1982), but the criticisms have fallen short of negating the utility of burial analysis as a method of examining society (Brown 1995; Kamp 1998).

The burial rituals of Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Ireland have been examined by John Waddell in his excellent summary of the single burial tradition and much was achieved by Charles Mount (1997) with his examination of the society of southeast Ireland through the lens of the single burial tradition. More evidence has become available in the intervening years. In particular the large number of radiocarbon dates now available, many published by Brindley (2007), and the presence of tools like OxCal 4.2, which allow non-statisticians to carry out complex analysis of radiocarbon chronologies, means that it is possible to consider looking at the burial traditions of this era within a more refined chronological framework than has previously been possible. The division of the Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age into three chronological periods in this study has facilitated both synchronic examinations of each of these burial phases, allowing a reconstruction of the social structure of each phase, and a diachronic examination of the entire period, observing growing complexity and ranking through each of the phases, culminating in a significant increase in evidence for ranking in the latest phase, Phase C. It is proposed that the decline in Irish copper production after 2000BC, and the economic crisis which must have resulted, may have been a significant factor in the increase in social complexity and ranking seen in Ireland, and possibly Britain, at this time.

Aims and Objectives

This work aims to examine aspects of the social structure of Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Ireland using burials from the single burial tradition as an analytical data set. There are a number of specific objectives.

- 1. As a necessary first step the study attempts to build a radiocarbon based chronology for the Irish single burial tradition, looking at the beginnings and spread of the tradition through Ireland, re-examining the radiocarbon dating of the era's funerary pottery, and looking at the development of aspects of burial ritual, such as the beginning of cremation, the beginnings of the use of pit burials and the appearance of potless burials.
- 2. Devise a system for making grave goods comparable in terms of how they may reflect the status of the interred, and making them statistically analysable with other burial attributes.
- 3. Establish the degree of complexity of burial ritual at different chronological phases of the Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age, from that assess degrees of social complexity in each stage, and to then relate this complexity to the degree of ranking within society during each of these phases.
- 4. Through a subtle and multifaceted cross-tabulation and cluster analysis of burial ritual, the study aims to identify the basic social structure of each of the main chronological divisions of Early Bronze Age Ireland.

The study is not blind to the fact that this form of analysis is only a model of reality and accepts the possibility of both deliberate and incidental ideological masking of social reality through burial as suggested by several writers including Hodder (1982) and Parker Pearson (1982). By examining the results of the crosstabulation statistics, both synchronically and diachronically in light of the chronological model developed for the Irish single burial tradition, it is hoped that variations in the ways in which status was displayed though the era can be identified.

The book aims to be able to discuss the Irish single burial tradition in its wider context and in particular look at contrasts and comparisons with burial ritual and society in Britain.

Definitions

This book examines the single burial tradition of Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Ireland and some implications for social structure. As a prerequisite it is necessary to define some terms.

The Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age

The Irish Chalcolithic / Early Bronze Age is quite difficult to define compared to, for example, the commencement of the Neolithic, when there is a clear cultural and technological change. The Irish Early Bronze Age has had several definitions even over the past few decades. Megaw and Simpson (1984) defined, the Irish and British Early Bronze Age chronologically as the period between 2300 and 1300 BC. Cooney and Grogan (1999) have preferred 2300 to 1700 BC, succeeded by a Middle Bronze Age. In recent years it has become increasingly common to make a nuanced distinction between the Chalcolithic and the Early Bronze Age proper, with the Chalcolithic extending from about 2400 to 2050 BC. Some writers, like Billy O'Brien (2012), would consider the Irish Late Chalcolithic as continuing beyond this, until about 2000 BC, which would date the entire first phase of the Irish single burial tradition within the Late Chalcolithic. The detailed chronology of the Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age will be discussed at greater length below but, for the purposes of this study, and realising that this is a simplification of a complex topic, the era of the single burial tradition as a whole is going to be referred to as the Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age, which will be considered as beginning at approximately 2200-2150BC.

The single burial tradition

The single burial tradition is the burial of individuals, or groups of a few individuals, in small, discrete graves, which may be part of larger cemeteries or cairns, dating from approximately 2200-2150 BC to about 1600 BC.

Within this definition the single burial tradition can be divided into two broad sub-groups:

- a. Burials, cremated or unburnt, contained within stone built cists or pits (Figure 1.1). These cist or pit burials may contain accompanying pottery vessels of several distinct styles and occasional grave goods.
- b. Cremation burials, which are contained within a funerary vessel and then placed into a pit or a cist (Figure 1.2). The in-urned burials are also more occasionally accompanied by accessory vessels, and somewhat more commonly accompanied by grave goods.



Figure 1.1 Photo of a cist containing an inhumation and accompanied by a tripartite bowl from Church Bay, Rathlin,

Co. Antrim (after Sloan 2008)



Figure 1.2 Photo of an inverted vase urn within a cist from Knockroe, Co. Tyrone (Williams and Wilkinson 1988)

Both types of burials are found individually, in flat cemeteries, in specially constructed mounds, or reusing earlier megalithic burial monuments.

Social structure

Social structure is a term which can be used in different ways. Levi-Strauss noted how 'the term social-structure

has nothing to do with empirical reality but with the models that are built up after it' (1963: 279). In this book it is used to mean a model of the political system, social ranking or stratification, gender relations and kinship structures of Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Ireland, in so far as they are detectable by an analysis of the archaeological record.

The structure of the book

This book is divided into eight chapters.

Chapter 1 is the introduction, setting out the aims, definitions, the books structure and acknowledgments.

Chapter 2 is a review of the theoretical approaches to understanding death rituals and how death and burial may inform us about society. It looks at anthropological and archaeological approaches to death and burial before setting out the theoretical approach used for this study.

Chapter 3 examines the background of Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Ireland as a whole, a necessary perspective from which to judge the burial record and its significance. It examines first the environment of Early Bronze Age Ireland and evidence for the types and extents of agriculture. Early Bronze Age metalworking is also examined, as are funerary and non-funerary rituals and Early Bronze Age settlement. There is then a review of the existing literature discussing the single burial tradition in Ireland.

Chapter 4 is a detailed description of the methodology of gathering, recording and analysing the data statistically. It describes site selection, coding the data for input into the database, preparation of the data for analysis and then the methodology of statistical analysis in SPSS, focusing on cross-tabulation and associated significance tests and cluster analysis. An examination is undertaken of techniques for evaluating the status of grave goods accompanying burials in a way which makes them comparable and analysable. Finally this chapter discusses methods for assessing ranking from an analysis of burial ritual, setting out a set of criteria for different types of un-ranked and ranked societies...

Chapter 5 is an analysis using OxCal 4.2 of the radiocarbon information from the sites recorded in the database. It fulfills Objective 1. One of the great strengths of the Microsoft Access database is the ability to bring disparate data together easily through the use of queries. This allows C14 data from human remains, or other sources, in the database, to be used to look at the dating of associated attributes of the burial ritual. An examination is made of the date range of the single burial tradition across Ireland along with an analysis of the differential appearance of the tradition in different

provinces. The dating of pottery is examined, as is the dating of some decorative elements of the pottery. Cists and pits are dated as a whole and by province, as a study is made of dating of inhumation and cremation burial. Pits and cists which contained human remains, but no pottery vessels, 'pot-less burials', are examined and unexpectedly found only to begin some time after the commencement of the single burial tradition and continue until the end of the Early Bronze Age.

Chapter 6 is a detailed statistical analysis of the attributes of the single burial tradition. Initially descriptive statistics, focusing on characterising the data set, are presented. The results of the crosstabulation analysis of different attributes are then given along with associated significance testing. The results of the cluster analysis are also presented. The status of grave goods assemblages is compared statistically to various burial attributes, fulfilling Objective 2.

Chapter 7 presents a chronological model of the development of the single burial tradition informed by the results of the radiocarbon analysis presented in Chapter 5. This chronological model is used, along with the statistical results from Chapter 6, to build up a model of aspects of the social structure of the Chalcolithic / Early Bronze Age Ireland. The changing funerary complexity of burial ritual is examined and possible indicators of status for each chronological phase are identified. This fulfills Objective 3. Possible social groups within each chronological phase are identified by cluster analysis and crosstabulated with status indicators.

Chapter 8 discusses the type of society that is likely to have existed at each phase of the Chalcolithic / Early Bronze Age using categories borrowed from multilinear social evolutionary theory (Lewellen 2003; Wason 1994; Earle 1993; Earle 1997; Ames 2015), fulfilling objective 4. In addition this chapter discusses the origins of the single burial tradition, the reasons for the emergence of ranking in Early Bronze Age Ireland and aspects of relationships between the Ireland and Britain in this era.

Chapter 9 Recaps the main conclusions of the study and states future directions for study.

Chapter 2 Theoretical Approaches to the study of Death, Funerary Rituals and Social Structure in Archaeology and Anthropology

Introduction

The twentieth century was the epoch when archaeology as a science emerged and matured. From the prescientific era of antiquarian archaeology and culture history through to the present, the archaeology of death, and the rituals and rites surrounding it, have played a dominant role in the discipline. Initially much archaeology was simply concerned with cataloging sites and burial rites, with naive, although not necessarily always incorrect, interpretations based on 'common sense' or classical analogues. As archaeology approached its 'loss of innocence' (Clarke 1973), the increasing weight of data, but the lack of a similarly increasing body of knowledge derived from that data, led to new approaches. Archaeology borrowed from anthropology, building theories which were testable, general, and perhaps a little too ambitious. A second wave of reflection followed the first, setting limits on what could be inferred. This process, which was prophesied by Clarke (1973), continued through the 1970s, 80s and 90s. A parallel process has been a rejection of some of the 'objective' certainties of an archaeology which focused on the adult western male and a conscious attempt to write archaeologies of women, children and the marginalised. As Clarke suggested would happen, there have been signs since the mid 1990s of a synthesis, where the aims and methods of the 'new archaeology' have been matured by cautionary tales.

In this study the criticism, aired by Heidegger (Glazebrook 2012), that mechanistic science alone cannot explain nature, is accepted. However this work parts company with those, such as Shanks and Tilley (1987), who see little value in attempts to examine social structure from burial evidence. Value is placed on scientific analysis of gathered data as the foundation upon which interpretation can be made. Again Heidegger perhaps drew the best distinction when he compared historical science, the collection of historical facts, of which he was critical, from the true discipline of history, collecting, interpreting and giving meaning to these collected facts (Glazebrook 2012: 18).

A number of parallel strands of thought are accepted, with qualifications, by this study. The approach to burial archaeology espoused by writers such as Saxe (1970), Binford (1971), Tainter (1975) and Peebles and Kus (1977), who all believe that there is a direct relationship between burial ritual and the individuals place in society is accepted, albeit with the understanding, as proposed by writers like Wason (1994) and Kamp (1998),

that a simplistic linear association between burial and society cannot be drawn. In addition it is accepted that it is necessary to look at the entirety of the society (Lull 2000), as well as the burial record, synchronically and diachronically, to properly understand the society (Chapman and Randsborg 1987). This study also takes the view, espoused by Brown (1995), that small single cemetery analyses are futile, and that larger, regional, multi-cemetery statistical studies are much more fruitful and reliable.

To understand the link between burial, the individual and society it is not only necessary to categorise burial, it is also necessary to be able to categorise society. Multilinear social evolutionary concepts like band, tribe, chiefdom and state, provide a useful classificatory system (Service 1962). Also useful is the examination of the degree of ranking, from egalitarian societies through to stratified societies (Fried 1967 and Wason 1996). Together these two strands of theoretical thought provide the archaeologist with a powerful set of analytical tools. The criticisms of Shanks and Tilley (1987) are rejected as being appropriate to an earlier 19th century version of unilinear social evolution, typified by the likes of Lubbock (1865) or Lewis Henry Morgan (1877) and misrepresenting the post-war multilinear social evolutionists. Before implementing them, to understand their use, it is necessary to discuss them in the context of the developments in anthropological and archaeological thought on the relationship between burial rituals and the societies which produce them.

Anthropological approaches to the study of death and funerary ritual

Nineteenth and early twentieth century investigations of funerary ritual, such as those of Frazer (1911), described the varieties of funerary practice but they tended to view each specific funerary ritual as unique, conditioned by the ideas and beliefs of the specific society, and made little attempt to look for meaning or pattern in the form of funerary rituals. In the early years of the twentieth century the writers of the *Année Sociologique* school began to develop theories of the structure and meaning of funerary rituals, and the treatment of the dead, which could have more general application.

The sociologists

In his 1907 essay 'The Collective Representation of Death' Robert Hertz proposed that there is a structure